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Abstract

This thesis work focuses on the synthesis and characterization of photocatalysts composed of metal phosphide nanoparticles on a titania (TiO$_2$) support for the conversion of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) to carbon monoxide (CO) via the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction. The CO product can be subsequently converted to solar fuels such as methanol (CH$_3$OH), thus lowering the carbon footprint associated with the combustion of liquid fuels. The photocatalysts are composed of a tunable light absorber, indium-gallium phosphide (In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P), and nickel phosphide (Ni$_2$P) as a co-catalyst, on TiO$_2$. The photocatalysts are characterized using diffuse reflectance ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy to determine band gaps, and methods such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to probe structure and composition. This combination of characterization techniques allows for synthetic strategies to probe how varying the In/Ga molar ratio affects photocatalyst properties, which leads to tunability in the band gaps of the materials. The Ni$_2$P cocatalyst has been synthesized onto the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$, with the expectation that photoexcited electrons will be transferred to Ni$_2$P with sufficient energy to drive the RWGS reaction. The RWGS activity will be tested in a flow reactor system outfitted with a xenon arc lamp as the light source and a gas chromatograph (GC) for determination of CO$_2$ conversion and product selectivity under light and dark conditions at varying reaction temperatures.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

Fossil fuels are limited to reserves within the earth’s crust and this resource is predicted to be depleted within the next 50 years if society does not find an alternate energy supply.\textsuperscript{1} If the predicted fuel crisis is not alarming enough, something else to consider is the consequences that burning fossil fuels have on our environment. Average global temperature has increased by 1.5 K since the industrial revolution, slowly melting icecaps and impacting human habitats such as Greenland that depend on structurally sound sea-ice structures.\textsuperscript{2,3} In addition, the excessive melting of the icecaps is leading to higher oceanic tides, which has dire flooding effects on small islands; especially communities that are protected from the tides of the ocean by dikes. The 1.5 K temperature increase has grabbed our attention, but it is minute compared to the expected 4.1-4.8 K increase expected by the end of the 21\textsuperscript{st} century.\textsuperscript{3,4}

Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) contributes 60\% to global climate change,\textsuperscript{5} and CO\textsubscript{2} concentration has increased from 280 to 412 parts per million (ppm) since the industrial revolution.\textsuperscript{6} The atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} concentration is expected to increase dramatically, given the projected increasing in carbon emissions from 32 gigatons per year (Gt/yr) in 2013 to 45 Gt/yr in 2040.\textsuperscript{6} This thesis research aims to contribute to the reduction of the global carbon footprint by working towards a fossil fuel replacement. Photosynthesized liquid hydrocarbons could replace fossil fuels, helping to avoid the fuel crisis that approaches as our fossil fuel resources come closer to depletion.

Hydrogen fuel cells are an attractive alternative to fossil fuel combustion, due to the clean production of electricity and the high energy density (by mass) of hydrogen. Unfortunately, the displacement of fossil fuels with hydrogen fuel cells would require uprooting the deeply
established storage and transportation infrastructure currently in place. However, synthesized hydrocarbons are much easier to integrate, as storage and transportation methods would be mostly unchanged.

This project aims to develop affordable, robust, and tunable photocatalysts for the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction (Equation 1.1), which yields carbon monoxide (CO).

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^\circ = 42.1 \text{ kJ/mol} \quad 1.1
\]

This reaction is energy intensive due to its endothermic nature and its high activation energy (222.2 kJ/mol). Harnessing solar energy through photocatalysis could serve as a sustainable route for converting \(\text{CO}_2\) to \(\text{CO}\). Fuels such as ethanol, methanol, and a variety of longer chain liquid hydrocarbon fuels can then be synthesized using CO as a reactant in the Fischer-Tropsch process,

\[
n\text{CO} + (2n + 1)\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_n\text{H}_{(2n+2)} + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad 1.2
\]

overall resulting in a method for solar fuel synthesis, thus slowing the depletion of fossil fuels and reducing \(\text{CO}_2\) emissions. Titania (\(\text{TiO}_2\)) is known to be a catalyst for the RWGS reaction, making it a good choice as a support material for a RWGS photocatalyst. However, its activity towards the RWGS reaction is reported to be only about 0.012 millimoles of CO per gram of catalyst per hour (mmol \(\text{g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}\)) under ultraviolet (UV) light irradiation using a 1:1 \(\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\) flow-rate of 20 mL/min at 373 K. The low activity can be explained primarily by the fact that, due to its wide band gap of 3.18 eV, \(\text{TiO}_2\) absorbs only a small fraction of the terrestrial solar spectrum (Figure 1.1).

![Figure 1.1 Comparison between terrestrial solar irradiance (blue) and TiO$_2$ absorbance (black).](image-url)
For this reason, it is common to use a light absorber in tandem with TiO$_2$.

Figure 1.2 includes absorption spectra of ~3 nm indium-gallium phosphide nanoparticles having the general formula In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P. These spectra show that by varying x in In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P absorption peaks move within the visible region (from ~500 nm for In$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$P to ~600 nm for In$_{0.75}$Ga$_{0.25}$P, indicating a decrease in band gap with higher indium content). Absorption in the visible region makes In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P a candidate for dispersion onto TiO$_2$ as a visible light harvester.

![Absorption spectra of In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P nanoparticles - J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 12144.](image)

In addition to using a light harvester, depositing a cocatalyst onto the surface of TiO$_2$ has been shown to increase activity dramatically. This is partially due to charge separation between the light harvester and the cocatalyst, decreasing electron/hole recombination rates. Tahir found that 5 wt% silver nanoparticles on a TiO$_2$ support (5 wt% Ag/TiO$_2$) can reach 1.3 mmol g-cat$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ under ultraviolet (UV) light irradiation using a 1:1 CO$_2$/H$_2$ flow-rate of 20 mL/min at 373 K.$^{10}$ Jia reported that palladium nanoparticles on niobium oxide (Pd/Nb$_2$O$_5$), under irradiation from a
300 W xenon lamp, converted CO₂ at a rate of 18.8 mmol g-cat⁻¹ h⁻¹ using a batch reactor with a 1:1 CO₂/H₂ ratio at a total pressure of 27 psi.¹²

Cocatalysts are typically composed of expensive noble metals such as rhodium and ruthenium, greatly limiting their scalability in an industrial setting.¹³,¹⁴ Among new cocatalysts that are receiving increasing attention is nickel phosphide (Ni₂P) due to its high photostability, high activity, and low cost.¹⁵ By integrating Ni₂P with CdS nanorods, activity towards the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) exhibited an increase from 167 to 553 mmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ under visible light irradiation by a 300 W xenon lamp (λ > 420 nm) at ambient/room temperature, using Na₂S (0.75 M) and Na₂SO₃ (1.05 M) as hole scavangers.¹⁶ The 553 mmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ rate also surpassed the performance of a similar system that used platinum as the cocatalyst in place of Ni₂P.¹⁶ Ni₂P has also been integrated with titania nanorods, producing 9.38 mmol H₂ h⁻¹ g⁻¹ via the HER.¹⁷ A recent density functional theory (DFT) study shows evidence that the (0001) crystal plane of Ni₂P evidently favors H₂ dissociation, making it a good candidate for the RWGS reaction.¹⁸ Aside from the DFT study, Ni₂P remains a relatively unexplored photocatalyst for the RWGS reaction.

It is reported that Ni₂P has a low bandgap of 1.0 electron volts (eV),¹⁵,²⁰ making it essentially a conducting material; photo-excited Ni₂P electrons are too short lived to be useful for the RWGS reaction. To enhance the potential for high catalytic activity, indium-gallium phosphides with the general formula InₓGa₁₋ₓP can serve as a wide band gap light harvester. These semiconducting nanoparticles can be supported on the TiO₂ along with a Ni₂P cocatalyst, allowing photo-excited electrons in the semiconductor to migrate to an adjacent Ni₂P nanoparticle, as is demonstrated by a schematic of the photocatalyst proposed in this thesis.
(Figure 1.3). A more representative sketch of the catalyst is presented in Figure 1.4. A TiO$_2$ particle would have Ni$_2$P and In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P particles scattered throughout its pores.

Once an electron has migrated to the conduction band of Ni$_2$P, it is relatively far from its corresponding hole, left behind in In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P, resulting in a decreased likelihood of a recombination event. The longer-lived excited state increases the probability of the electron being available for a reaction before it has a chance to migrate back to In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P and recombine with its hole. The idea of energy transfer from In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P to Ni$_2$P is supported by photoluminescence (PL) data in the literature. PL is the radiative result of photo-excited electrons relaxing down to a lower excited state, resulting in emission of a photon in order to balance the energy loss, conserving absolute energy of the system. Figure 1.5 shows that by loading TiO$_2$ nanorods with Ni$_2$P, PL was quenched with increasing Ni$_2$P loading. 

Figure 1.3 Schematic of proposed photocatalyst for RWGS reaction.

Figure 1.4 A schematic of the proposed photocatalyst, showing TiO$_2$ porosity.

Figure 1.5 Photoluminescence spectra of TiO$_2$ nanorods (TiNR) with increasing Ni$_2$P loading.
increasingly as \( \text{Ni}_2\text{P} \) loading was increased.\(^{17}\) This result indicates charge transfer from \( \text{TiO}_2 \) to \( \text{Ni}_2\text{P} \).\(^{17}\) Figure 1.2, presented earlier, shows \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P} \) nanoparticles absorbing light in the ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) range, accompanied by their photoluminescence with maxima in the 550-650 nanometer (nm) range.\(^{11}\) Given that \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P} \) nanoparticles radiate photoluminescence in the visible and that \( \text{Ni}_2\text{P} \) has been shown to quench visible photo-luminescence via excited state electron transfer, a hypothesis is formed that a heterogeneous system could absorb visible light with \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P} \) nanoparticles, and transfer photoexcited electrons to \( \text{Ni}_2\text{P} \) for use in the RWGS reaction. The electron transfer process is introduced in Figure 1.6 as a band diagram, showing that the conduction band of \( \text{InP} \) and \( \text{GaP} \) are both of higher energy than the conduction band of \( \text{Ni}_2\text{P} \),\(^{15,21,22,23}\) which is higher in energy than the reduction potential of \( \text{CO}_2 \) to \( \text{CO} \).\(^{24}\) This work focuses on the synthesis and characterization of a \( \text{Ni}_2\text{P}-\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2 \) heterogeneous catalysis system for driving the RWGS reaction.

