

2006

Best Constants for Certain Multilinear Integral Operators

Árpád Bényi

Western Washington University, arpad.benyi@wwu.edu

Tadahiro Oh

Follow this and additional works at: https://cedar.wwu.edu/math_facpubs



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bényi, Árpád and Oh, Tadahiro, "Best Constants for Certain Multilinear Integral Operators" (2006). *Mathematics*. 1.
https://cedar.wwu.edu/math_facpubs/1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Science and Engineering at Western CEDAR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics by an authorized administrator of Western CEDAR. For more information, please contact westerncedar@wwu.edu.

BEST CONSTANTS FOR CERTAIN MULTILINEAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS

ÁRPÁD BÉNYI AND CHOONGHONG (TADAHIRO) OH

Received 7 December 2004; Revised 8 March 2005; Accepted 27 March 2005

We provide explicit formulas in terms of the special function gamma for the best constants in nontensorial multilinear extensions of some classical integral inequalities due to Hilbert, Hardy, and Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya.

Copyright © 2006 Á. Bényi and C. (Tadahiro) Oh. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and statement of results

In his lectures on integral equations, Hilbert initiated the study of maxima of bilinear and multilinear forms. The case $p = 2$ in the following inequality is nowadays known as “Hilbert’s double series theorem.”

If $p > 1$, $p' = p/(p - 1)$, $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \leq A$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^{p'} \leq B$, then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} \leq \pi \csc\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right) A^{1/p} B^{1/p'}. \quad (1.1)$$

Hilbert’s proof, apart from the determination of the best possible constant $\pi \csc(\pi/p)$, was published by Weyl [7]. The calculation of the constant, and the integral analogue of Hilbert’s double series theorem (for $p = 2$) are due to Schur [6]. The generalizations to other p ’s of both the discrete and integral versions of this result were discovered later on by Hardy and Riesz and published by Hardy in [4]. The statement of the integral analogue of the theorem above is the following.

If $p > 1$, $p' = p/(p - 1)$, $\int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx \leq F$, $\int_0^{\infty} g^{p'}(y) dy \leq G$, then

$$\iint_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy \leq \pi \csc\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right) F^{1/p} G^{1/p'}. \quad (1.2)$$

Other proofs and generalizations in different directions were given by several authors; see the book by Hardy et al. [5, page 227]. Applications of Hilbert’s theorem range from the

2 Best constants for certain multilinear integral operators

theory of analytic functions to results about the moments of real variable functions [5, pages 236–247].

Let now $K : \mathbf{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a measurable kernel such that

$$C_m = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty |K(y_1, \dots, y_m)| y_1^{-1/p_1} \cdots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_1 \cdots dy_m < \infty; \quad (1.3)$$

here, $1 < p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m < \infty$ are some arbitrary (fixed) indices. The m -linear operator T is defined via

$$T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty K(y_1, \dots, y_m) f_1(xy_1) \cdots f_m(xy_m) dy_1 \cdots dy_m, \quad (1.4)$$

where $x > 0$ and f_1, \dots, f_m are measurable functions on $\mathbf{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$. Note that T is in fact an integral operator having a homogeneous kernel \tilde{K} of degree $-m$,

$$T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \tilde{K}(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) dy_1 \cdots dy_m, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\tilde{K}(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) = x^{-m} K(x^{-1}y_1, \dots, x^{-1}y_m)$. Condition (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$C_m = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty |\tilde{K}(1, y_1, \dots, y_m)| y_1^{-1/p_1} \cdots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_1 \cdots dy_m < \infty. \quad (1.6)$$

We remark also that, if $p_0 > 1$ is such that $1/p_0 + 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m = 1$, then

$$C_m = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty |\tilde{K}(x, 1, y_2, \dots, y_m)| x^{-1/p_0} y_2^{-1/p_2} \cdots y_m^{-1/p_m} dx dy_2 \cdots dy_m. \quad (1.7)$$

In particular, (1.6) and (1.7) imply that, if \tilde{K} is symmetric with respect to the variables x, y_1, \dots, y_m , then C_m is a symmetric expression of the indices p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m .

The goal of this paper is twofold: to give a proof of the multilinear extension of the integral inequality (1.2) and to provide some interesting applications of this result.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $m \geq 1$ and $1 < p, p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ be such that $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + \cdots + 1/p_m = 1/p$. Then*

$$\|T(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)} \leq C_m \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbf{R}_+)} \cdots \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m}(\mathbf{R}_+)}, \quad (1.8)$$

where C_m is the constant defined by (1.3) or (1.6). Moreover, if $K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \geq 0$ for all $y_1, \dots, y_m \geq 0$, then the constant C_m is the best possible in (1.8).

