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Marcia J. Watson-Vandiver
Towson University

Abstract

This article examines the historical and contemporary intersections of race in education. Specifically, this article explores the African American schooling experience in relation to the Black Lives Matter movement. Although the Brown vs. Board of Education [1954] decision promised more racial cohesion in public schools, many African American students still experience widespread disparities (Kozol, 2005). With African American students receiving three times the number of suspensions or expulsions (Lewis, Butler, Bonner, & Joubert, 2010), it is imperative to explore the undeniable relationship between public schooling and the criminal justice system. To that end, it is important to consider ways that U.S. schools continuously underserve students and communities of color. This theoretical article highlights the criminalization of Black youth and draws parallels between public schooling and the criminal justice system. The results of this article are exigent for school and community reform.

Introduction

With the racially motivated killings in Oakland, California [2009], Sanford, Florida [2012], Ferguson, Missouri [2014], Staten Island, New York [2014], Cleveland, Ohio [2014], Baltimore, Maryland [2015], Charleston, South Carolina [2015], Waller County, Texas [2015], St. Paul, Minnesota [2016], and Baton Rouge, Louisiana [2016], the utopian archetype of “post-racialism” has consistently proven to be a historical fallacy. Although the concept of “race” is a synthetic social construct (Ferber, 1998; Hartigan, 2010; Sanders, 1969), the poisonous repercussions of racial injustice have negatively impacted many African American communities and schools (Bell, 2004; Sears & Savalei, 2006). To that end, there is a need to explore the intersections of race and criminalization in a coined “post-racial” world. Whereas schools would seem like pinnacle spaces for critical inquiry, most U.S. classrooms cautiously avoid social justice
conversations (Dei, 2003, 2012). If schools are to raise informed citizenry, there is an insistent need to incorporate critical conversations into classroom pedagogy.

The inspiration for this research is rooted in the 2012 shooting death of Trayvon Martin. Martin’s death, and the subsequent 2013 acquittal of George Zimmerman, spearheaded the viral social media hashtag #BlackLivesMatter. As a note, Black Lives Matter (BLM) has since become a global movement that advocates for racial justice through social media presence, political advocacy, and direct action (Eligon, 2015). Today, BLM aims to “…fight anti-Black racism, to spark dialogue among Black people, and to facilitate the types of connections necessary to encourage social action and engagement” (Black Lives Matter, 2017). Although BLM is perhaps most known for the nationally televised protests in Ferguson, Missouri (Luibrand, 2015), it is important to note that Martin’s 2012 death was a precursor.

To that end, this study focuses on Trayvon Martin’s death as a point of departure. In reference to Martin’s death, researcher Chris Emdin posited via Twitter: “This generation hasn’t been the same since that verdict,” and subsequently coined the term Post-Trayvon Stress Disorder, or (PTSD), to describe the pervasive fear and trauma related to state-sanctioned violence against Black youth (Emdin, 2014). Thus, this article explores the concept of PTSD in relation to schooling and criminalization. Additionally, this article investigates the convergence of Black Lives Matter in direct contradistinction to the oppositional economic system of capitalism. The remainder of this article will; a) provide a brief contextualization of Martin’s death; b) survey existing literature on school discipline and student criminalization; c) present a hybrid theoretical framework [post-modern conflict theory] in relation to PTSD; and d) provide an in-depth discussion on racial healing and restoration in schools.

The Shooting Death of Trayvon Martin and Black Lives Matter

Armed with a grey hooded sweatshirt, canned iced tea, candy, and cellular phone, a young seventeen year-old African American boy was killed by a local Florida citizen. Reported as looking “suspicious,” twenty-nine year-old George Zimmerman reported to local police that Trayvon Martin was a potential threat to his Florida neighborhood (Brown & Francescani, 2012). It is important to note that Trayvon was 17-years old, Black, and unarmed. Police tapes revealed that Zimmerman uttered his concerns to emergency responders via the phrases “these people always get away” and “this guy is up to no good” (Angert, 2013). Additionally, released police tapes revealed that Zimmerman repeatedly referred to Martin’s race when referring to his “suspicion” (Brown & Francescani, 2012). Zimmerman proceeded to follow young Trayvon through his neighborhood,
despite opposing advice from police authorities (Lee, 2013). Martin questioned Zimmerman’s actions, but was continuously followed. After pleading for neighbors to help him, Martin was shot in the chest and killed. Due to Florida’s controversial Stand Your Ground law [“Use of Force in Defense of Person,” Fla. Statute § 776.012], Zimmerman was acquitted of all charges in 2013.