![Figure 1.6 Proposed band energy diagram for GaP and InP nanoparticles and Ni2P cocatalyst compared with CO2 reduction energy.\(^{15,21,22,23,24}\)](image-url)
Despite the expectation that excited electrons in the proposed system will have the required energy to reduce CO\textsubscript{2}, the reactants still need to meet a thermal energy requirement necessary to react. Ni\textsubscript{2}P has the potential to solve this problem as well. Due to the low band gap of Ni\textsubscript{2}P of 1.0 eV,\textsuperscript{15,20} absorbed light is expected to primarily produce heat via thermalization and nonradiative relaxation of photoexcited electrons via lattice vibrations (phonons).\textsuperscript{13,25} This can result in localized heating of active sites, ultimately transferring thermal energy to reactants. To summarize, the scheme proposed here is that In\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1-x}P nanoparticles will absorb visible light and transfer excited electrons to Ni\textsubscript{2}P active sites. Meanwhile, Ni\textsubscript{2}P will absorb light to produce heat from the nonradiative relaxation of photoexcited electrons and it will transfer some of that heat to the In\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1-x}P creating a photothermal effect, driving the RWGS reaction.

To optimize these semiconductors, band gap tunability is key. A low band gap material can absorb a high number of photons, though too low of a band gap will result in excited electrons with insufficient energy to drive the RWGS reaction. Another key importance to keep in mind when tuning band gaps has to do with the “inverted region effect”. Electron transfer (ET) rates typically increase with increasing driving force due to the increasing energy difference between electron donors and acceptors. The inverted region effect predicts that ET rates will decrease once the reorganization energy of the reaction becomes comparable to the difference between acceptor and donor energy levels.\textsuperscript{26}

One method for tuning band gaps is to synthesizing nanoparticles at various sizes to induce a quantum confinement effect. Studies suggest that by applying the quantum confinement effect to indium phosphide (InP) and cadmium selenide (CdSe) nanoparticles, band gaps in the 1.4-3.7 eV range for InP and the 1.8-4.9 eV range for CdSe should be achievable by varying nanocrystal radius (1-5 nm).\textsuperscript{27} The primary method for tuning the band gap of In\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1-x}P
nanoparticles focused on in this work involves adjusting the indium-gallium (In/Ga) molar ratio of in the nanoparticles. It has been reported (Figure 1.7) that by tuning the In/Ga molar ratio in InₓGa₁₋ₓP nanowires, the band gap of the nanowires increased from 1.35 eV to 2.3 eV.²⁸

Figure 1.7 Experimental evidence of InₓGa₁₋ₓP band gap dependence on indium (In) content (x). Band gaps determined from UV-vis-NIR absorption (blue squares), photo-luminescence (red triangles), and electron energy loss spectroscopy (green rhombuses) measurements were compared. Expected band gaps (Eᵣ) are shown in the yellow (indirect) and black (direct) dashed lines, and were calculated using the relation $Eᵣ(X) = Eᵣ^A(X) + Eᵣ^B(1 - X) - cX(1 - X)$, where $c$ is the bowing parameter (0.2 and 0.65 for indirect and direct band gaps, respectively).²⁸

The overarching goal in this thesis project was to apply band gap tunability in photocatalyst-development through the synthesis of TiO₂-supported InₓGa₁₋ₓP nanoparticles (InₓGa₁₋ₓP/TiO₂). Solar energy absorbed by InₓGa₁₋ₓP should be able to be transferred to the cocatalyst (Ni₂P) in the form of excited electrons to be utilized to drive the RWGS reaction (schematic presented earlier in Figure 1.3). One major goal here was to develop a method for tuning the band gap of the InₓGa₁₋ₓP nanoparticles by synthesizing them on a TiO₂ support at sizes below their ~11 nm Bohr exciton radius in order to induce a quantum confinement effect. Quantum confinement results in larger band gaps as nanoparticle size decreases below the material’s Bohr exciton radius. Another major goal was to tune band gap by vary the In/Ga ratio in InₓGa₁₋ₓP nanoparticles (also supported on TiO₂).
Chapter 2: Experimental Methods

2.1 Reagents

Silica (Cabot, Cab-O-Sil, EH-5, 380 m$^2$/g) was calcined before use by heating to 773 K in air for 3 h and titania (Evonik, AEROSIL, P25, 35-65 m$^2$/g) was calcined at 673 K in air for 3 h before use. The supports were then stored at 383 K. Metal nitrates were purged with nitrogen gas (N$_2$) to maintain dry crystals before massing. All other chemicals were used as received.

2.2 Synthesis of Supported Indium-Gallium Phosphides

The titania-supported and silica-supported indium-gallium phosphide catalysts (In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ and In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$) were prepared with x values ranging between 0 and 1. This was done by varying the molar ratio of indium (III) nitrate (In(NO$_3$)$_3$·yH$_2$O, Aldrich, 99.9% trace metals basis) and gallium (III) nitrate (Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$·zH$_2$O, Alfa Aesar, 99.9% metals basis) used in the precursor synthesis. Hypophosphorous acid (H$_3$PO$_2$, Sigma-Aldrich, 50 wt. % in H$_2$O) was used as the phosphorous source, and nominal phosphorous to metal molar ratios (P/M, M = In + Ga) of ~1.25 on TiO$_2$, and 1.0 on SiO$_2$ were used in the synthesis of In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P catalysts unless otherwise stated.

The metal nitrate starting materials were dissolved in ~10 mL nanopure water and this solution was impregnated onto the support (either SiO$_2$ or TiO$_2$) until incipient wetness was achieved. Incipient wetness is reached by adding a solution to the support drop-wise until the first sign of homogenous wetness is observed, indicating that the pores of the support material are filled. The impregnated support was then dried at 383 K for at least 2 h and crushed until powdered using a mortar and pestle. The impregnation process was repeated until the solution was completely added to the support, using a minimal amount of nanopure H$_2$O as a rinse for the
final impregnation. This resulted in supported metal nitrates, which were then calcined at 673 K for 3 h (unless stated otherwise) to yield supported metal oxide precursors \((\text{In}_{x}\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2 \text{ or } \text{In}_{x}\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2)\). The resulting \(\text{In}_{x}\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2\) catalyst was then impregnated to incipient wetness with \(\sim 2\) mL of an aqueous solution of \(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2\) followed by drying for at least 3 h (at 343 K, to avoid \(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2\) decomposition at higher temperatures). Once in powdered form, \(\sim 0.5\) g of the \(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2-\text{In}_{x}\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P/(support)}\) material was placed on a bed of quartz wool at the bottom of a U-tube, which was then subjected to temperature programmed reduction (TPR). Synthesis of indium-gallium phosphides is summarized in Scheme 2.1, using \(\text{SiO}_2\) as an example support.

The TPR setup is shown in Figure 2.2.1. Prior to the reduction step, the oxide precursor catalyst was purged with flowing He at 60 mL/min for 30 min. \(\text{H}_2\) gas was

![Scheme 2.1 Synthesis of silica-supported metal phosphide photocatalysts.](image)

![Figure 2.2.1 Diagram of TPR gas flow and furnace setup for sample reduction in a quartz U-tube.](image)
then passed through the oxide precursor at 100 mL/min while the temperature was increased at a ramp rate of 5 K/min to a final temperature of 873 K, which was held for 1 h in order to completely reduce the precursors to their corresponding indium-gallium phosphides ($\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{SiO}_2$ or $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$). Once the $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/($support$)$ catalyst was cooled to below 343 K, the U-tube was purged with He at 60 mL/min for 30 min to remove $\text{H}_2$, in preparation for the passivation step. Passivation consisted of flowing 1.001 mol% $\text{O}_2$/He through the catalyst at 60 mL/min for 2 h, slowly forming an oxidized layer on the surface of the $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}$ particles. Passivation prevents a deeply penetrating, rapid oxidation event upon direct exposure to the high concentration of $\text{O}_2$ in the air atmosphere (~21% $\text{O}_2$). The temperature program used is plotted in Figure 2.2.2.

![Figure 2.2.2](image)

**Figure 2.2.2** Graphical representation of the temperature program used for the conversion of the $\text{Ind}_{x}\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_2/$support precursor to the final $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/$support product.
Reactant quantities used in the In$_{x}$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ syntheses, the precursors for the 20 wt% In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts, are listed in Table 2.2.1.

**Table 2.2.1** Reagent masses used in the In$_{x}$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ syntheses, the precursors for the 20 wt% In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Composition</th>
<th>SiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>In(NO$_3$)$_3$·4.2$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (g)</th>
<th>Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$·0.1$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ga$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.9193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{1.5}$O$_3$/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.0003</td>
<td>0.6308</td>
<td>1.2940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InGaO$_3$/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.1460</td>
<td>0.7842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{1.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$O$_3$/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.0005</td>
<td>1.5738</td>
<td>0.3594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.9586</td>
<td>0.6452</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 20 wt% In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts were synthesized by first massing exactly one third of the In$_{x}$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ precursor and impregnating it with an aqueous solution of 50 wt% H$_3$PO$_2$. Due to the fact that the record of these In$_{x}$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ masses have been lost, they have been calculated based on the masses of SiO$_2$, In(NO$_3$)$_3$·4.2H$_2$O, and Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$·0.1H$_2$O listed in Table 2.2.1. The masses used in the syntheses of the 20 wt% In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Table 2.2.2.

