

Western Washington University Western CEDAR

Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference

2018 Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference (Seattle, Wash.)

Apr 5th, 2:30 PM - 2:45 PM

The Clarks Creek TMDL dispute resolution agreement plan: advancing the use of model based analysis to demonstrate reasonable assurance in WA State

Timothy Hagan Pierce County Planning and Public Works, United States, thagan@co.pierce.wa.us

Follow this and additional works at: https://cedar.wwu.edu/ssec

Part of the Fresh Water Studies Commons, Marine Biology Commons, Natural Resources and Conservation Commons, and the Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons

Hagan, Timothy, "The Clarks Creek TMDL dispute resolution agreement plan: advancing the use of model based analysis to demonstrate reasonable assurance in WA State" (2018). *Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference*. 364.

https://cedar.wwu.edu/ssec/2018ssec/allsessions/364

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Events at Western CEDAR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference by an authorized administrator of Western CEDAR. For more information, please contact westerncedar@wwu.edu.

Pierce County Surface Water Management

The Clarks Creek Dispute Resolution Agreement Plan:

Advancing the use of model based analysis to demonstrate reasonable assurance in WA State

April 5th, 2018

SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT





Clarks Creek Watershed

Designated Beneficial Uses

- Core Salmon Habitat (7 species)
- Primary Contact
- Domestic Water Supply

Shared Jurisdiction

• Pierce County, City of Puyallup, WSDOT

State Water Quality Assessment

- Category 5 303d List as Impaired
- TMDL for:

Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Deficiency Excessive Sediment Loading

WLA + LA + NL + (MOS + RC) = WRC + PAC

- WLA = Waste Load Allocation: Point Sources
- LA = Load Allocation: Nonpoint Sources
- NL = Natural Load: Undeveloped Condition
- RC = Reserve Capacity: Future Development
- MOS = Margin of Safety: Captures Uncertainty
- WRC = Watershed's Pollutant Retention Capacity
- **PAC = Pollutant Assimilating Capacity**



Clarks Creek TMDL Implementation Targets

Waste Load Allocations

Dissolved Oxygen

Reduce 50% of Stormwater Runoff Volume

or

Treat 50% of Untreated Stormwater Volume Based on the October 21, 2003 Storm Event

• Sediment

Reduce Average Annual Sediment Load by 66% Based on a Reference Condition Comparison

Load Allocations

- Permanently Reduce Elodea by 75%
- Increase Effective Riparian Shade by 85%
- Reduce Non-Point Sediment Load by 26 tons/year



Dispute Resolution Agreement Benefits

Clear Depiction of the County's Responsibilities (Increased Certainty) Specific, Quantifiable Measures to Track Progress of Investments (Increased Reasonable Assurance)

Reserved Appeal Rights



Introduces a BMP Crediting Approach for WQIPs, Advanced Street Sweeping Program, and Instream Improvement Projects

5-Year Reassessment Project to Guide Future TMDL Implementation



Clarks Creek Restoration Plan Strategy



Water Quality Standards Compliance Demonstration

A Plan that attempts to create program alignment and policy accountability through a limited application of Reasonable Assurance Analysis Brown and Caldwell

Volume and Load Reduction Estimation: *Stormwater Facilities*



BMP Facility F-TABLE Development

- Reviewed plan, section, outlet structure, overflow
- Developed stage-area table





Stage Re Storage Discharge

9

egional Facility

Detention Fond Subbasin: SF575 Rohres 510

Routing Time Step, **41** (hr)1.00Base Elevation (ft)447.50Depth Increment (ft)0.05Gravitational Acceleration, **9** (ft32.2

Facility Dimensions									
Total Depth, 💋 🚙 (ft)	8.5								
Permanent Pool Depth, D	3.5								
Live Storage Depth, D_{Kee} (ft)	5.0								
Depth at overflow, 🌢 🚙 (ft)	8.0								
Freeboard, $oldsymbol{D}_{oldsymbol{sd}}$ (ft)	0.5								

Calibrate Measuring Tool!

Stage-Area from Plans									
Stage	Pond 1 Area	Pond 2 Area (or	total)						
447.50	1904	3518							
450.5	3285	4799							
450.6	0	10532							
455	0	15429							
456	0	16502							

ASSUMPTIONS:

Pond has 5 outlet levels

Gate valve at 447.50, diameter 8" (not modeled, assumed closed except for maintenance) Flow restrictor plate, I.E. 451, diameter 1.14" Rectangular weir in riser, I.E. 453.3, width 1.4" Riser rim, R.E. 454.5, diameter 15" Baffle at riser rim, R.E. 454.5, diameter 21" Overflow spillway elevation 455.5, width 6'

Discharge modeled as low outlet = gravity drain + flow restrictor plate; mid level outlet = rectangular weir + drop inlet at riser rim (baffle considered negligible); and high outlet = spillway.