The story of Trayvon Martin swept the nation and riveted the African American community. Regrettably, Martin’s case surfaced many unresolved issues concerning racism in the United States. Martin’s death confirmed that Black fear was a quintessential part of American history (Hartman, 1997; Hill, 2016). Even as a frequent visitor of his father’s girlfriend’s neighborhood, Martin was considered an “outsider” and a threat to middle class suburbia. Thus, Zimmerman’s killing of Martin was based solely on preemptory biases. Martin – by nature of race, age, gender, and perceived social class – was innately “suspicious” and inherently deviant (Brown & Francescani, 2012). Thus, the notion of Post-Trayvon Stress Disorder (PTSD) captures the haunting trauma within the Black community, specifically surrounding issues of safety, equality, and justice. The Black Lives Matter movement sought to bring national attention to racial injustice and the countless killings of unarmed Black citizens. Additionally, the movement posited the simple notion that Black human life is valuable (Edwards & Harris, 2016). To that end, although not considered an educational issue by mainstream media, Trayvon Martin’s case and the subsequent Black Lives Matter movement have direct connections to broader conversations.

**Historical Examination of Social Institutions and Race**

The shooting death of Trayvon Martin is the result of varying social institutions that have overwhelmingly underserved communities of color (Alexander, 2012; Children’s Defense Fund, 2012). To better understand this intricate relationship, it is important to acknowledge the historical ramifications of racial injustice in the United States. From the transatlantic slave trade, until now, the contrariety between racial inequality and U.S. citizenship has been a paradox for African Americans (Baldwin, 1962; Harding, 1981; Oakes, 1990). Transatlantic slavery was the precursor to decades of subsequent criminalist policies against African Americans, including the Fugitive Slave Act, the 3/5 Compromise, Black codes, Jim Crow Laws, convict leasing, the War on Drugs, and mandatory minimum sentencing (Alexander, 2012; Franklin & Moss, 2000; Harding, 1981). In each of these cases, African Americans were not considered full citizens under the law.
The lamentable relationship between race and freedom buttresses U.S. social institutions, and has likewise marred the utopian ideal of “equality for all.” Even from inception, American slavery associated Blackness with criminality (Sanders, 1969). Furthermore, Blackness was perceived as a form of religious deviance from the White moral norm (Sanders, 1969). Thus, the pathology of race and racial difference has since steeped the American fabric from inception (Hartigan, 2010; Hartman, 1997; Sanders, 1969). Today, the historical ramifications of racism are pervasive and intergenerational.

The corollaries of race also clandestinely influence public servants, such as teachers and law enforcement officials. Thus, the criminal justice system and education are two important avenues to explore in relation to Martin’s death. Since schools are merely conduits of existing social norms, beliefs, and ethos, the need for transformative race conversations are paramount (Dei, 2003, 2012; Nieto & Bode, 2008). To start, the public education system in the 21st century is more racially segregated than it was prior to the 1954 Brown vs. Board of Education decision (Mickelson, 2001). African American students are three times as likely to be suspended or expelled from school (Kim, Losen, & Hewitt, 2010; Lewis, Butler, Bonner & Joubert, 2010; Schott Foundation, 2012). Additionally, most schools that predominately serve African American students are disproportionately underfunded and underserved (Kozol, 2005). Since its inception, public education in the U.S has been tainted with racial disparity. Thus, Black student criminalization today is simply a conduit of existing racial injustice.

**Literature Review**

**Black Male Criminalization in Schools**

As noted, the underservice of African American students in U.S. schools is widely documented. Today, racial inequality permeates U.S. classrooms via the school-to-prison pipeline (Kim, Losen, & Hewitt, 2010; Morris, 2016), discipline disproportionality (Lewis, Butler, Bonner, & Joubert, 2010), unequal funding (Kozol, 2005), and lack of critical or multicultural pedagogy (Dei, 2003, 2012; Ighodaro & Wiggan, 2011). Additionally, Black students are overwhelmingly penalized in the public education system for non-violent offenses (Kim, Losen, & Hewitt, 2010; Morris, 2016; Skiba, Peterson, & Williams, 1997). This precisely mirrors the criminal justice system, as Black males are unambiguously targeted (Alexander, 2012; Schott Foundation, 2012). To that end, the story of Martin directly parallels the experiences of African American males across the entire U.S. Whereas Trayvon was racially profiled and criminalized in a local
neighborhood, Black students are equally targeted in school.