**Table 2.2.2** Masses used in the syntheses of 20 wt% In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts (P/M=1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Composition</th>
<th>In$<em>{x}$Ga$</em>{2-x}$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>50 wt% H$_3$PO$_2$ (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GaP/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.2328</td>
<td>0.3281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.25}$Ga$</em>{0.75}$P/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.2346</td>
<td>0.2950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$P/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.2360</td>
<td>0.2678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.75}$Ga$</em>{0.25}$P/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.2372</td>
<td>0.2453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InP/SiO$_2$*</td>
<td>1.1965</td>
<td>0.2262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All of the In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ precursor (1.1965 g) was used in the synthesis of 20 wt% InP/SiO$_2$. 
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Reactant quantities used in the In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ syntheses, the precursors for the 5-20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts, are listed in Table 2.2.3.

**Table 2.2.3** Reagent masses used in the In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ syntheses, the precursors for 5-20 wt% InP/SiO$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target InP Loading</th>
<th>SiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>In(NO$_3$)$_3$·4.2$\text{H}_2$O (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 wt%</td>
<td>1.0010</td>
<td>0.1357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%</td>
<td>1.0115</td>
<td>0.2875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 wt%</td>
<td>1.0094</td>
<td>0.4570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wt%</td>
<td>0.9586</td>
<td>0.6452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 5-20 wt% InP/SiO$_2$ catalysts were synthesized by first impregnating the In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ precursor it with an aqueous solution of 50 wt% H$_3$PO$_2$. Due to the fact that the record of these In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ masses have been lost, they have been calculated based on the masses of SiO$_2$ and In(NO$_3$)$_3$·4.2$\text{H}_2$O listed in Table 2.2.3. The masses used in the syntheses of the 5-20 wt% InP/SiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Table 2.2.4.

**Table 2.2.4** Masses used in the syntheses of the 5-20 wt% InP/SiO$_2$ catalysts (P/M=1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>InP Loading</th>
<th>In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>50 wt% H$_3$PO$_2$ (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 wt%</td>
<td>1.0510</td>
<td>0.0473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%</td>
<td>1.1175</td>
<td>0.1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 wt%</td>
<td>1.1779</td>
<td>0.1603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wt%*</td>
<td>1.1965</td>
<td>0.2262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All of the In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$ precursor (1.1965 g) was used in the synthesis of 20 wt% InP/SiO$_2$.  
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Reactant quantities used in the In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ syntheses, the precursors for the 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts, are listed in Table 2.2.5.

**Table 2.2.5** Reagent masses used in the In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ syntheses, the precursors for the 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Composition</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>In(NO$_3$)$_3$·zH$_2$O (g)*</th>
<th>Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$·0.1H$_2$O (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GaP/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.002</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.25}$Ga$</em>{0.75}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.005</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>1.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.006</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.75}$Ga$</em>{0.25}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.006</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Z ≈ 3.3 in In(NO$_3$)$_3$·zH$_2$O for the InP/TiO$_2$ synthesis and 1.9 for the remaining syntheses.

The 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts were synthesized by first massing exactly half of the In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ precursor and impregnating it with an aqueous solution of 50 wt% H$_3$PO$_2$. Due to the fact that the record of these In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ masses have been lost, they have been calculated based on the masses of TiO$_2$, In(NO$_3$)$_3$·zH$_2$O, and Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$·0.1H$_2$O listed in Table 2.2.5. The masses used in the syntheses of the 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Table 2.2.6.

**Table 2.2.6** Masses used in the syntheses of the 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts (P/M ~1.25).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>In$<em>x$Ga$</em>{1-x}$P/ TiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>50 wt% H$_3$PO$_2$ (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GaP/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.8498</td>
<td>0.6155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.25}$Ga$</em>{0.75}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.8499</td>
<td>0.5533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.8489</td>
<td>0.5040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.75}$Ga$</em>{0.25}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.8474</td>
<td>0.4599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InP/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.6189</td>
<td>0.1421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reactant quantities used in the In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ syntheses, the precursors for the 5-20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts, are listed in Table 2.2.7.

### Table 2.2.7 Reagent masses used in the syntheses of In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$, the precursors for the 1-20 wt% InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target InP Loading</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>In(NO$_3)_3$·zH$_2$O (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 wt%</td>
<td>1.999</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 wt%</td>
<td>1.999</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt%</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>0.2774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%</td>
<td>1.994</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 wt%</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wt%</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.617</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*~z = 3.3 in the 20 wt% InP synthesis and 4.5 for remaining syntheses.*

The 5-20 wt% InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts were synthesized by first impregnating exactly half of the In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ precursor it with an aqueous solution of 50 wt% H$_3$PO$_2$. Due to the fact that the record of these In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ masses have been lost, they have been calculated based on the masses of TiO$_2$ and In(NO$_3)_3$·zH$_2$O listed in Table 2.2.7. The masses used in the syntheses of the 5-20 wt% InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Table 2.2.8.

### Table 2.2.8 Masses used in the syntheses of InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts (P/M ~1.25).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>InP Loading</th>
<th>In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>50 wt% H$_3$PO$_2$ (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 wt%</td>
<td>1.0087</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 wt%</td>
<td>1.0236</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt%</td>
<td>1.0503</td>
<td>0.0599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%</td>
<td>1.1025</td>
<td>0.1249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 wt%*</td>
<td>0.8918</td>
<td>0.1519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wt%</td>
<td>0.6189</td>
<td>0.1421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*38.16% of the In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ precursor (0.8918 g) was used in this InP/TiO$_2$ synthesis.*
2.3 Synthesis of Supported Nickel Phosphide

Titania-supported nickel phosphide catalysts ($\text{Ni}_2\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$) were prepared using a nominal P/Ni ratio of 2.0. The nickel source was nickel (II) hydroxide ($\text{Ni(OH)}_2$, Alfa Aesar, Ni 61%) and the phosphorous source was 50 wt% $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$ (aq). An aqueous solution of nickel hypophosphite ($\text{Ni(H}_2\text{PO}_2)_2$) was prepared by dissolving nickel hydroxide in $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$ (aq), slowly adding a total of ~10 mL nanopure $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ over the course of 1-3 days while stirring and heating at 343 K. The solution of $\text{Ni(H}_2\text{PO}_2)_2$(aq) was then used to impregnate 2.0 or 3.0 g of $\text{TiO}_2$. The remainder of the synthesis involves a TPR sequence similar to what was presented in Figure 2.2.2, using a reduction temperature of 673 K. The formation of $\text{Ni(H}_2\text{PO}_2)_2$ is described in Equation 2.1.

$$\text{Ni(OH)}_2(\text{s}) + 2\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2(\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{Ni(H}_2\text{PO}_2)_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$$ \hspace{1cm} 2.1

All reactant quantities used in the $\text{Ni}_2\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$ syntheses are listed in Table 2.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt% $\text{Ni}_2\text{P}$</th>
<th>$\text{TiO}_2$</th>
<th>$\text{Ni(OH)}_2$ (g)</th>
<th>50 wt% $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$ (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
<td>0.1071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.0713</td>
<td>0.1825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.1319</td>
<td>0.3750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.2789</td>
<td>0.7923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.4429</td>
<td>1.2565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.9403</td>
<td>2.6660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $\text{Ni}_2\text{P}-\text{InP}/\text{TiO}_2$ catalyst (20 wt% $\text{Ni}_2\text{P}$, 10 wt% $\text{InP}$) was prepared by impregnating 0.4832 g of 10 wt% $\text{InP}$ to incipient wetness with a solution of $\text{Ni(H}_2\text{PO}_2)_2$ made by mixing 0.1519 g $\text{Ni(OH)}_2$ and 0.4295 g 50 wt% $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$ solution. The of $\text{Ni(H}_2\text{PO}_2)_2$-$\text{InP}/\text{TiO}_2$ sample was then reduced as described by a similar temperature program to what was plotted in Figure 2.2.2, using a reduction temperature of 673 K.
2.4 X-ray Diffraction

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for SiO$_2$-supported catalysts were obtained using a PanAnalytical X’Pert MRD Pro diffractometer with a Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation source having a wavelength ($\lambda$) of 0.15406 nm. Samples of catalysts in powdered form were deposited onto a glass slide by wetting with methanol and allowing to air dry. The catalysts were then analyzed over a Bragg angle (2$\theta$) range of 20-80°, using a step size of 0.02° and a dwell time of 5.2 s. Reference patterns were taken from the Crystallography Open Database (COD).

XRD patterns for TiO$_2$-supported catalysts were obtained using a Rigaku MiniFlex 6G diffractometer with a Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation source with $\lambda = 0.15406$ nm. Catalysts were mounted on zero-background sample holders (Rigaku, 5mm x 0.2 mm Well, Si510) in powdered form and scanned over Bragg angles of 20-80° using a step size of 0.0025° and a dwell time of 0.3 s.

Crystal phase identification was carried out by comparison of the as collected XRD patterns and reference XRD patterns from the Crystallography Open Database (COD). It was noted that, once subjected to TPR, XRD peaks associated with rutile TiO$_2$ grew in intensity relative to the anatase peaks, indicating an increase in the rutile:anatase ratio of the TiO$_2$. Average crystallite sizes ($D_c$) were determined using the “phase identification” and “configure size and strain” options in SmartLab Studio II software, neglecting XRD peaks significantly obstructed by signals from other crystalline phases such as rutile and anatase TiO$_2$. The method used in SmartLab Studio II for calculating crystallite sizes is the Halder-Wagner’s method.

2.5 Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy

Catalyst composition was probed by energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) with an Oxford X-Max energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) detector on either a Tescan Vega 3 Thermionic scanning electron microscope (SEM) or a JEOL JSM-7200F Field Emission SEM.
using take-off angles of 35.0° and 29.0°, respectively. Powdered catalysts were affixed onto aluminum mounting tabs using carbon tape and analyzed with accelerating voltages of either 5 or 15 kV. EDS spectra were taken for 100-200 s and analyzed using Aztec 3.3 SP1 software (Oxford Instruments).