A less general version of Theorem 1.1, which assumes the kernel to be a positive function and which does not determine the best constant, can be found in [5]. There are many proofs of inequality (1.8). For the sake of completeness, we will recall one which to us seems to be the easiest and which is inspired by an idea of Schur [6] in the linear case; see also [5, page 230]. The determination of the best constant, however, is much more interesting. In this work, a strong emphasis is placed on obtaining *explicit formulas*, in terms of the special gamma function Γ , of the best constants in multilinear extensions of certain inequalities due to Hilbert, Hardy, and Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya which do not

seem to be in the literature. These formulas are presented in Section 3. We prove the main result, Theorem 1.1, in the following section. The elementary, yet nontrivial, proof is a nice application of the Dominated Convergence theorem.

Remark 1.2. An alternate way of finding an upper bound for the norm of a positive multilinear operator is via the so called multilinear Schur test in the work of Grafakos and Torres [2, Theorem 1]. In particular, for an m -linear operator T with positive and symmetric kernel on \mathbf{R}_+^m , the multilinear Schur test gives the following implication.

If for all $B > A > 0$, there exist measurable functions u_1, \dots, u_m, w on \mathbf{R}_+ with $0 < u_1, \dots, u_m, w < \infty$ a.e. such that

$$T(u_1^{p'_1}, \dots, u_m^{p'_m}) \leq Bw^{p'} \quad \text{a.e.}, \tag{1.9}$$

then T is a bounded operator from $L^{p_1}(\mathbf{R}_+) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ into $L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$, with norm less than or equal to A .

In the case of the multilinear nontensorial extension of the Hilbert operator $P_{-\infty}$ (see Section 3.1 for its definition), it was shown in [2] that an appropriate choice of the functions u_1, \dots, u_m, w gives in fact equality in (1.9). Furthermore, it is easy to see that the same choice of weights $u_j(y_j) = y_j^{-1/p_j p'_j}$, $w(x) = x^{-1/p p'}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, gives equality in (1.9) for all m -linear operators T of the form (1.4). In this case $B = C_m$, where C_m is defined by (1.3). Therefore, by the implication above, we see that the operator norm $\|T\| \leq C_m$. We would like to point out, however, that in order to show $\|T\| = C_m$ one needs to either trace back where equality holds in the inequalities proving the main result of [2] or go through a similar computation to the one presented here in the next section.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We let $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the dual $(L^p, L^{p'})$ pairing. For simplicity, we will write L^p for $L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Note that, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\int_0^\infty |f_i(x y_i)|^{p_i} dx = y_i^{-1} \int_0^\infty |f_i(x)|^{p_i} dx$. Using this fact and Hölder's inequality, we obtain the following sequence of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(f_1, \dots, f_m), g \rangle| \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty |K(y_1, \dots, y_m)| \int_0^\infty |g(x)| |f_1(x y_1)| \dots |f_m(x y_m)| dx dy_1 \dots dy_m \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty |K(y_1, \dots, y_m)| \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_0^\infty |f_i(x y_i)|^{p_i} dx \right)^{1/p_i} dy_1 \dots dy_m \\ & = C_m \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \dots \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

This proves the first part of our theorem.

For the second part, we will show that if the kernel K is nonnegative, then the operator norm $\|T\|$ of T is exactly C_m . For n a positive integer and $i = 1, \dots, m$, we define the

4 Best constants for certain multilinear integral operators

sequences of functions $g_n, f_{i,n}$ by

$$g_n(x) = x^{-1/p'+1/p'n} \chi_{[0,1]}(x), \quad f_{i,n}(x) = x^{-1/p_i+1/p_i'n} \chi_{[0,1]}(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Clearly, $\|g_n\|_{L^{p'}}^{p'} = \|f_{i,n}\|_{L^{p_i}}^{p_i} = \|g_n\|_{L^{p'}} \|f_{1,n}\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_{m,n}\|_{L^{p_m}} = n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T(f_{1,n}, \dots, f_{m,n}), g_n \rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{-1/p'+1/p'n} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty K(y_1, \dots, y_m) f_{1,n}(xy_1) \cdots f_{m,n}(xy_m) dy_1 \cdots dy_m dx \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{-1/p'+1/p'n} \int_0^{1/x} \cdots \int_0^{1/x} K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{i=1}^m (xy_i)^{-1/p_i+1/p_i'n} dy_1 \cdots dy_m dx \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{-1+1/n} \int_0^{1/x} \cdots \int_0^{1/x} K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{-1/p_i+1/p_i'n} dy_1 \cdots dy_m dx \\ &= -n \int_1^\infty (x^{-1/n})' \left(\int_0^x \cdots \int_0^x K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{-1/p_i+1/p_i'n} dy_1 \cdots dy_m \right) dx \\ &= n \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{-1/p_i+1/p_i'n} dy_1 \cdots dy_m + \sum_{i=1}^m I_i, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\langle T(f_{1,n}, \dots, f_{m,n}), g_n \rangle}{\|g_n\|_{L^{p'}} \|f_{1,n}\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_{m,n}\|_{L^{p_m}}} \\ &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{-1/p_i+1/p_i'n} dy_1 \cdots dy_m + \sum_{i=1}^m I_i/n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have denoted