Discipline disproportionality is perhaps the most visible racial disparity in schools. While African American students constitute only 16% of the public school population nationwide (NCES, 2013b), African American students constitute 46% of school suspensions, 39% of school expulsions, 49% of office referrals, and 36% of student arrests on campus (Khadaroo, 2013; Schott Foundation, 2012). Harper (2017) established that 55% of all Black student suspensions originated from thirteen Southern states. Additionally, Harper (2017) surveyed these thirteen states and found that in 84 districts, Black students represented 100% of the student suspensions. These racial disparities are alarming. Yet, research consistently confirms there is no difference in student behavior between racial groups (Skiba & Williams, 2014). The most conclusive findings, however, are in school-level factors such as faculty and student representation, school procedures and processes, and school climate (Skiba & Williams, 2014).

Aside from quantitative differences, there are also racial disparities in the types of infractions. White students, for example, are often suspended for observable or egregious infractions like weapons; meanwhile, African American students are often suspended for more subjective offenses, such as disorderly conduct, noncompliance, or dress code (Kunjufu, 2002; Skiba, Michael, Nardo, & Peterson, 2002). Additionally, research also finds that even when Black and White students have the same violation, the two racial groups often receive different punishments. For example, Kunjufu (2002) notes, “the White child [is] asked to write a paper while the African American child [is] suspended for three days” (p. 128). One exigent problem of school extraction is the longitudinal effects on academic performance. Kim, Losen, and Hewitt (2010) find that exclusion from the classroom “…disrupts a child’s education and may escalate misbehavior by removing the child from a structured environment, which gives the child increased time and opportunity to get into trouble” (p. 3). When students are suspended from school, there are direct academic and social consequences associated with time away from instruction (Balfanz, Herzog, & Mac Iver, 2007; Balfanz, 2009; Kim, Losen, & Hewitt, 2010). Research also suggests there is a direct correlation between student suspension and dropping out (Balfanz, Herzog, & Mac Iver 2007; Balfanz, 2009). In fact, 49% of students who enter high school with three or more suspensions fail to graduate high school (Balfanz, Byrnes, & Fox, 2012). Moreover, those students who do not complete high school are three times as likely to become incarcerated (Lochner & Moretti, 2004). Research consistently confirms that school extraction [i.e. suspension or expulsion] is a
counterproductive approach to student behavior (Bloomberg, 2004). Likewise, criminal justice theorists posit that over-criminalization and mandatory minimum sentencing is the quintessential antithesis to restorative justice (Yeh, 2008). Yet, both are currently used as a method of punishment and population control (Alexander, 2012).

An Interrogation of Schools and Society

The connections between criminal justice and education showcase the fluidity of various social institutions. In most large public school districts, suspension rates for African American students reach over 20% (Kim, Losen, & Hewitt, 2010). In these same districts, 50% of African American males are suspended at least once during a school year (Kim, Losen, & Hewitt, 2010). Similar to Alexander’s (2012) research on *The New Jim Crow*, African American criminalization in a broader sense is often due to minor, non-violent offenses. These policies rely on biased and racially subjective interpretations. Within the narrow context of schooling, teachers are often left to interpret student behavior for subjective policies like noncompliance, classroom disruption, and defiance.

Many researchers attribute racial disparities in discipline to the widely controversial, draconian “zero tolerance” policies (Martinez, 2009; Welch & Payne, 2010). Zero-tolerance describes suspension or expulsion for the first offense. Many of these policies impact African American and Latinx students, specifically (Lewis, Butler, Bonner, & Joubert 2010; Morris, 2016; Rodriguez, 2013; Schott Foundation, 2012). Additionally, because of *No Child Left Behind* and high-stakes assessments, zero tolerance policies are now used as mechanisms for student population control (Shaw, 2014). Many districts manipulate summative test scores by removing low-performing students who might damper a school’s ratings (Shaw, 2014). In these cases, low-income and low-performing students are the primary victims of school extraction [i.e.: suspensions or expulsions]. Notwithstanding, most non-violent offenders in the criminal justice system are also from low-income communities of color (Alexander, 2012). There is a myriad of economic implications from these findings, especially considering the interconnectivity of school privatization, high-stakes state testing, state merit performance, and the prison-industrial complex (Alexander, 2012; Hartnett, 2008; Tuck, 2012). Here, there is an economic for-profit value for deviance, both within schools and society (Alexander, 2012; Hartnett, 2008; Tuck, 2012).