2.6 Ultraviolet-Visible-Near Infrared Spectroscopy

UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra were acquired using a Jasco V-670 UV/Vis spectrometer equipped with a 60 mm diffuse reflectance integrating sphere. Catalysts were mounted in a powder sample cell (Jasco, PSH-002, 16 x 6 mm) and scans were taken from 300 to 1350 nm using a scanning speed of 200 nm/min, and bandwidths of 5.0 nm and 20.0 nm for UV/Vis and NIR regions, respectively. The raw data had a discontinuity at 750 nm, an artifact of an imperfect grating switch. To correct for this, the absorbance values associated with each point below 750 nm were increased until a smooth spectrum was obtained. This data manipulation did not affect the band gap analyses, for any shift in the y direction does not change the x intercept of the extrapolated lines and the data point range used for the extrapolations did not include 750 nm.

Band gap determination consisted of first plotting \((\frac{F(R)h\nu}{2})^{1/2}\), a function of reflectance \(R\), against photon energy (eV) for calculating a direct band gap, or plotting \(F(R)h\nu^2\) against (eV) for calculating an indirect band gap, as described by López and Gómez,\(^31\) where \(F(R) = \frac{(1-R)^2}{2R}\). Next, two lines were extrapolated; one through the most linear section of the absorption edge and one through the lowest, flattest section of the baseline. The x-coordinate of the intersection of these two lines is deemed to be the band gap of these materials. The most linear section of the absorption edge was located by plotting the derivative of the Tauc plot and pinpointing the maximum point in the range associated with the absorption edge. Using the TiO\(_2\) support as an example, Figure 2.6 shows the resulting line extrapolation. The TiO\(_2\) support
material consists of TiO$_2$ in two phases, rutile and anatase, both of which are identified on page __. It is reported that these phases have band gaps of 3.03 and 3.20 eV, respectively, and the Tauc plot in Figure 2.6 shows the extrapolation of two lines, which leads to experimental determination of two band gaps in TiO$_2$: 3.04 and 3.12 eV, assigned to rutile and anatase, respectively. Figure A.1 in the appendix shows the Tauc plot of TiO$_2$ and its derivative superimposed, the range bars indicating the approximate range of data points used to extrapolate the two lines in Figure 2.6. These data points were chosen because they are near the maxima in the derivative, which corresponds to the most linear section of the Tauc plot.

### 2.7 X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were collected using a Surface Physics M-Probe ESCA X-Ray Photoelectron Spectrometer equipped with a flood gun, a monochromatic Al K$_\alpha$ x-ray source (1486.6 eV), and a hemispherical analyzer with microchannel plate detectors. The take-off angle was 55°, which results in a sampling depth of 3-10 nm. The vacuum system includes a cryogenic pump and two turbo pumps, allowing all catalysts to be scanned at pressures below 5x10$^{-7}$ Torr. The spectra were corrected for sample charging by using the C(1s) peak as a reference (284.6 eV) and they were fitted for analysis with CasaXPS software using a Shirley type background.
Chapter 3: Results

3.1 XRD Characterization of In$_x$Ga$_{x-1}$P/SiO$_2$ Catalysts

X-ray diffraction was used to determine crystalline phases in the InP/SiO$_2$ catalysts. Crystal phase identification was done by comparing experimental XRD patterns with an InP reference pattern (Card No. 9008852) found in the COD (Crystallography Open Database) database. For clarity while interpreting XRD plots, Figure 3.1.1 shows the layout that is used in each XRD section. The format has reference XRD patterns situated at the top of the graph, diffraction patterns for the catalysts below, and a scan of the support material (SiO$_2$ or TiO$_2$) at the bottom.

Figure 3.1.1 compares diffraction patterns of InP/SiO$_2$ catalysts with a range of InP loadings (5-20 wt%); the observed diffraction peaks are in agreement with the InP reference pattern. The most intense peaks at Bragg angles of 26.5°, 43.8°, and 51.8°, attributed to the (111), (220), and (311) InP crystalline planes, respectively, are observed for all InP loadings presented. These three peaks are shown to increase in intensity as InP loading increases from 5 to 20 wt% InP.
Figure 3.1.2 shows a stacked plot of diffraction patterns for In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts having different compositions (In/Ga molar ratio). The three InP peaks mentioned above are observed when the gallium content is below that of the In$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$P/SiO$_2$ catalyst. The (111) peak position of InP in the InP/SiO$_2$ catalyst appears at a Bragg angle of 26.4°. This peak shows at a higher Bragg angle (26.9°) in the In$_{0.5}$Ga$_{0.5}$P/SiO$_2$ pattern, which is less of a shift than predicted by Vegard’s law (27.6°, the average of the expected (111) peak positions for InP and GaP). As gallium content is increased in these catalysts the InP peak decreases in intensity and is replaced by very broad peaks, indicative of an amorphous material.

![Figure 3.1.2 XRD patterns of 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/SiO$_2$ catalysts](image-url)
3.2 Characterization of In\textsubscript{2}Ga\textsubscript{2-x}O\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2} Catalysts

3.2.1 XRD

The XRD patterns in the following plots include the diffraction pattern of the TiO\textsubscript{2} support material at the bottom of each stacked plot. The as-received TiO\textsubscript{2} material is composed of anatase and rutile crystal phases (with an anatase/rutile ratio of 80/20) and its diffraction pattern shows peaks characteristic of anatase (COD Card No. 9015929) and rutile (COD Card No. 90156662).\textsuperscript{33} The most intense peaks from anatase are observed at 25.4°, 37.9°, and 48.1° (assigned to the (101), (004), and (200) crystal planes, respectively) and for rutile at 27.5°, 36.1°, and 54.4° (assigned to the (110), (101), and (211) crystal planes, respectively).

Figure 3.2.1 shows XRD patterns for the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts. Crystal phase identification was done by comparing experimental XRD patterns with an In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} reference pattern found in the COD database (Card No. 1010341). At loadings of 10 wt% In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and higher, the most intense diffraction peaks are observed at 30.6°, 35.5°, and 50.3°, which can be indexed to the (222), (400), and (440) crystal planes, respectively, of the cubic phase of In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (COD Card No. 1010341). The hexagonal crystal phase of In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is also identified, most noticeably by the (110) and (211) diffraction peaks at 32.6° and 51.0°, respectively. The only observed In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} diffraction peak in the 5 wt% In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst is the (222) peak at 30.6°. No In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} peaks are observed for the 2.5 wt% In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst.

![Figure 3.2.1 XRD patterns of In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts (2.5-20 wt%).](image-url)
The In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts were analyzed for average crystallite size determination. The (111), (200), (220), (311), and (420) peaks were manually selected for this analysis due to lack of interference from TiO$_2$ peaks. The average In$_2$O$_3$ crystallite sizes can be found in Table 3.2.1. Catalysts with loadings of 2.5 and 5 wt% were not analyzed due to low signal to noise ratios. Based on the crystallite sizes listed in Table 3.2.1, average In$_2$O$_3$ crystallite size shows little dependence on loading.

Table 3.2.1 Average crystallite sizes for In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt%</th>
<th>Crystallite Size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.2.2 displays a stacked plot of XRD patterns for the In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts, and it reveals the (222), (400), and (440) diffraction peaks of In$_2$O$_3$ in its cubic crystal phase at 30.6°, 35.5°, and 50.3°, respectively, and the (110) and (211) peaks of its hexagonal phase at 32.6° and 51.0°, respectively, in the three most indium rich In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts. These peaks are most intense for the In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalyst. As indium content is decreased, the diffraction peaks assigned to the In$_2$O$_3$ phase diminish. For the two most gallium rich catalysts, only peaks belonging to TiO$_2$ are observed. Therefore, there is no XRD evidence for the presence of In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$ crystals in these two catalysts.

Figure 3.2.2 XRD patterns of 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/ TiO$_2$ catalysts.
The average $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3$ crystallite sizes for the $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts are presented in Table 3.2.2, and it reveals that, within uncertainty, crystallites grow to similar sizes as gallium content is varied.

### Table 3.2.2 Average crystallite sizes for 20 wt% $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Crystallite Size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.5}\text{Ga}</em>{1.5}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{InGaO}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{1.5}\text{Ga}</em>{0.5}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2.2 EDS and SEM

EDS analysis was done on the $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts (oxide precursors of InP/\TiO_2) prepared using the incipient wetness technique and calcination at 673 K. The EDS spectra in Figure 3.2.3 show that the $L_{\alpha}$, $L_{\beta1}$, $L_{\beta2}$, and $L_{\gamma}$ spectral lines of indium, observed at energies of 3.3, 3.5, 3.7, and 3.9 keV, respectively, are detectable at least down to a 2.5 wt% loading of $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ and that when loading is increased to 20 wt%, a corresponding increase in indium signal intensity is observed.

![Figure 3.2.3 EDS spectra for $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts (2.5-20 wt%).](image)
Figure 3.2.4 shows EDS spectra that correspond to two point-scans of a 20 wt% \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2 \) catalyst. The locations of these EDS point-scans are indicated with red squares in the SEM image in the background of Figure 3.2.4. The particles seen in the top-right section of this image resemble the shape of grains of rice, though a few of the particles are oriented in such a way as to reveal a shape more accurately described as a disk. Length measurements were taken of the diameter and thickness of these particles, revealing averages of 110 and 28 nm, respectively. Also, an EDS point scan of one of the particles was taken (Spectrum 37) and compared to a point scan from an area that shows no evidence of these particles (Spectrum 36).
The $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts (precursors of $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$) prepared using the incipient wetness technique and calcination at 673 K were also subjected to EDS analysis. Elemental compositions were determined using the L$_\alpha$ peak of gallium at a ~1.1 keV binding energy and the L peaks of indium at binding energies of 3.3, 3.5, and 3.7 keV. The EDS spectra can be seen in Figure 3.2.5, and reveals that as the indium content ($x$) is varied from 1 to 0, the In L peaks diminish and the Ga L$_\alpha$ peak grows in.

