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Life-history diversity

Why is diversity important?

Similar to a diverse portfolio of financial assets, life-history diversity confers stability to salmon and steelhead populations given uncertain future environmental conditions.


General patterns of Chinook salmon life-history diversity
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Which model best describes patterns of productivity for each migrant life-history?

Ricker density dependent

\[ \log\left(\frac{J}{S}\right) = a - \frac{a}{b}S \]

Density independent

\[ \log\left(\frac{J}{S}\right) = a \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Migrant life-history</th>
<th>Best model</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skagit</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Density independent</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Density independent</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which model best describes patterns of productivity for each migrant life-history?

**Ricker density dependent**

\[
\log \left( \frac{J}{S} \right) = a - \frac{a}{b}S
\]

**Density independent**

\[
\log \left( \frac{J}{S} \right) = a
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Migrant life-history</th>
<th>Best model</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skagit</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Density independent</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Density independent</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagit</td>
<td>Parr</td>
<td>Ricker density dependent</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Parr</td>
<td>Ricker density dependent</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yearling Chinook migration timing

**Skagit**
Yearling median catch 2005 – 2013 = 148

**Nisqually**
Yearling median catch 2009 – 2013 = 242

**Dungeness**
Yearling median catch 2005 – 2013 = 37
Conclusions

Life history diversity
• Distinct bimodal subyearling Chinook migration: early small fry followed by later larger parr
• Yearling Chinook observed in Skagit, Nisqually and Dungeness, likely related to colder temperatures from snowmelt/glacial influence

Productivity
• In Skagit and Green, fry production increases consistently with spawners, but parr production shows evidence for density dependent capacity limits
• By inference, freshwater productivity limited by rearing not spawning habitat

Restoration implications
• Efforts to create and maintain juvenile rearing habitat will provide the greatest benefit to Chinook freshwater productivity
• Diverse habitats promote diverse life-histories

Unanswered question
• What is the relative marine survival of fry vs parr subyearling migrants?
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