In addition to the economic implications, in some states, there are also legal consequences. Some of the most extreme cases of student interdiction result
in the school-to-prison pipeline. The extensive magnitude of student extraction has impacted entire states. In fact, some states have been known for aiding student criminalization by treating school-related offenses as crimes. In 2013, select school districts in Mississippi were investigated for using extreme and unfair discipline policies towards African American students (Mock, 2013). Mississippi findings revealed that students who were disruptive in class or violated minor dress code rules were arrested and sent directly to juvenile jails (Mock, 2013). Similarly, more recently, the state of Missouri passed recent legislation that now classifies a school fight as a felony (Klein, 2017). Thus, there is a need to revisit and revise racial bias within school policies. Furthermore, there is a need to pursue more restorative consequences for school discipline. Furthermore, there is a need to revisit and revise racial bias within school policies.

Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)

As noted in the previous section, Black criminalization is ubiquitous in schools (Kim, Losen, & Hewitt, 2010; Lewis, Butler, Bonner & Joubert, 2010; Morris, 2016). The reciprocal effects of school and community marginalization have observable consequences on the African American psyche. Emdin’s (2014) coined “Post-Trayvon Stress Disorder [PTSD]” captures the reciprocal penalty of overrepresented criminalization in schools and society. PTSD, in this sense, refers specifically to the Trayvon Martin case. Notwithstanding, many researchers have noted that many African American students suffer from actual post-traumatic stress disorder, or PTSD (Beckett, 2014; Tokuda, 2014). From a clinical perspective, the impact of Black criminalization has long-term psychological and physiological repercussions (Smith & Patton, 2016; Tokuda, 2014). According to Tokuda (2014), mental and physical health complications often result from violence, poverty, and police-related trauma in urban communities.

Today, post-traumatic stress disorder [PTSD] is commonly associated with soldiers or war veterans. PTSD is characterized as, “…a serious condition that can develop after a person has experienced or witnessed a traumatic or terrifying event in which serious physical harm occurred or was threatened” (Farmer, 2014, p. 112). According to the Center for Disease Control’s Division of Violence Prevention, inner-city youth have higher levels of PTSD than military soldiers (Smith & Patton, 2016; Tokuda, 2014). In fact, some researchers suggest one in three urban youth have mild to severe PTSD (Beckett, 2014). Many Black male students are especially at-risk. Smith and Patton (2016) find that concentrated amounts of poverty position many students of color to be especially vulnerable for exposure to generational violence and trauma (Children’s Defense Fund, 2012). The disproportionate exposure of urban Black youth mirrors the same PTSD characterized by middle-income and White homicide survivors.
(Smith & Patton, 2016). Additionally, “… [the] premature violent death and traumatic loss, particularly when peers are murdered,” situates Black males in the midst of chronic trauma (Smith & Patton, 2016, p. 212). Without addressing these issues, mental and emotional trauma in many Black communities remain unresolved.

Being exposed to violence, social and political underservice, racial trauma, and/or limited healthcare, has a direct impact on education (Bennett, 2014). Tokuda (2014) notes, it is nearly impossible to teach students suffering from PTSD without empathy and addressing basic human needs. Sadly, instead of addressing the issue as a heath disorder, it is often regarded as “hood disease” or typical urban behavior (Rice, 2014). Rice (2014) brings to question society’s primitive understanding of urban communities and normalization of violence among Black students. Furthermore, Lee (2013) notes that racial salience, or understanding the transience of human experiences, is necessary to promote empathy and understanding. Lee (2013) states, “making race salient or calling attention to the relevance of race in a given situation encourages individuals to suppress what would otherwise be automatic, stereotypic-congruent responses in favor of acting in a more egalitarian manner” (p.1563). Critical race theorists also contend these findings (Bell, 2004; Ladson-Billings, 2009).