![Figure 3.2.5](image_url)  
*Figure 3.2.5 EDS spectra for 20 wt% $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts.*
Elemental compositions of the In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts can be viewed in Table 3.2.3 along with the indium-to-gallium molar ratios (In/Ga). EDS determined In/Ga values range from being ~67% to ~230% the expected values (3.0 and 0.33 in the catalysts with target compositions of In$_{1.5}$Ga$_{0.5}$O$_3$ and In$_{0.5}$Ga$_{0.15}$O$_3$, respectively). The reason for the discrepancies in these bulk compositions is unclear, though one possible explanation stems from the uncertainty in the metal nitrate precursor hydrate numbers (y in (In(NO$_3$)$_3$)$_y$$\cdot$yH$_2$O and z in Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$$\cdot$zH$_2$O).

Table 3.2.3 Compositions of the 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts as determined by EDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Bulk Composition</th>
<th>Formula (EDS)</th>
<th>In/Ga (EDS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ga$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Ga$<em>2$O$</em>{3.00}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{1.5}$O$_3$</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.87\pm0.02}$Ga$</em>{1.1\pm0.02}$O$_{3.00}$</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>In$<em>{2}$O$</em>{3.00}$</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{1.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$O$_3$</td>
<td>In$<em>{1.32\pm0.05}$Ga$</em>{0.68\pm0.05}$O$_{3.00}$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{1.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$O$_3$</td>
<td>In$<em>{1.40\pm0.02}$Ga$</em>{0.60\pm0.02}$O$_{3.00}$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.3 UV-Vis-NIR Spectroscopy

UV-Vis absorption spectra of a series of In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts are shown in Figure 3.2.6. The spectra of catalysts with loadings higher than 5 wt% In$_2$O$_3$ show a broad absorbance below 600 nm, consistent with what was reported in the literature. This broad absorbance increases in relative intensity with increasing In$_2$O$_3$ loading.

Figure 3.2.6 UV-Vis absorption spectra of In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts (5-20 wt%).
Figure 3.2.7 shows a Tauc plot of the 20 wt% In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalyst overlaid with a Tauc plot of the TiO$_2$ support in the ~310 nm to ~620 nm range. The extrapolated line led to a calculated band gap of 2.87 eV for the 20 wt% In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalyst. Band have been reported in the literature as 2.8 eV for In$_2$O$_3$ and ~3.1 eV for TiO$_2$.\textsuperscript{22,35}

**Figure 3.2.7** Plot of F(R)$\cdot$h$_v^{1/2}$ vs. eV for determining band gaps in a 20 wt% In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalyst.
Band gaps for the In\(_2\)O\(_3\)/TiO\(_2\) catalysts are listed in Table 3.2.4. The absorbance by In\(_2\)O\(_3\) is too low to quantify a separate band gap, leading to the determination of one band gap each catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt% In(_2)O(_3)</th>
<th>Band Gap (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absorbance spectra for the In\(_x\)Ga\(_{2-x}\)O\(_3\)/TiO\(_2\) catalysts are presented in Figure 3.2.8. It is apparent that the deposition of Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) onto TiO\(_2\) has a minimal effect on the absorption spectrum. This can be explained by the fact that TiO\(_2\) has a band gap of 3.0 eV. The <300 nm absorption onset for Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) (band gap = 4.8 eV) would be obscured by the intense absorption of TiO\(_2\).\(^{22,36}\) As indium content increases, the absorption onset at ~500 nm grows in intensity and appears to shift towards longer wavelengths (> 500 nm).

**Figure 3.2.8** UV-Vis absorption spectra of 20 wt% In\(_x\)Ga\(_{2-x}\)O\(_3\)/TiO\(_2\) catalysts.
Figure 3.2.9 shows Tauc plots for the 20 wt% $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts. As indium content is increased, it is apparent from the absorption onset at ~2.5 eV that lower energy photons are absorbed relative to the $\text{TiO}_2$ and $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts, indicating a decrease in band gap. Figures A.5-A.9 (Appendix) is a collection of all Tauc plots in the 20 wt% $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts, which shows that the technique used to measure band gaps in this thesis work does yield smaller band gaps with an increasing x value, though not to the extent one would assume by observing the significant shift in the absorbance onset as x increases in Figure 3.2.9. It was not possible to determine accurate band gaps for the $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3$ material, due to the overpowering $\text{TiO}_2$ absorption in this region, though a trend towards lower band gaps as x increasing was observed.

![Figure 3.2.9 Plot of $F(R)h\nu^{1/2}$ vs. eV for determining band gaps of 20 wt% $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$. $(F(R)h\nu)^{1/2}$ values are offset to create a stacked plot.](image-url)
Band gaps for the 20 wt% $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{2-x}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts are listed in Table 3.2.5, which increase slightly with decreasing indium content (from 2.87 eV in $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ to 2.97 eV in $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Band Gap (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.5}\text{Ga}</em>{1.5}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{InGaO}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{1.5}\text{Ga}</em>{0.5}\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.4 XPS

XPS spectra for In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts having varying In$_2$O$_3$ loadings are plotted in Figure 3.2.10. The peak at 444.3-444.9 eV is assigned to the In(3d$_{5/2}$) core level of In$^{3+}$ in In$_2$O$_3$ based on the literature values of 444.2 and 444.5 eV. The In(3d$_{5/2}$) signal intensity increases with increasing In$_2$O$_3$ loading as expected.

Figure 3.2.10 XPS spectra of In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts (2.5-20 wt%).
XPS spectra for the In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts are plotted in Figure 3.2.11 and they show peaks at 444.1-444.4 eV and 1117.4-1117.8 eV, corresponding to the In(3d$_{5/2}$) and Ga(2p$_{3/2}$) core levels in In$_2$O$_3$ and Ga$_2$O$_3$ reported in the literature between 444.2 and 444.5 eV, and between 1118.1 and 1118.3 eV, respectively. The binding energy for In(3d$_{5/2}$) matches well with the In$_2$O$_3$ literature values, and the binding energy for Ga(2p$_{3/2}$) matches Ga$_2$O$_3$ the literature within uncertainty. Gallium in Ga$_2$O$_3$ is assigned a 3+ oxidation state. The general trend in peak intensities is that In(3d$_{5/2}$) grows in as Ga(2p$_{3/2}$) diminishes with increasing In/Ga ratios, as expected.

Figure 3.2.11 XPS spectra of 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts.
The XPS spectra were used to determine surface compositions of the In\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{2-x}O\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts (Table 3.2.6). The subscript on oxygen was fixed at 3.00 in each case and the calculated In/Ga ratio was used to determine subscripts on In and Ga, based on an assumed metal-to-oxygen ratio of 2:3. The catalyst with the target composition of In\textsubscript{1.5}Ga\textsubscript{0.5}O\textsubscript{3} was determined to have a significantly lower XPS-determined In/Ga molar ratio (1.6) relative to what was expected (3.0), suggesting that this catalyst has an enrichment of gallium on its surface.

**Table 3.2.6** Surface compositions of 20 wt\% In\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{2-x}O\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts as determined by XPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Bulk Composition</th>
<th>XPS-Composition</th>
<th>In/Ga Molar Ratio (XPS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ga\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>Ga\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3.00}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In\textsubscript{0.5}Ga\textsubscript{1.5}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>In\textsubscript{0.61}Ga\textsubscript{1.39}O\textsubscript{3.00}</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InGaO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>In\textsubscript{1.03}Ga\textsubscript{0.97}O\textsubscript{3.00}</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In\textsubscript{1.5}Ga\textsubscript{0.5}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>In\textsubscript{1.23}Ga\textsubscript{0.77}O\textsubscript{3.00}</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3.00}</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Characterization of In\textsubscript{1-x}Ga\textsubscript{x}P/TiO\textsubscript{2} Catalysts

3.3.1 XRD

XRD patterns for the InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts are presented in Figure 3.3.1. The most intense peaks in the XRD patterns line up well with the peaks assigned to the (111), (220), and (311) crystal planes of the InP reference pattern (COD Card No. 9008852). These peaks are visible at 26.2°, 43.5°, and 51.6°, respectively. The 15 and 20 wt% InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts show impurity peaks assigned In\textsubscript{2}P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7} between 28.3° and 29.7° (COD Card No. 1535390) and an indium metal impurity peak at 32.9° (COD Card No. 2100456). Figure A.10 shows a stacked XRD plot of the 20 wt% InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst, and reference patterns for In\textsubscript{2}P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7} and In metal for visual verification.

![XRD Patterns](image_url)

**Figure 3.3.1** XRD patterns of InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts (5-20 wt%).
The InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts were analyzed for average InP crystallite size determination. The (111), (200), (220), (311), and (420) crystal plane peaks were manually selected for this analysis due to lack of interference from TiO$_2$ peaks. The average InP crystallite sizes can be found in Table 3.3.1, and reveal the general trend that lower loading results in smaller crystallites.

**Table 3.3.1** Average crystallite sizes for InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt% InP</th>
<th>Crystallite Size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XRD patterns for the 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Figure 3.3.2. With the exception of the GaP/TiO$_2$ catalyst, the patterns show peaks that line up well with the peaks assigned to the (111), (220), and (311) crystal planes of the InP reference pattern. The InP/TiO$_2$ and In$_{0.75}$Ga$_{0.25}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts show impurity peaks arising from In$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ and, as mentioned earlier, the InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst pattern contains an indium metal peak at 32.9°. The GaP/TiO$_2$ catalyst shows only peaks from the TiO$_2$ support, and a weak peak at 22° that may originate from the GaP reference.
from surface-oxidized GaP (GaO_4P; COD: 5910199). Figure A.11 shows this GaO_4P peak in the
region expected for this impurity. Identification of these impurities reveals that the current
synthetic route is flawed and must be optimized in order to yield phase pure material.

