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Noise Effects on Marine Mammals

• Significant progress and evolution on noise effects studies in the last decade
• Assessing chronic (cumulative) noise disturbance (e.g., masking) remains a significant challenge
• Noise exposure models able to integrate temporal – spatial - spectral overlap. Robust, standardized metrics needed and linking these to effect key step
Project Background

- Southern Resident Killer Whales (SRKW) critical habitat overlaps inshore waters around Vancouver and Seattle.
- ~10,000 commercial vessel (bulkers, containers, ferries, tugs, tankers) per year ply Salish Sea, significant numbers of whale watching and fishing boats
- 2012: Proposed new container terminal expansion near Vancouver (<260 calls/year)
- 2013-16: Led to development of SRKW-Noise Exposure simulation model (assess baseline, ‘delta’ effect of increased vessel numbers and mitigation efficacy)
How the SRKW-Noise Exposure Model Works

COLLABORATORS
- **SRKW Sightings (10 yr)**
  BCCSN – Van. Aquarium
  The Whale Museum
  SMRUC + Hemmera
- **Vessel Noise / Ambient**
  JASCO
  Veirs, Veirs, Wood
  SMRUC
  University of Dalhousie
- **Dose Response**
  DFO – Deeke (Dtag)
  SMRUC (PAM)
  Williams et al. 2014 (Obs.)
  Univ. of St Andrews
  Click Masking: Au (2004)

SRKW – Pod spatial use
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Dual severity dose response

50% Moderate BR 137 dB, Low BR 129 dB. If NO response –
Click masking within 50 kHz band

SRKW – temporal (month)
SRKW-Noise Exposure: Study Implications

1. Key conclusions:
Baseline regional levels high – cumulative noise effect ‘significant’
Local project area ‘delta’ effect relatively ‘small’ (e.g., PCOD lite)

2. Vancouver Fraser Port Authority: Next Steps
Underwater Noise Management and Mitigation plan
Recognised noise baseline was a multi-stakeholder issue– created new Enhancing Cetacean Habitat and Observation (ECHO) Program (2014) to address cumulative vessel issues.
ECHO Noise Study: Effect of Shipping vs. Whale Watch Noise on SRKW

- SMRU Consulting to revisit SRKW noise exposure simulation model
- Focus on summer (May-Sept) when most whale watch effort occurs.
- First cut assessment
- Identify key sensitivities of the model
- Make recommendations
Study Assessment Area

- Study confined to inshore study area (red box) where SRKW habitat use best understood

Average Leq noise level for AIS-enabled vessels in July (JASCO)
Incorporating Whale Watch Boat Noise

Multi-step, back-calculation approach

1. “With whale” probability combines sightings and effort (Hemmera 2014, Soundwatch 2012)
2. Number of boats per hour (Soundwatch 2012)
4. Whale – boat proximity (Giles 2014, Pod dispersed/clustered)
5. Noise levels input into SRKW-Noise Exposure simulation model in isolation (only WW boats) and combined with AIS vessel noise
Number of Behavioral Responses (BR) per Whale

- Overall BRs dominated (>90%) by AIS vessel noise
- WW boats infrequently trigger dose response thresholds

**Legend:**
- **AIS:** Automatic Information System (Commercial vessels > 60 feet)
- **WW:** Whale watch boats
- **Error bars:** 95% confidence intervals – 500 simulations

**Total number of behavioral responses per whale (May-Sept.)**

- **AIS Vessels:**
  - Low BR: 7/day
  - Mod BR: 3.2/day

- **WW Boats:**
  - Low BR: 1.3/day
  - Mod BR: 3.3/day

- **BOTH:**
  - Low BR: 8/day
Residual Click Masking (50 kHz): Range Reduction

- Whale Watch (WW) boats dominate click masking
- Highly sensitive to input PSD parameters (esp. whale-boat proximity, vessel SL-speed selection)

Legend:
- **AIS**: Automatic Information System (Commercial vessels > 60 feet)
- **WW**: Whale watch boats
- **Error bars**: 95% confidence intervals – 500 simulations

Masking of foraging clicks: % range reduction from 250m
Link to Effect: Need for a Common Metric

1. AIS-Vessels contributed ~60% and whale watch boats ~40%
2. Total time equated to 13-14.5% of each study day or 20-23% of each “whale present” day

- Challenged to find a common “effect” metric
- Used Dtag data and simple assumptions to relate both BRs and masking to time
SMRU Consulting Thoughts in Implications / Mitigation

- Large vessels and whale watch boats trigger different noise effects, both have notable potential effects.
- **Mitigation measures:**
  - Whale watch boats (distance, boat speed or number regulations).
  - Large vessels (slow downs (when KW present?), lane shifts from hotspots, targeting “gross polluters”, and clustering vessels. Incentives to design quiet vessels or adopting noise quietening management procedures important as a long-term solution
- For SRKW – increasing **salmon availability key**
SMRU Consulting Thoughts on Model Improvements

- Recommend refining click masking model
  - Masking range, masking frequency
  - Noise inputs and assumptions
- Improve/expand habitat use layer
- Include assessment of quiet periods
Thanks for listening

Full report can be found on the ECHO website

Web: www.smruconsulting.com
Email: jw@smruconsulting.com