**Internalized Racism and Self-Hatred**

What is perhaps most interesting about the Martin case is Zimmerman’s racial and ethnic background. Zimmerman was a second-generation Peruvian American citizen (Francescani, 2012). Researchers provide a phenomenological explanation for cultural disillusionment, more popularly known as “internalized racism” or “self-hatred.” Self-hatred describes, “the acceptance of stereotypes or beliefs that paint one’s racial group as subhuman, inferior, incapable, or a burden to society” (Hipolito-Delgado, 2010, p. 319). The inseparability between racial identity and economic value is a quintessential component of American capitalism (Williams, 1994). Here, the “acceptance of inferiority” has the ability to produce adverse effects on both physical and mental health, as well as negatively influence identity development (Hipolito-Delgado, 2010). Although internalized racism and self-hatred are prevalent topics within Black psychology and history research (Clark & Clark, 1947; Hall, 1995; hooks, 1995; Woodson, 1933/1977), Hipolito-Delgado (2010), Gómez (2000), and Padilla (2001) also find rampant evidences of this in the Latinx community as well. In particular, research consistently contends that skin color plays a role in intra/intercultural stratification (Gómez, 2000). In this case, fairer skin is often favored and associated with the privileges of Whiteness. Many of these confounding variables situated Zimmerman in
positions of privilege and fabricated separation from people of color. Thus, Zimmerman’s own deliberate “positionality” compelled him to find Trayvon Martin, an unknown African American teenager, fundamentally deviant. Zimmerman’s vigilante prowess was fueled by a skewed, and internalized, view of race in America. According to Zimmerman’s police report, Martin’s racial identity was conspicuous and intimidating.

**Theoretical Framework**

While race is a quintessential component of the Trayvon Martin case, there are also imperative economic implications as well. Race and economics have inseparable connections to U.S. history, specifically in regards to capitalism (Davis, Barat, & West, 2016; Hill, 2016; Williams, 1994). Marxism helps to unravel the dialectic relationship between capitalism and exploitation, specifically in regards to economic stratification and property. Karl Marx asserts that society is buttressed by conflict and diametrically opposing power differentials. These two power groups are most notably the proletariats and bourgeoisie (Marx, 1867/1887). This underlying tension of power is known as social conflict theory. According to conflict theorists, the unequal distribution of wealth creates stratification in society (Lemert, 2004). Karl Marx identified the systemic problem as capitalism; however, there are also racial implications nuanced within this economic theory as well. In specific reference to the U.S., Marx (1867/1887) associates class tension to racial conquest. He notes:

> The discovery of gold and silver in America, the extirpation, enslavement and entombment in mines of the aboriginal population, the beginning of the conquest and looting of the East Indies, the turning of Africa into a warren for the commercial hunting of black-skins, [signalized] the rosy dawn of the era of capitalist production. These idyllic proceedings are the chief momenta of primitive accumulation. On their heels treads the commercial war of the European nations, with the globe for a theatre. (Marx, 1867/1887, p. 533)

Here, Marx’s critique of capitalism is rooted not only in the disdain for economic stratification, but also for the necessity of human labor, and slavery, to finance industrialization (Hunt & Lautzenheiser, 2011). To Marx’s point, the most exigent connection between race and human capital is American slavery (Williams, 1994). From the dawn of its inception, slavery was an inhumane apparatus needed bolster capitalism (Williams, 1994).

On arguably a completely different side of the theoretical spectrum, post-modernism provides additional insight into deviance and the criminal justice
system. Post-modernist Michel Foucault depicts systematic problems within social institutions like schools, prisons, and the military. Whereas Marx focuses solely on economics, and more specifically capitalism, Foucault more broadly uncovers problematic social institutions. Foucault’s (1975/1995) *Discipline and Punish* highlights the role of condemnation, execution, and punishment. Foucault antagonizes contemporary uses of prisons as mechanisms to promote and exploit deviance (Lemert, 2004). According to a post-modern analysis, the criminal justice system is a social institution that ferments crime. Foucault’s concept of *outlaw* refers to “the great social nomad who prowls on the confines of a docile, frightened order” (Lemert, 2010, p. 419). In this definition, individuals are considered deviant, not by behavior, but by social hierarchy. Essentially, deviance exists in the realms of opposition. Foucault suggests that criminals are not judged because of the offense, but because of common interest and the departure from the norm (Lemert, 2004, 2010). In this case, it was Martin’s racial *appearance* that separated him from the common interest of George Zimmerman’s neighborhood. Additionally, a post-modern analysis of criminalization questions historical evidences of exploitation and public display (Foucault, 1975/1995). This is confirmed by public lynchings during post-Reconstruction, for example. Here, images of deviances permeate society’s psyche and fail to preemptively address criminality. It is important to note that Foucault generally fails to intersect race, gender, and class variables into his social theories. Thus, it is important to explore the merging of both theoretical thoughts.