Figure 3.3.3 shows an expansion of the 43.0° to 47.5° region of the In_xGa_1-xP/TiO_2
diffraction patterns. These patterns reveal a shift of the (220) peak position of InP from 43.5° to
43.6° upon incorporation of gallium (comparing the InP/TiO_2 and In_{0.75}Ga_{0.25}P/TiO_2 catalysts)
followed by another shift to 43.7° upon further addition of gallium.

The preparation and properties of In_xGa_1-xP nanowires have been reported in the literature,^28
and the XRD peaks for these nanowires have been shown to follow Vegard’s law for solid solutions. Vegard’s law states that when varying the concentration of two constituent elements in a solid solution, there is a linear relationship between the relative concentration of the constituent elements and the lattice parameter of the resulting crystal structure.^28 The consequence of this law in terms of XRD is that by varying x in In_xGa_1-xP from 1 to 0, a linear shift in the (220) crystal plane peak should occur from ~ 43.5° in InP to 47.1° in GaP.

Average crystallite sizes for the In_xGa_1-xP/TiO_2 catalysts can be viewed in Table 3.3.2. This table shows the trend of increasing indium content resulting in larger crystallites in the
range of 13 to 46 nm. The InP crystallite size determined (46 nm) is significantly higher than the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P crystallite sizes found in the remaining catalysts, which could be explained by the fact that InP has a lower melting point (1330-1510 K) than GaP (1620-1950 K), causing nanoparticles composed of phases that include gallium to experience less of a sintering effect when heated.\textsuperscript{41} Crystallite sizes for the GaP/TiO$_2$ catalyst could not be determined, due to the absence of GaP peaks in the diffraction pattern.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
Catalyst & Crystallite Size (nm) \\
\hline
GaP/TiO$_2$ & --- \\
In$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$P/TiO$_2$ & 13 \\
In$_{0.5}$Ga$_{0.5}$P/TiO$_2$ & 13 \\
In$_{0.75}$Ga$_{0.25}$P/TiO$_2$ & 16 \\
InP/TiO$_2$ & 46 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Average crystallite sizes for 20 wt\% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts.}
\end{table}
3.3.2 EDS and SEM

Bulk elemental compositions of the InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts were determined via EDS. Indium was analyzed using the L\textsubscript{α} peak at 3.3 keV, and analysis of phosphorous utilized the K\textsubscript{α} peak just above 2 keV. The spectra in Figure 3.3.4 show the In L\textsubscript{α} peak intensities growing in tandem with P K\textsubscript{α} intensities as InP loading is increased.

![Figure 3.3.4 EDS spectra for InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts (1-20 wt%).]
The bulk compositions of the InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Table 3.3.3. The expected P/In ratio is 1.00, based on the stoichiometric 1:1 ratio in InP, but was determined to be greater than 1.00 for each InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst. The formulas were determined by fixing the P subscript to 1.00, and using the calculated In/P ratio as the In subscript. The uncertainties provided in Table 3.3.3 are the standard deviations based on 3-5 measurements.

**Table 3.3.3** Bulk compositions of InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts as determined by EDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt% InP</th>
<th>Atomic P/In (EDS)</th>
<th>Formula (EDS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.37±0.06</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.73±0.03}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.31±0.09</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.9±0.07}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.07±0.02</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.93±0.02}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.34±0.14</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.76±0.08}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.20±0.05</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.83±0.03}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.13±0.09</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.9±0.07}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bulk elemental compositions of the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts were also determined via EDS. Indium and gallium were analyzed using the L$_\alpha$ peaks at 3.3 and 1.1 keV, respectively, and analysis of phosphorous utilized the K$_\alpha$ peak just above 2 keV. The spectra shown in Figure 3.3.5 reveal increasing In L$_\alpha$ peak intensities and decreasing Ga L$_\alpha$ peak intensities as the value of x in the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts increased.

![EDS spectra for 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts.](image)
Bulk compositions of the $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts are presented in Table 3.3.4. The expected trend of increasing indium-to-gallium ratio with increasing $x$ is apparent, while the P/M molar ratio was greater than 1.0 for these catalysts. This may be a consequence of either the uncertainty in the metal nitrate precursor hydrate numbers ($y$ in $(\text{In(NO}_3)_3 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $z$ in $(\text{Ga(NO}_3)_3 \cdot z\text{H}_2\text{O})$ or it could be due to excess phosphate species as a consequence of the syntheses having a nominal P/M of ~1.25. A P/M molar ratio greater than 1.0 was used in the synthesis to account for phosphorous lost as phosphine (PH$_3$) during the 873 K reduction step. The formulas in Table 3.3.4 were determined by fixing the P subscript to 1.00, and using the calculated In/P and Ga/P ratios as subscripts for In and Ga, respectively.

**Table 3.3.4** Compositions of 20 wt% $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts, as determined by EDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Bulk Composition</th>
<th>In/Ga (EDS)</th>
<th>P/M (EDS)</th>
<th>Formula (EDS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ga}<em>{1.00}\text{P}</em>{1.00}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.09±0.64</td>
<td>$\text{Ga}<em>{0.33±0.06}\text{P}</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.25}\text{Ga}</em>{0.75}\text{P}_{1.00}$</td>
<td>0.70±0.16</td>
<td>1.41±0.03</td>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.29±0.04}\text{Ga}</em>{0.42±0.04}\text{P}_{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.50}\text{Ga}</em>{0.50}\text{P}_{1.00}$</td>
<td>1.58±0.27</td>
<td>1.46±0.14</td>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.42±0.06}\text{Ga}</em>{0.27±0.03}\text{P}_{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.75}\text{Ga}</em>{0.25}\text{P}_{1.00}$</td>
<td>3.28±0.49</td>
<td>1.43±0.17</td>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.52±0.06}\text{Ga}</em>{0.16±0.02}\text{P}_{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{1.00}\text{P}</em>{1.00}$</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.13±0.09</td>
<td>$\text{In}<em>{0.89±0.07}\text{P}</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.3 UV-Vis-NIR Spectroscopy

The UV-Vis spectrum labeled “TiO$_2$” in the following plots is the absorbance spectrum for a sample of TiO$_2$ that has been calcined at 673 K and subjected to the same TPR program that was used in the syntheses of the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts it is compared to. Figure A.12 in the appendix shows the absorption spectrum for TiO$_2$ after calcination and compares it to the absorption spectrum of the TiO$_2$ support after subsequent reduction under flowing hydrogen at 873 K. The comparison reveals that, upon reduction, TiO$_2$ develops broad absorption in the 400-1100 nm range (~1-3 eV). TiO$_2$ absorbance in the 1-3 eV range has also been reported in the literature in samples of TiO$_2$ that have been exposed to a reducing atmosphere (H$_2$) at elevated temperatures (>700 K). The source of this increased absorption has been debated, though it seems likely due to defects in partially reduced TiO$_2$ (Ti$^{3+}$ ions/oxygen vacancies). UV-Vis absorption spectra for the InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Figure 3.3.6. The general trend is that as InP loading increases, the absorption in the 400-900 nm region increases.

![UV-Vis absorption spectra of InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts (2.5-20 wt%)](image)

**Figure 3.3.6** UV-Vis absorption spectra of InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts (2.5-20 wt%).
A Tauc plot for the 20 wt% InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst is presented in Figure 3.3.7 and is overlaid with a Tauc plot for TiO$_2$ for comparison. The band gaps for this InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst were measured to be 1.26 and 3.01 eV and were assigned to InP and TiO$_2$, respectively.

Band gaps for the InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts with InP loadings of 5-20 wt% are listed in Table 3.3.5. As the InP peak decreases in intensity with decreasing loading, the measured band gap decreases. This is due to the InP peak being only weakly observed and obscured by the TiO$_2$ peak in the 1-3 eV range, a direct result of increased absorbance in this region upon reduction of TiO$_2$. This increased absorbance from the support causes the Tauc plot analysis to become unreliable at a loading of 5 wt% InP (See Figure A.13 in the appendix for the Tauc plot for 5 wt% InP/TiO$_2$).

Table 3.3.5 Band gaps of InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts as determined from Tauc plot analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt%</th>
<th>Band Gap of InP (eV)</th>
<th>Band Gap of TiO$_2$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UV-Vis spectra for the 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are shown in Figure 3.3.8. The InP/TiO$_2$ spectrum shows a broad absorption band (400-900 nm), which is assigned to the InP species. The figure also shows that as indium content is decreased, InP absorption decreases is replaced by an absorption band in the 400-600 nm region when no indium is present (in the GaP/TiO$_2$ catalyst). Absorption in this region agrees well with the absorption peak at ~500 nm for GaP nanoparticles seen in previous published work (This GaP absorption spectrum was shown earlier in Figure 1.2).  

**Figure 3.3.8** UV-Vis absorption spectra of 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts.
Figure 3.3.9 shows Tauc plots for the GaP/TiO$_2$ and InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts in the 1.0-4.0 eV region (1240-310 nm) for comparison. The derivative of the Tauc plot for GaP/TiO$_2$ revealed two maxima in the 2.0-4.0 eV region (Figure 3.3.10) and a line was extrapolated to baseline for each. Analysis led to the determination of two band gaps, 2.26 and 3.05 eV, assigned to be the band gaps of GaP (based on the literature value of 2.3 eV)$^{28}$ and TiO$_2$, respectively. Figure 3.3.10 is a stacked plot of the five Tauc plots for the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts for ease of comparison across the series.

