



May 18th, 12:00 AM - May 22nd, 12:00 AM

Myths and Misconceptions of Alternative and Augmentative Communication

Hannah Burch
Western Washinton University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://cedar.wwu.edu/scholwk>



Part of the [Communication Sciences and Disorders Commons](#)

Burch, Hannah, "Myths and Misconceptions of Alternative and Augmentative Communication" (2020).
Scholars Week. 73.

<https://cedar.wwu.edu/scholwk/2020/2020/73>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Events at Western CEDAR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Scholars Week by an authorized administrator of Western CEDAR. For more information, please contact westerncedar@wwu.edu.

Myths and Misconceptions of Alternative and Augmentative Communication

Hannah Burch and Jennifer Thistle, Ph.D. CCC-SLP
Western Washington University

BACKGROUND

Alternative and augmentative communication (AAC) is defined as any form of nonverbal communication that is used to enhance or replace speech (Beukelman & Mirenda, 2013). AAC is used by individuals of all ages, including children with developmental disorders and adults who have acquired disabilities. Professionals in speech language pathology (SLP) and special education (SpEd) are most likely to encounter individuals who use AAC, yet both professions have very different curriculums.



https://www.earlyed.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/IMG_1203-edit.jpg



<https://www.attainmentcompany.com/gotalk-20>

RESEARCH GOAL

The question this project seeks to answer is “What myths and misconceptions about AAC do graduate SLP and undergraduate SpEd students hold?” The myths and misconceptions that these professionals believe impact treatment outcomes for clients. Research is needed to investigate what myths and misconceptions about AAC still prevail so steps can be taken to better educate students and professionals.

METHODS

PARTICIPANTS

Graduate SLP students

- N = 35
- Enrolled in a graduate seminar on AAC

Special Education students

- N = 66
- 30 had taken a course on complex needs, 36 had not
 - No statistical difference on scores

PROCEDURES

- Participants read 19 statements about AAC and indicated True or False given their belief about that statement.
- SLP group completed paper-based survey on the first day of the graduate seminar on AAC
- SpEd group completed online (Qualtrics) survey during winter 2020 quarter

RESULTS

OVERALL

Accuracy was statistically different across group:

SLP (M=17.7, SD=1.25) and SpEd (M=15.7, SD=2.24) groups, $t(98) = 4.86, p < .0001, d = 1.09$.

BY QUESTION

Graduate SLP students

Two statements with less than 80% of students answering correctly

MYTH: AAC is appropriate for any child with a developmental language disorder that impacts communication success (77% answered correctly)

FACT: True. AAC is appropriate for individuals of any age or disability (Cress & Marvin, 2003; Ronski & Sevcik, 2005).

MYTH: An individual should begin with low tech AAC before learning to use high tech AAC (77% answered correctly)

FACT: False. High tech and low tech AAC intervention for individuals with autism produces similar results (Morin et al., 2018). Intervention is more about choosing the correct intervention to fit the client's communication needs and abilities.

Special Education students

Five statements with less than 70% of students answering correctly

MYTH: Use of AAC should be considered only after other means of communication intervention have failed (69.7% answered correctly)

FACT: False. There is improvement in communication when AAC is used alone or among other means of communication intervention. With young children it matters more that communication is taking place, rather than the mode of communication used (Cress & Marvin, 2003; Ronski & Sevcik, 2005).

MYTH: AAC does not hinder spoken language development and use (68.2% answered correctly)

FACT: True. Studies show that AAC aids in the growth of spoken language development, rather than hindering it (Ronski & Sevcik, 2005).

MYTH: For acquired disabilities, it is never too soon after the brain injury to introduce AAC (66.7% answered correctly)

FACT: True. AAC introduced early can support participation in rehabilitation and ongoing communication (Beukelman & Mirenda, 2013).

CONTACT

Hannah Burch: burchh2@wwu.edu; Jennifer J. Thistle, Ph.D., CCC-SLP: Jennifer.Thistle@wwu.edu

MYTH: Whenever present, even if very limited, speech should be the primary means of communication (59.1% answered correctly)

FACT: False. All communicators use many different methods (e.g., speech, gestures, facial expressions, etc.; Beukelman & Mirenda, 2013).

MYTH: An individual should begin with low tech AAC before learning to use high tech AAC (39.4% answered correctly)

FACT: False. See SLP section for evidence.

DISCUSSION

- SLP and SpEd students have different levels of education needed to practice.
 - SLPs require a master's degree
 - SpEd require a bachelor's degree
- No statistical difference between scores on the survey for SpEd students who had taken a course on complex needs compared to SpEd students who had not
- Statistical significance between overall score for SLP and SpEd students
- SLPs have to go through more education and in turn are more educated about AAC

WHAT CAN WE DO BETTER?

- Better educate professionals
- Raise public awareness about AAC and everyone's basic right to communicate



COMMUNICATION BILL OF RIGHTS

All people with a disability of any extent or severity have a basic right to affect, through communication, the conditions of their existence. Beyond this general right, a number of specific communication rights should be ensured in all daily interactions and interventions involving persons who have severe disabilities. To participate fully in communication interactions, each person has these fundamental communication rights:



Scan QR code to read the Communication Bill of Rights

REFERENCES

- Beukelman, D., & Mirenda, P. (2013). *Augmentative & alternative communication: Supporting children & adults with complex communication needs* (Vol. 4th). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Pub. Co.
- Cress C.J., & Marvin C.A. (2003). Common questions about AAC services in early intervention. *Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 19*(4), 254–272.
- Morin, K. L., Ganz, J. B., Gregori, E. V., Foster, M. J., Gerow, S. L., Genç-Tosun, D., & Hong, E. R. (2018a). A systematic quality review of high-tech AAC interventions as an evidence-based practice. *Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 34*(2), 104–117.
- Ronski M, & Sevcik RA. (2005). Augmentative communication and early intervention: Myths and realities. *Infants & Young Children, 18*(3), 174–185.