Intertwining the two theories into one, an analysis of Trayvon Martin’s case should be examined from a post-modern conflict lens. Post-modern conflict theory addresses Black criminalization through Marxian views of capitalism, conflict, and societal worth. Additionally, it analyzes Martin’s death in relation to deviance and punishment. Post-modern conflict theory establishes that human beings are assessed through their life’s value to capitalism. Here, it is important to analyze individuals’ contributions to the capitalist market as an indicator of privilege. Moreover, it embeds Foucault’s research on the prison system to further explain phenomenon of “demonization,” “delinquents,” and “outlaws” (1975/1995).

**#BlackLivesMatter and Post-Modern Conflict Theory**

Using *post-modern conflict theory* as a mode for interpretation, it is important to note that race and appearance are preemptively used to classify the value of human life. This is evident both in schools and the criminal justice system. This is how the notion of *Black Lives Matter* radically addresses race when antagonizing the criminal justice system’s general dismissal of Black life.
Today, racial corruption manifests in a myriad of ways. Most notably, racial exploitation is seen through the prison industrial complex (Thompson, 2012), the efflorescence of unregulated charter schools in low-income communities of color (Prothero, 2016) and even professional sports (Rhoden, 2006). Like slavery, in each of these cases, African Americans are solely seen as contrivances for capitalism. Although professional sports are arguably optional career avenues, in many cases Black athletes compete both within and against conflicting systems of racism and White supremacy (Rhoden, 2006). There are both historical and contemporary evidences of this. The convergence of race, capitalism, and human labor is seen historically [i.e. Jessie Owens, Jackie Robinson] and contemporarily [i.e. University of Missouri, Colin Kaepernick] as a form of resistance to racist and capitalistic policies. Rhoden’s (2006) *Forty Million Dollar Slave* captures this sort of racial exploitation. While some argue that capitalist mechanisms are not inherently negative, there are nevertheless unwavering connections between race and capitalist manipulation. In fact, conflict theorist Karl Marx coined the term *exploitation of labor* to detail the conditions of these historical and predatory practices.

Marx’s *exploitation of labor* perfectly describes the inseparable connection between race, slavery, and American capitalism (Williams, 1994). Williams’ *Capitalism and Slavery* (1994) posits the indistinguishable convergence of human labor and economic value in the United States. The historical dismissal of Black lives is perhaps one the U.S.’s greatest hypocrisies. For example, arguably one of the greatest evidences of capitalist success in the U.S. is the Industrial Revolution. Black bodies, quite literally, built the industrial U.S. (Davis, Barat, & West, 2016; Wilder, 2014; Williams, 1994). However, while Western expansion and the modern industry expanded wealth for the bourgeoisie, the exploitation of the poor increased dramatically. Additionally, racial disparities also heightened. Marx (1867/1887) notes:

> In the United States of North America, every independent movement of the workers was [paralyzed] so long as slavery disfigured a part of the Republic. [Labor] cannot emancipate itself in the white skin where in the black it is branded. But out of the death of slavery a new life at once arose. The first fruit of the Civil War was the eight hours’ agitation that ran with the seven-leagued boots of the locomotive from the Atlantic to the Pacific, from New England to California. (p. 195)

The economic association of Black life with property, or *chattel*, unequivocally characterizes the apathetic relationship between market economies and the non-
White, proletarian class. Hill (2016) further confirms the economic connections to unarmed Black killings. He notes:

Michael Brown, Tamir Rice, Jordan Davis, and Trayvon Martin were not killed simply because they were Black, although it is entirely reasonable to presume that they would be still alive if they were White. They were killed because they belong to a disposable class for which one of the strongest correlates is being Black. (p. 28).