![Figure 3.3.9 Plot of F(R)*hν$^{1/2}$ vs. eV for determining band gaps in a 20 wt% GaP/TiO$_2$ catalyst.](image)

![Figure 3.3.10 Derivative of the Tauc plot for 20 wt% GaP/TiO$_2$.](image)

![Figure 3.3.11 Plots of F(R)*hν$^{1/2}$ vs. eV for determining band gaps in 20 wt% In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$. (F(R)*hν)$^{1/2}$ values are offset to create a stacked plot.](image)
Table 3.3.6 lists the band gaps for the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ series of catalysts and reveals a general trend of decreasing band gaps with increasing indium content, though the band gap shift (2.28 to 1.26 eV from $x = 0.25$ to $x = 1.0$, $\Delta E \approx -0.1$ eV) is not nearly what was expected based on literature (approximately 2.1 to 1.35 eV from $x = 0.21$ to $x = 1.0$, $\Delta E \approx -0.8$ eV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>InP Band Gap (eV)</th>
<th>In$<em>x$Ga$</em>{1-x}$P Band Gap (eV)</th>
<th>GaP Band Gap (eV)</th>
<th>TiO$_{2-x}$ Band Gap (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GaP/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.25}$Ga$</em>{0.75}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$<em>{0.75}$Ga$</em>{0.25}$P/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InP/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TiO$_2$ is expected to be partially reduced during the TPR step in the synthesis of In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$.

Table 3.3.6 lists the In$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$P/TiO$_2$ catalyst as having three band gaps, which requires some explanation. Figure 3.3.12 shows the derivative of the Tauc plot for In$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$P/TiO$_2$, revealing three maxima. This led to the extrapolation of three lines, shown in the Tauc plot in Figure 3.3.13, ultimately resulting in the determination of three band gaps.

**Figure 3.3.12** Derivative of the Tauc plot for 20 wt% In$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$P/TiO$_2$.  

---
3.3.4 XPS

XPS spectra for the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in this section. A challenge faced during the analysis of these XPS spectra stemmed from the fact that the supported phosphide phase (In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P) is readily oxidized upon air exposure. For this reason, after synthesis and before exposing the catalysts to air, they were passivated by flowing dilute oxygen gas (1.001 mol% O$_2$/He) over the catalyst to form an oxide layer in a more controllable setting than direct exposure to air (~21% O$_2$). Due to the surface of the metal phosphides being oxidized, XPS analysis yielded spectra composed of peaks for the reduced (In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P) nanoparticles and the oxidized outer layer of the particles.

![Figure 3.3.13 Tauc plot for the In$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$P/TiO$_2$ catalyst.](image)
XPS spectra for the InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts (2.5-20 wt\% InP) are shown in Figure 3.3.14. The spectra show the expected trend of increasing indium and phosphorous signals as the InP loading increases. The In(3d\textsubscript{5/2}) peak is in the 444.6-445.3 eV range, which is a higher binding energy than what is reported in the literature for InP (444.0 eV),\textsuperscript{43} suggesting a more oxidized indium species, a consequence of the indium phosphides having an oxide layer. In fact, the binding energies found in these catalysts agree well with what has been reported for In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (444.5 eV).\textsuperscript{35} The dominant P (2p) peak appears in the 133.2-133.8 eV range, and a minor peak is observed at 128.2 and 128.5 eV. This is also in agreement with literature values (133.4 eV for oxidized InP and 128.8 eV for InP).\textsuperscript{43,44}

\textbf{Figure 3.3.14} XPS spectra of InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts (2.5-20 wt\%).
Surface compositions for the InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts are listed in Table 3.3.7; InP is expected to have a surface P/In molar ratio of 1. The XPS measurements reveal a relatively wide range of P/In values (0.79 to 1.38). The average P/In value across the InP/TiO$_2$ series is 1.12, slightly more phosphorous rich than the stoichiometric value.

### Table 3.3.7 Surface compositions of InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts, as determined by XPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt% InP</th>
<th>Atomic P/In (XPS)</th>
<th>Formula (XPS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.82}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.72}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>In$<em>{0.92}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>In$<em>{1.26}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XPS spectra of the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are shown in Figure 3.3.15. The Ga(2p$_{3/2}$) peak positions were in the 1118.0 to 1118.6 eV range, which agrees well with what has been found for surface oxidized GaP particles (1118.1-1118.3 eV), and In(3d$_{5/2}$) peaks are still appearing in the 444.6-445.3 eV range as seen for the InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts. P(2p) peaks observed in this analysis range from 133.2-134.0 eV and 128.2-129.0 eV, also in agreement with literature values. XPS spectra of surface-oxidized InP nanocrystals and GaP crystals show P(2p) having binding energies...
of 133 and 134 eV, respectively, while P(2p) peaks are expected at 128.8 eV for the reduced InP nanocrystals and GaP crystals.\textsuperscript{38,43}

Surface compositions of the In\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1-x}P/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts are presented in Table 3.3.8, along with In/Ga and P/M molar ratios. The indium-to-gallium molar ratio is shown to increase with increasing indium content as expected, and the phosphorous to metal molar ratios were 0.66-0.89 with an average of 0.80, less than the expected P/M=1, indicating that the surfaces of the In\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1-x}P particles are metal-rich.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Bulk Composition</th>
<th>XPS Composition</th>
<th>Atomic In/Ga (XPS)</th>
<th>Atomic P/M (XPS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ga\textsubscript{1.00}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>Ga\textsubscript{1.51} P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In\textsubscript{0.25}Ga\textsubscript{0.75}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>In\textsubscript{0.27}Ga\textsubscript{0.85}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In\textsubscript{0.50}Ga\textsubscript{0.50}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>In\textsubscript{0.63}Ga\textsubscript{0.54}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In\textsubscript{0.75}Ga\textsubscript{0.25}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>In\textsubscript{0.85}Ga\textsubscript{0.39}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In\textsubscript{1.00}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>In\textsubscript{1.26}P\textsubscript{1.00}</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.3.8** Surface compositions of 20 wt% In\textsubscript{x}Ga\textsubscript{1-x}P/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts as determined by XPS.
3.4 Characterization of Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ Catalysts

3.4.1 XRD

Diffraction patterns for Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts having loadings of 2.5-20 wt% Ni$_2$P are presented in Figure 3.4.1. As loading increases, starting with 10 wt%, three peaks become observable at the 40.7°, 44.6°, and 47.4° positions, which arise from the (111), (201), and (201) crystal planes of hexagonal Ni$_2$P, respectively (COD No. 1537576). There are no observable Ni$_2$P peaks between 53° and 56°, owing to the fact that the weak diffraction signals are shielded by the relatively intense TiO$_2$ peaks in this region.

![XRD patterns of Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts (2.5-20 wt%).](image)

**Figure 3.4.1** XRD patterns of Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts (2.5-20 wt%).
Ni$_2$P crystallites sizes were measured using the (101), (111), and (201) diffraction peaks. Crystallite sizes for catalysts with quantificational peaks can be viewed in Table 3.4.1.

**Table 3.4.1** Average crystallite sizes for Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt%</th>
<th>Crystallite Size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.4.2 EDS and SEM**

EDS spectra for the Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are shown in Figure 3.4.2. These spectra show Ni L$_\alpha$ and P K$_\alpha$ peaks growing in at ~ 0.85 and 7.5 keV, respectively, as the Ni$_2$P loading increases. Elemental compositions and P/Ni molar ratios for the Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Table 3.4.2. The subscripts on phosphorous were all fixed at 1.00, and the Ni/P molar ratios were used as the nickel subscripts. Uncertainties were taken as the standard deviation based on measurements from 5-8 locations on each sample. Most P/Ni ratios determined here are significantly higher.

**Figure 3.4.2** EDS spectra for the Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts (2.5-10 wt%).
than what is expected based on the presence of Ni$_2$P, evidenced by XRD pattern recognition. This can be explained by the fact that the nominal P/Ni ratio used in these syntheses was P/Ni=2. Excess phosphorous (hypophosphite) was expected to decompose and leave as gaseous PH$_3$ during the reaction, though it seems that some phosphorous species have remained on the Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalyst, possibly in the form of phosphate, closely associated with titanium atoms in TiO$_2$. The detector is receiving signals from all phosphorous species and is unable to distinguish between them, which distorts the EDS determined nickel phosphide formulae. Reducing the nominal P/Ni ratio in the synthesis of Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ has not yet been explored.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt% Ni$_2$P</th>
<th>Atomic P/Ni (EDS)</th>
<th>Formula (EDS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.45±0.16</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.70±0.08}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.12±0.11</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.90±0.09}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.98±0.11</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{1.03±0.11}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.50±0.02</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{2.01±0.08}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.78±0.17</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.57±0.05}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4.3 UV-Vis-NIR Spectroscopy

UV-Vis absorption spectra for the Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are presented in Figure 3.4.3. The spectra show that as Ni$_2$P loading is increased, a broad absorption peak grows in at ~820 nm along with an increase in absorption between 400 and 900 nm (this increase in absorption can be seen by comparison with the TiO$_2$ absorption slope).

Previous literature has determined a 1.0 eV band gap for as-prepared “bulk” Ni$_2$P (in powder form), though it is widely debated that Ni$_2$P is metallic and has no band gap.\(^{15,20}\) Figure 3.4.4 shows a Tauc plot in the 1.0-4.0 eV (1240 and 310 nm) region for the Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts. Two lines were extrapolated through the portion of the plot that corresponds to the Ni$_2$P and TiO$_2$ absorption onsets. This analysis leads to the calculation of two band gaps for the 20 wt% Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalyst. The first (1.2 eV)
is assigned to the band gap of Ni$_2$P and the second (2.9 eV) is assigned to TiO$_2$. The band gaps determined for the Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are listed in Table 3.4.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt% Ni$_2$P</th>
<th>Band Gap of Ni$_2$P (eV)</th>
<th>Band Gap of TiO$_2$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4.4 XPS

XPS spectra for the Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts are shown in Figure 3.4.5. Three Ni(2p) peaks are identified in the Ni(2p$_{3/2}$) region: 852.6-854.8 eV, 855.7-857.6 eV, and 860.6-862.9 eV. Based on the literature these can be assigned to nickel in Ni$_2$P (852.62 eV), oxidized Ni$_2$P (856.70 eV), and the Ni(2p$_{3/2}$) satellite peak, respectively. It is apparent that the 20 wt% Ni$_2$P catalyst has a significant amount of reduced nickel species, as indicated by the large low-binding-energy peak (at 852.6 eV). This is likely due to the fact that

**Table 3.4.3 Band gaps of Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts as determined from Tauc plot analysis.**

**Figure 3.4.5 XPS spectra of Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts (1-40 wt%).**
the particles are significantly larger (15 nm compared to 7 nm in catalysts with lower Ni$_2$P loadings), increasing their surface-to-volume ratio.

