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Coastal Hazards in Metro Vancouver

- Earthquake
- Tsunami (incl. undersea landslide)
- Storm surge, coastal flooding
- Freshet flooding
- Sea level rise-related
- Oil spills
- …
Coastal Disasters

*earthquake... tsunami...*

- >30% probability of damaging earthquake in 50 years
- M9.0 Cascadia event (IBC 2013)
  - C$62 b. direct loss, C$75 b. with indirect, C$20 b. insured
- M7.3 Georgia Strait (Chang et al. 2012; Chang and Lotze 2014)
  - 51,000 pop. in significantly damaged buildings
  - Up to 88% business disruption in DNV
Coastal Disasters

*flood... oil spill...*

- **Sea-level flooding events**
  (Forseth/ACT 2012)
  - 7 events, 1960-2011
  - C$11 m. damage in 1982 & 2006 events

- **SLR C$2.1~7.6 b. damage in BC by 2050**
  (NRTEE 2012)

- **Oil spills**
  - No experience in region
  - Kinder Morgan proposal increase 650 tankers/year
  - Clean-up costs & economic impacts (Allo and Loureiro 2012)
    - Valdez 2010$US 4.3 b
  - Health & social impacts
## Vulnerability Factors

### Exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earthquake</th>
<th>Flood</th>
<th>Oil Spill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pop. in shake zone &amp; liquefaction areas</td>
<td>Pop. in flood zone</td>
<td>Pop. in local airshed &amp; oiled shores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Population growth, economic growth, development patterns, land-use planning, transportation planning,…

Dykes, seawalls, Green infrastructure

### Cautions:
1. “Levee effect”
2. Solutions need to be viable in multi-hazard environment
## Vulnerability Factors

### Susceptibility, Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earthquake</th>
<th>Flood</th>
<th>Oil Spill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low income, low education,…</td>
<td>Low income, low education,…</td>
<td>Low income, low education,…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small businesses, neighborhood effect,…</td>
<td>Small businesses, neighborhood effect,…</td>
<td>Small businesses, neighborhood effect,…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response capacity, assistance programs</td>
<td>Response capacity, assistance programs</td>
<td>Response capacity, assistance programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk perception, preparedness, community cohesion, social capital</td>
<td>Risk perception, preparedness, community cohesion, social capital</td>
<td>Risk perception, preparedness, community cohesion, social capital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Green Infrastructure for Disaster Risk Reduction

- **Flood protection mechanisms of coastal ecosystems:**
  - Tidal wetlands – reduce size and erosive power of waves
  - Floodplains – divert, hold, and slow flood water
  - Stabilizing sand dunes – sustain wave energy reduction mechanism
  - Oyster reefs and eelgrass beds – reduce wave energy, increase sedimentation
  - Mangrove forests – reduce tsunami velocities, wave heights; attenuate storm surge amplitude and winds

(TNC 2013)

(Mangrove Restoration Project, Thailand)
Effectiveness

1. Storm surge attenuated at -1m per 14.5km marsh (Resio 2008)
   - Range 7~20km
   - So. Louisiana

FAO 2006 Coastal Protection Workshop:

1. Forests and trees can act as bioshields that protect people and other assets against tsunamis and other coastal hazards, but whether they are effective and the degree of their effectiveness depend on many variables;

2. Care must be taken to avoid making generalizations and creating a false sense of security that bioshields will protect against all hazards;

3. The use of bioshields should be considered within the framework of disaster management strategies, which also include effective early warning systems and evacuation plans.

Cost-Effectiveness

- In many cases, same level of risk reduction as gray infrastructure, at lower cost (TNC 2013)

- 1 ha wetland loss => $33,000 storm damage in specific storms (Costanza et al. 2008)

- Coastal ecosystem restoration in 3 cases: BCR >15 (Conathan et al. 2014)

- Netherlands CBA: higher, stronger dikes most cost-effective in dense area
  - land-use change and floodplain restoration justified over 100 yrs and w/other benefits (Brouwer et al. 2004)

- GI costs higher in urban areas (Jonkmann et al. 2013, Linham and Nicholls 2010)
Multiple Benefits

- Habitat, carbon sequestration, water quality, recharge aquifers, recreation, property values, jobs,… (TNC 2013)

- Community livability: aesthetics, recreation, sense of place and well-being, community cohesion (CNT 2010)

- Greenspace access as social equity, social well-being and participation, sense of place attachment, stress reduction and health (Barnhill and Smardon 2012)

- What types of G.I. could effectively reduce risk in the Salish Sea region?
- How cost-effective?
- Other benefits?