To that end, this is why Black Lives Matter is both a sociopolitical and economic statement.

As repeatedly stated, there are irreconcilable race and class antagonisms that stem from historical oppression (Davis, Barat, & West, 2016). French Marxist Althusser (1971/2014) contends that repressive state apparatuses, such as courts, police, and prisons, benefit the wealthy and subjugate the powerless. Additionally, Althusser asserts that these institutions operate as a way to reify existing sociopolitical structures (1971/2014). In relation to today’s prison industrial system and the privatization of school discipline, Althusser’s theories are especially applicable. Alexander (2012) posits that African American life is still being exploited through the criminal justice system. The false notion of Black inferiority is based on capitalistic themes of ownership and property. Similar to slavery, Black labor and criminality are still lucrative in today’s contemporary context via the school-to-prison pipeline and the prison-industrial complex. The efflorescence of privatized prisons is a leading example of neoliberal, capitalist economics that specifically exploit low-income African American and Latinx communities.

**Educational Implications**

Both the perpetrators and victims in Martin’s case are directly connected to social institutions. The criminalization of African American males in the criminal justice system is both a contributing factor and byproduct of Black marginalization in schools. Many African American and Latinx students who question authority [i.e.: dress code violation, noncompliance, etc.] are automatically considered defiant. This same idea of “questioning” authority was also witnessed in the Martin case. Although Zimmerman was a self-proclaimed and otherwise unauthorized vigilante, he cavalierly followed and killed Martin. Contrastingly, it is important to note that Martin “questioned” Zimmerman following him (Angert, 2013; Brown & Francescani, 2012). In reference to countless other unarmed Black killings, police reports frequently describe feelings
of “threat” or “intimidation” from victims who questioned authority (Visser, 2016). Often these victims are unarmed (Visser, 2016). Similar to Zimmerman, Michael Dunn also killed an unarmed Black teen, Jordan Davis [Jacksonville], in 2012. Dunn’s dispute involved “loud music” in a parking lot (Hill, 2016). What is peculiar about both Martin’s and Davis’ case is that their killers were not law enforcement officials; rather, in both cases they were local, pseudo-vigilante authority figures. Similarly, unsubstantiated Black criminalization in schools often stems from a misappropriation of authority as well. To that end, Delpit (2006), Emdin (2016), and Kunjufu (2002) assert that power dynamics between students and teachers are important issues to investigate when teaching students of color. These dynamics are often exacerbated with White teachers and students of color (Hyland, 2005).

Trayvon’s assumed deviance was under the guise of Black male threat and deviance (Lee, 2013). It is important to note that Zimmerman’s vigilante killing of Martin, under Florida law [“Use of Force in Defense of Person,” Fla. Statute § 776.012], was lawful. However, Martin’s presumed guilt was based on racial optics alone. This is confirmed by local police reports that failed to investigate Martin’s death for six weeks (Hill, 2016). For six weeks, Martin was instinctively assumed guilty with little law enforcement interrogation. Zimmerman’s presumed innocence was also based on his racial optics. As a White-passing Latino man in a gated community, both race and class buttressed him from assumed criminality. The relevance of this issue to urban education today is embedded in nearly every facet. The unbeknownst bias associated with race, racism, and diversity, is something educators especially need to address (Emdin, 2016). Researchers (Ito & Umland, 2003; Rattan & Ambady, 2013) note that race is one of the most visible and unavoidable forms of identification. With race being a factor of nearly every facet of life, it is indisputably unrealistic to consider the U.S. post-racial. This notion is especially important for U.S. teachers.

The zenith of school discipline reform stems from the acknowledgement of prejudice and bias in school policies. In today’s classrooms, many students of color are targeted for this very reason. Foucault’s post-modern theory of “delinquents” and “outlaws” suggests those who stray from societal norms are outcast and demonized. In the case of many African American students, the rejection of White, European ethos is considered deviant (Delipt, 2006; Morris, 2012). Addressing teacher bias is a preliminary step in reforming school discipline (Delpit, 2006; Kunjufu, 2002; Milner & Hoy, 2003). Gleaning from Trayvon Martin’s case, it is also important for districts to reexamine discipline disputes that are embedded with racial bias and cultural misunderstanding. Polling
data from the Zimmerman trial provides contextualization of racial bias on a national level. Survey results from Washington Reuters demonstrated 91% of African American participants believed that Martin was unjustly killed, whereas only 35% of White participants agreed (Charles, 2012). The survey participants’ interpretations of Martin’s death were inadvertently viewed through individual perceptions of deviance. To that end, the value of Martin’s life was preemptively assessed according to race, gender, and social class. Although the facts of the case remained constant, perceptions of deviance differed significantly based on race (Bell & Cooney, 2012; Charles, 2012).