Riser modeled as rectangular weir from invert to top of riser, then rectangular weir plus drop inlet for portion of riser not cut out.

Rectangular weir equation Q= C*b*h^1.5

Outlet Dimensions	Low	Mid	High
Outlet Type	Chikice	Rect Weir	Weir
Facility Depth at Invert, A survey	3.50	5.80	8.00
Discharge Coefficient, C 🖌	0.62	3.33	0.6
Discharge Coefficient, drop inlet	3.33		
Rect weir width at riser rim (ft)	0.12		
Riser rim height (ft)	NA	7.00	NA
Orifice or Riser Diameter (inches	1.14	15.00	0.00
Orifice Area, A anaton (sq.ft)	0.007	NA	NA
Weir width, 🖌 🚛 (ft)	NA	3.93	6.00
Maximum Discharge, 🖉 📖 (of	0.079	NA	6.807

Exfiltration		Pollutant Load Reduction Parameters							
Exfiltration Rate, 🖌 🖌 (in/hr)	0.00	BMP Effluent Irreduceable Conc.	20	Nonstructural discount	(

Facility Storage and Rating Table																
	Pond 1		Area Interpolation Parameters			Pond 2			D							
	ledau	Stage	Total Depth	Ponding	Low	High	Low	High	Pond I Surface Area	Ponding	Low	High	Pond 2 Surrace	Total Volume	Dead Storage	Li
	Index	(ft)	(ft)	Depth	Stage	Stage	Area	Area	(62)	Depth	Area	Area	(62)	(ft ³)	(ft ³)	
fta ftable				(ft)					() ()	(ft)						
× 1	0	447.50	0.00	0.00	447.50	450.50	1904.00	3285.00	1904.00	0.00	3518.00	4799.00	3518.00	0	0.0	
1	1	447.55	0.05	0.00	447.50	450.50	1904.00	3285.00	1927.02	0.00	3518.00	4799.00	3539.35	272.2	272.2	
1	2	447.60	0.10	0.00	447.50	450.50	1904.00	3285.00	1950.03	0.00	3518.00	4799.00	3560.70	546.6	546.6	
1	3	447.65	0.15	0.00	447.50	450.50	1904.00	3285.00	1973.05	0.00	3518.00	4799.00	3582.05	823.3	823.3	
1	4	447.70	0.20	0.00	447.50	450.50	1904.00	3285.00	1996.07	0.00	3518.00	4799.00	3603.40	1102.1	1102.1	
1	5	447.75	0.25	0.00	447.50	450.50	1904.00	3285.00	2019.08	0.00	3518.00	4799.00	3624.75	1383.2	1383.2	

Sediment Reduction Calculation



Stream Stabilization Benefits

Clarks Creek Sediment Reduction Action Plan (CCSRAP)

- Estimated volume of past channel erosion (CCSRAP)
 - Estimated total eroded material from field measurements
 - Calculated average loss per lineal foot
 - Calculated average annual loss (assuming 95-year period [1916–2011])

Project



Annual Sediment Load Reduction

= (average annual loss x % reduction) x project area length



Why Pursue Reasonable Assurance Analysis



- Design the Right Projects
- At the Right Scale
- In the Right Place
- For the Right Price
- Operationally Maintained



RAA methods provide a critical framework for comparing stormwater management alternatives, including different mixes of structural and non-structural practices and different options for distributing stormwater management practices and facilities throughout the program implementation area.

How the Plan's Strategy Strives to Improve the TMDL Process

Pierce County

(A) Establish a Shared Definition of the Problem

(B) Develop Greater Networks of Inter-Organizational Trust

(C) Define Common Interests (e.g. Mutual Assurance Analysis)

(D) Balance of Power Among Policy, Regulatory and Program Implementers

(E) Increase the Diversity of Policy Instruments

Thank you...



Questions?

The Results of the Plan's Initial Investment



The Results of the Plan's Initial Investment

Stormwater Treatment WLA Distribution of Projected Program Accomplishments at Year 5

- Existing Public Capital Projects
- Existing Private
 Water Quality
 Facilities
- Proposed Water Quality Improvement Projects (WQIP)
- Remaining WLA Requirements

