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One ecological impact associated with border crossings is obvious: inspection processes bring cars and trucks to a halt, queuing occurs, fuel is squandered, and vehicle exhaust becomes disproportionately concentrated at the crossing points. If cross-border trade increases significantly in coming years, perpetuation of the existing inspection regime will result in ever-greater pollution and waste, which we view as an unsustainable outcome. A variety of remedies can be imagined. An operational model that supports “rolling” (i.e., in-motion) clearance of vehicles would negate this at-border impact, and such a model will be discussed later. A modification of engine technology could also be beneficial, with B.C.’s recent proposal to establish a West-coast “Hydrogen Highway” an example of this approach. A third remedy is imaginable as a corollary of a more sweeping trend — the curtailment of cross-border commerce as a consequence of fundamental economic restructuring. Some scholars view the globalized economy as an unsustainable (and doomed) model, given its heavy dependence upon nonrenewable petroleum resources. If trans-oceanic supply chains fade in importance and local economies are resurgent, queues of trucks at the border will diminish. Finally, it is reasonable to imagine significant transportation mode shifts in response to a scenario of fuel costs much higher than today’s, but shy of doomsday levels. Rail and transit might one day carry much larger proportions of cross-border traffic. Although rail and transit are afterthoughts in the typical facility planning process today, a sustainable border should facilitate these energy-efficient modes.

We have thus far mentioned aspects of ecological harm related to the border, but funneling traffic through checkpoints can also result in ecological benefit, in that the inspection process can serve to hinder the movement of invasive species and diseases. Absent such barriers, human-assisted migration of invasives could result in harm both to cultivated and to natural ecologies, with possibly serious societal consequences. Note that while the border may serve as a convenient location for such inspections, the necessary location of a barrier is dictated by the geographic distribution of the ecology at risk. Plant and animal inspections can be and have been deployed at boundaries internal to nations.

Cultural Reflections. Strong cultural ties join Canada and the U.S., springing from the heritage the two nations share. Extended families straddle the border; residents frequent the amenities and facilities of the neighboring country (e.g., clubs,
shopping malls, churches, hospitals), particularly when those amenities are closer at hand; tourists visit the neighboring “foreign” country, reveling in the slightly exotic, but safe in the knowledge of the fundamental shared identity.

Clearance processes at the border contribute to the degradation of our cultural ties. Processes that impose significant delay, that are lacking in civility (or are outright hostile), or that are perceived as intrusive of privacy serve to dissuade borderland residents from making their customary cross-border trips. As the frequency of visitation falls, the cultural fabric weakens — club and church memberships lose diversity and shrink, retail receipts fall, and close friends become occasional acquaintances. Post-9/11 border-clearance processes employed by the U.S. have clearly led to such outcomes. Rebuilding and sustaining these kinds of cultural ties requires a faster and “gentler” clearance process.

There are other cultural aspects to consider, however. Despite the shared heritage, significant differences in Canadian and American values are evident. For example, Canada’s more permissive approach to cannabis use has prompted tougher border enforcement by Americans. Conversely, Canadians want no part of the American “gun culture.” Effective border processes thus can help to sustain important but divergent cultural values. Finally, there are examples of shared cultural values that are furthered by an effective border, such as the desire to combat crime. The border serves to halt criminals fleeing prosecution, interdict human trafficking, hinder parental abduction of children, and stem the flow of contraband.

A Sustainable Border. The sustainable border must address the competing goals that are so frequently cited by border stakeholders — it must facilitate rapid passage of the “good” and successfully filter out the “bad.” It must do so for both goods and people over a range of future scenarios that contemplate at the one extreme a tenfold increase in Asia-Pacific gateway trade, and at the other the demise of the single-occupancy automobile. A useful goal is a border process that would support the rolling clearance of much of the traffic.

With respect to the clearance of people, rolling clearance requires a secure and automated method of validating a traveler’s identity, together with trust in that traveler. The NEXUS trusted-traveler program embodies part of the solution, but beneficial changes to the program can be imagined:

- A biometrically activated “smart” card capable of radio communication (RFID) could be employed. When approaching the border, each traveler would press a thumb against his/her NEXUS card, allowing the card to validate identity and signal an automated “inspector.” Such cards are already at use in other applications.
- A NEXUS lane could be supported by two booths, so that vehicles failing the automated inspection could be diverted for face-to-face questioning at one booth, while the bulk of the traffic passes non-stop through the second booth. Vehicles that pass the automated inspection could be occasionally diverted for face-to-face contact, supporting the “trust but verify” operational model
- The application and interview process could involve the whole family (right down to infants), which would facilitate the use of NEXUS lanes for a larger proportion of enrollees’ cross-border trips.

If sweeping changes in clearance processes are not devised, much of the potential environmental benefit associated with transit and passenger rail will be lost. Forcing a passenger to travel out of his way (perhaps even opposite his intended direction) in order to board a train/bus at a single approved pre-clearance site is not an ideal model. There should be numerous stops along the route of a bus or train, and a person should be able to board at any one of the stops. A bus should have access to an uncongested lane at the border. The clearance process must be rapid, implying that automated validation of trusted travelers is again key. The smart NEXUS card described earlier could support instant “inspection” of many travelers by a single agent walking through a train, leaving the agent with the need to interview only a subset of the riders.

With respect to the rolling clearance of freight, technology provides some viable tools. Much freight is today transported in shipping containers, and technologies are becoming available that can seal a container, track its exact travel route via GPS, and detect intrusions. The content of containers could be inspected at distant locations (even at locations overseas), and detailed information would be available to inspectors before the container reached the border. The truck driver could be issued a smart card, as described earlier. At the border, automated processes could identify the shipment and driver, verify customs compliance, and ensure the integrity of the container. No remaining tasks would necessitate that the truck come to more than a momentary stop, as various other technologies deployed at the border (i.e., license plate readers, radiation portal monitors, VACIS) all can operate on a slowly moving vehicle. The “trust but verify” regime could also be applied to freight, with trucks diverted out of the rolling stream for more intense inspection.

Conclusion. Recent studies of the Canada – U.S. border have analyzed the extent to which post-9/11 security initiatives have impeded freight and passenger mobility. We believe that a broader focus is merited, using the concept of sustainability as the analytical framework. Viewed in that context, today’s border serves in some instances to cause ecological and societal harm (e.g., air pollution, decline in cross-border visitation), but in other instances to yield benefit (e.g., interdiction of crime, hindering the spread of invasive species). While acknowledging that a barrier in some form must exist at the border, we suggest that a sustainable border should incorporate changes in inspection processes such that “good” freight and people can roll non-stop through the border, whether conveyed by car, bus, truck, or train. Finally, sustainable outcomes may be more likely if border functions are delocalized. Commodity inspections and crime control can be, and often are, carried out away from the border, thereby lessening at-border impacts.
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6. A VACIS scan of a moving truck would be limited to the cargo compartment, omitting a scan of the driver's cab