The varying perspectives in Martin’s case are essential for educators to understand, especially when addressing school discipline in the classroom. To that end, it is important to consider that 82% of the U.S. teaching force is White (NCES, 2013a), while 50% of students are racial minorities (Maxwell, 2014). While race is not indicative of effective teaching, it can be argued that cultural incongruence easily fosters classroom misunderstandings (Delpit, 2006; Milner & Hoy, 2003). As repeatedly stated, many of these misunderstandings result in student discipline and punishment in schools. Thus, if the aforementioned poll results are proportionate to the public’s perception, most of teaching force would agree with many of the other White respondents. In relation to teacher bias, this is an important area to address.

Conclusion

Contemporary society views itself as post-racial. Yet, the events in Oakland [2009], Sanford [2012], Ferguson [2014], Staten Island [2014], Cleveland [2014], Baltimore [2015], Charleston [2015], Waller County [2015], St. Paul [2016], and Baton Rouge [2016], further reinforce the notion that race is an inseparable part of American contemporary history. Like Marx (1867/1887) and Foucault (1975/1995), many citizens in these aforementioned cities express dissatisfaction with local schools and police (Flaherty, 2014; George & Puente, 2015; Moulthorp, 2015). It is imperative for teacher preparation programs to adequately prepare educators for racial difference and diversity in the classroom. Likewise, law enforcement officials must also confront their own racial biases. Even though modern history believes itself to be beyond the realms of racism, implicit bias can influence perceptions of, “… threat, danger, and suspicion in cases involving minority defendants and victims” (Lee, 2013). The cavalier killing Trayvon Martin could have been prevented if systemic racism were addressed at both the individual and institutional levels.
The issue of racial profiling was a pivotal factor in Martin’s murder (Lee, 2013). Similarly, race is also a pivotal factor in school discipline (Lewis, Butler, Bonner, & Joubert, 2010). Martin’s case provides contextualization for all institutions, especially schools, to assess implicit bias. Racial and ethnic prejudgments stimulate incorrect perceptions of entire groups of people (Traore & Lukens, 2006). Zimmerman’s predisposition of Martin was systemically rooted in prejudice. Furthermore, Zimmerman’s later acquittal displayed an overall assumption of Black deviance. As a result, Post-Trayvon Stress Disorder captures the never-ending reality of fear and injustice within the Black community.

Challenging teacher bias and prejudice must begin in pre-service teacher training. It is important to consider ways schools can serve as sites of racial healing and transformation. Equipping teachers and students to take responsibility for their fears and presumptions is critical. In fact, anti-racism and critical multicultural educators, such as Dei (2003, 2013) and Nieto and Bode (2008), argue that education must address racism. Many researchers like Awad (2011), May and Sleeter (2010), and Dei (2003, 2012) also advocate for a more critical approach to race within classroom instruction. Additionally, there are pedagogical implications as well. Delpit (2006), Emdin (2016), and Kunjufu (2002) warn against creating binary expectations for African American students. Teacher should instead embrace student difference and recognize alternative forms of teaching and learning (Delpit, 2006; Emdin, 2016; Hopkins, 1997; Ladson-Billings, 1994).

Schools undoubtedly teach a hidden curriculum. In many schools, the overcriminalization of Black youth creates a pervasive narrative of deviance in children as young as Pre-K and kindergarten-aged (Anderson, 2015). Not only does this pervade the pathology of Black students, it also inaccurately seeps into the minds of White students as well. To that end, it is imperative for teachers and administrators to continuously ensure students of color are not disproportionately exploited through school discipline. Considering that Trayvon Martin and George Zimmerman were both former public school students in Florida and Virginia, respectively, there is potential for widespread restorative impact in classrooms.
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