Surface compositions were calculated for the NiP/TiO$_2$ catalysts and are presented in Table 3.4.4 and the surfaces were determined to be phosphorous rich. All catalysts exhibit P/Ni molar ratios of 1.27 and higher, significantly larger than the target P/Ni molar ratio of 0.5 based on Ni$_2$P stoichiometry. P/Ni molar ratios are higher here than they were based on EDS analysis, likely due to XPS being sensitive to the excess phosphorous on the surface of the catalyst mentioned in the EDS discussion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt% Ni$_2$P</th>
<th>Surface P/Ni (XPS)</th>
<th>Formula (XPS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.67}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.79}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.75}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.71}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.32}$P$</em>{1.00}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5 Characterization of Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ Catalyst

3.5.1 XRD

The X-ray diffraction pattern for a Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst is shown in Figure 3.5.1, along with Ni$_2$P and InP reference patterns above, and the diffraction pattern of the TiO$_2$ support below. Crystal phase identification was done by comparing experimental XRD patterns with Ni$_2$P (Card No. 1537576) and InP (Card No. 9008852) reference patterns found in the COD database. The most intense diffraction peaks for InP, corresponding to the (111), (220), and (311) crystalline planes, are observed at 26.2°, 43.5°, and 51.6°, respectively. Peaks assigned to Ni$_2$P were not detected.

![XRD Pattern](image)

**Figure 3.5.1** XRD pattern for Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst (20 wt% Ni$_2$P, 10 wt% InP).
3.5.2 UV-Vis-NIR Spectroscopy

The UV-Vis absorption spectrum for the Ni\textsubscript{2}P-InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst is presented in Figure 3.5.2 along with spectra for 20 wt% Ni\textsubscript{2}P/TiO\textsubscript{2} and 10 wt% InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts for comparison. Unfortunately, separate Ni\textsubscript{2}P and InP band gaps could not be determined due to the absorption assigned to Ni\textsubscript{2}P and InP both appearing in the same portion of the spectrum. The combined measured band gap for Ni\textsubscript{2}P-InP in this catalyst was measured 1.25 eV.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.5.2.pdf}
\caption{UV-Vis absorbance spectra of 10 wt% InP/TiO\textsubscript{2}, 20 wt% Ni\textsubscript{2}P/TiO\textsubscript{2} and Ni\textsubscript{2}P-InP/TiO\textsubscript{2} (20 wt% Ni\textsubscript{2}P, 10 wt% InP) catalysts.}
\end{figure}
Chapter 4: Discussion

The overarching goal of this thesis research was to synthesize $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$, $\text{Ni}_2\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$, and $\text{Ni}_2\text{P-}\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$ photocatalysts for the RWGS reaction. Major goals within the project included the development of a method for tuning the band gap of $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}$ nanoparticles on a TiO$_2$ support. Two methods were focused on for achieving band gap tunability. First was attempting to control the $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}$ particle size by varying the amount loaded onto TiO$_2$. Controlling particle size was not achievable with the incipient wetness impregnation technique used. The second technique that was explored was varying the In:Ga ratio in $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}$. Evidence summarized in this section suggests that single phase $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}$ has been synthesized on a TiO$_2$ support when $x = 1.00, 0.75, 0.5$, and $0$.

In this thesis research, the XRD peak assigned to the (220) crystal plane of InP was shown to shift slightly towards higher Bragg angles (from $43.5^\circ$ to $43.7^\circ$) as indium content was decreased from $x = 1$ to $x = 0$ in the $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts. Based on Vegard’s law, the peak should shift linearly to $47.1^\circ$ as $x$ decreases to 0. The slight shift observed indicates that indium has been replaced by gallium in the crystal structure of InP, though not to the extent expected. XRD analysis has verified the presence of InP in the InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts, though the fact that no GaP peaks were observed for the GaP/TiO$_2$ catalyst suggests GaP is amorphous or the GaP crystallites are too small (<5 nm) to be detected by XRD.

UV-Vis spectral analysis determined band gaps for InP and GaP for the InP/TiO$_2$ and GaP/TiO$_2$ catalysts to be 1.26 and 2.26 eV, respectively, which are in agreement with the literature values of 1.35 eV for InP and 2.26 eV for GaP, suggesting the successful synthesis of InP and GaP on TiO$_2$. Band gaps of $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}$ increased only slightly with increasing gallium
content (2.28 to 1.26 eV from x = 0.25 to x = 1.0, \( \Delta E \approx -0.1 \) eV) as compared to what was expected based on the literature (approximately 2.1 to 1.35 eV from x = 0.21 to x = 1.0, \( \Delta E \approx -0.8 \) eV). This small shift in band gap supports the hypothesis that gallium is being incorporated into the crystal structure of InP as expected, though not to the extent desired. The \( \text{In}_{0.25}\text{Ga}_{0.75}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2 \) catalyst was determined to possess two band gaps, 2.28 and 1.36 eV, which are in agreement with literature values for GaP and InP, respectively. This suggests that some of the gallium is present as GaP and was not incorporated into the InP structure, resulting in phase segregation, although the presence of GaP was not verified by XRD.

XPS analysis identified the presence of In, Ga, and P in and probed the oxidized surface of the \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P} \) nanoparticles in the \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2 \) catalysts. Ga(2p\text{3/2}) and In(3d\text{5/2}) peaks were shown to range from 1118.0-1118.6 eV, and 444.6-445.0 eV, respectively, for the \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2 \) catalysts, agreeing very well with the literature values found for Ga\text{2O}_3 (1118.1 and 1118.3 eV)\text{40,43} and In\text{2O}_3 (444.5 eV).\text{37} The trend found towards slightly lower Ga binding energies as indium content increased in the \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P}/\text{TiO}_2 \) catalysts is consistent with the fact that indium has a lower electronegativity than gallium (1.78 vs. 1.81, respectively).\text{46} This general trend was also shown in the binding energies of the indium atoms; higher gallium content results in higher binding energies as a result of the increased population of the higher electronegativity gallium atoms attracts more electron density than the indium atoms.

XRD patterns confirm the presence of Ni\text{2P} nanocrystals the TiO\text{2} support. EDS analysis further confirmed the presence of Ni and P in the Ni\text{2P}/TiO\text{2} catalysts with loadings of 2.5-20 wt\% Ni\text{2P}. Literature values for Ni(2p\text{3/2}) binding energies in Ni\text{2P} have been reported to at least vary in the 852.2 to 856.03 eV range (for Ni\text{2+} and Ni\text{δ+}), overlapping significantly with results found here (852.6 to 857.6 eV).\text{15,45,47} The peak found to vary between 858.9 and 862.9 eV
corresponds to the oxidized Ni$_2$P species, reported in the literature to vary between 856.9 and 865.1 eV.$^{15,45,47}$ UV-Vis analysis determined Ni$_2$P band gaps that ranged from 1.1 to 1.3 eV, just above the 1.0 eV that is expected based on literature results for as-prepared “bulk” Ni$_2$P (in powder form).$^{15,20}$

XRD results have verified the presence of InP crystallites, though the lack of Ni$_2$P diffraction peaks lead to inconclusive results with respect to the presence of Ni$_2$P in the Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst. UV-Vis analysis determined a band gap of 1.25 eV for the Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst (20 wt% Ni$_2$P, 10 wt% InP), which is consistent with what has been found here for Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts (1.1-1.3 eV) and InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts (1.19-1.28 eV). Future directions for this project should involve the synthesis of a Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst with a much higher loading of Ni$_2$P (25 wt% or higher), in order to confirm the coexistence of InP and Ni$_2$P crystallites on TiO$_2$ via XRD and to perform heterogeneous photocatalytic testing to determine the efficiency of this system towards the RWGS reaction.
Chapter 5: Conclusions

In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$, the precursors for In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$, were synthesized onto TiO$_2$ (In$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$). Two band gaps were measured for each of the In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ catalysts, 2.88-2.91 eV and 2.90-3.00 eV, attributed to In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$ species and TiO$_2$, respectively. In$_x$Ga$_{x-1}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts were produced upon reduction of the In$_x$Ga$_{2-x}$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ precursor series, though characterization results suggest that gallium has not been incorporated into the crystal structure of InP to the extent intended. Band gaps of In$_x$Ga$_{x-1}$P in the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts were tuned from 1.26 to 2.26 eV by varying x between 1 and 0.

Ni$_2$P nanoparticles (7-15 nm) have been loaded onto TiO$_2$ to produce a series of Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalysts ranging from 2.5 to 20 wt% Ni$_2$P. The Ni$_2$P nanoparticles in 20 wt% Ni$_2$P/TiO$_2$ catalyst were determined to have a band gap of 1.2 eV. This is expected to be the most reliable measurement, due to the absorption spectrum having the most pronounced Ni$_2$P absorbance slope.

Ni$_2$P was attempted to be synthesized directly onto an InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst to produce a Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst (20 wt% Ni$_2$P, 10 wt% InP). Verification of InP/TiO$_2$ was achieved, though the question as to the presence Ni$_2$P was inconclusive. A band gap of 1.25 eV was measured for the Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalyst. Synthesis of Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts should be done with Ni$_2$P and InP loadings at least in the range of 1-25 wt%. The resulting series of Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts should be characterized via XRD, UV-Vis, EDS, and XPS. These Ni$_2$P-InP/TiO$_2$ catalysts and all catalysts presented in this document should be subjected to RWGS testing to probe their heterogeneous photocatalytic viability.
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