$$I_i = n \int_1^\infty y_i^{-1/n} \int_0^{y_i} \cdots \int_0^{y_i} K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j+1/p_j'n} dy_1 \cdots \widehat{dy}_i \cdots dy_m dy_i; \quad (2.5)$$

here, \widehat{dy}_i means that we do not integrate with respect to the variable y_i . In the transition from the fourth to the fifth line in the sequence of equalities above we made the change of variables $x \mapsto 1/x$. The last equality follows from integration by parts and the observation that, if we let

$$S(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \int_0^{z_1} \cdots \int_0^{z_m} K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{-1/p_i+1/p_i'n} dy_1 \cdots dy_m, \quad (2.6)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} S(x, \dots, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial S}{\partial z_i}(x, \dots, x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^x \cdots \int_0^x K(y_1, \dots, \overset{(i)}{x}, \dots, y_m) x^{-1/p_i+1/p_i n} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j \neq i} y_j^{-1/p_j+1/p_j n} dy_1 \cdots \widehat{dy}_i \cdots dy_m, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where the upper index (i) means that x replaces the variable y_i in the i th position. Let now D_i denote the domain of integration in the integral I_i above, that is,

$$D_i = \{(y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbf{R}_+^m : 1 \leq y_i < \infty, 0 \leq y_j \leq y_i, j \neq i\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Taking into account that $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m = 1/p$, we can bound the integrand of I_i/n on D_i as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y_i^{-1/n} K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j+1/p_j n} &\leq y_i^{-1/n+1/p_1 n+\cdots+1/p_m n} K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j} \\ &= y_i^{-1/p' n} K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j} \leq K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Also, on $[0, 1]^m$ we obviously have

$$K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j+1/p_j n} \leq K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j}. \quad (2.10)$$

Now, assumption (1.3) on the kernel K allows us to use the Dominated Convergence theorem to infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_i/n &= \int_1^\infty \int_0^{y_i} \cdots \int_0^{y_i} K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j} dy_1 \cdots dy_m, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j+1/p_j n} dy_1 \cdots dy_m \\ &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 K(y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{-1/p_j} dy_1 \cdots dy_m. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$[0, 1]^m \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m D_i \right) = \mathbf{R}_+^m, \quad (2.12)$$

and for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, any of the intersection sets $[0, 1]^m \cap D_i, D_i \cap D_j, i \neq j$, has Lebesgue measure 0 in \mathbf{R}^m . Consequently, (2.4), (2.11) imply that

$$\|T\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle T(f_{1,n}, \dots, f_{m,n}), g_n \rangle}{\|g_n\|_{L^{p'}} \|f_{1,n}\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_{m,n}\|_{L^{p_m}}} = C_m. \quad (2.13)$$

The proof is now complete.

3. Applications

In this section we wish to revisit some important inequalities due to Hilbert, Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya, and Hardy. We will discuss the possible multilinear extensions of these inequalities and provide formulas for the best constants in a closed form via the gamma function. The formulas we present here do not seem to be in the literature. Let us first recall a few basic facts about the gamma and beta functions. For more details and further references on special functions, see the book by Andrews et al. [1]. For a complex number z with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ define

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt. \quad (3.1)$$

It follows from the definition that the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ is analytic in the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$. Two fundamental properties of the gamma function are that

$$\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z), \quad \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, we also have $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ for all positive integers n . The second formula above is known under the name of Euler's reflection formula. Next we define the beta function. For z and w complex numbers with positive real parts,

$$B(z, w) = \int_0^1 t^{z-1} (1-t)^{w-1} dt. \quad (3.3)$$

We have the following relationship between the gamma and beta functions:

$$B(z, w) = \frac{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z+w)}. \quad (3.4)$$

3.1. Hilbert's operator. Consider the linear operator

$$Pf(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(y)}{x+y} dy \quad (3.5)$$

with the kernel $\tilde{K}^{(1)}(x, y) = 1/(x+y)$ which is symmetric in the variables x, y and homogeneous of degree -1 . By duality, we see that the integral analogue of Hilbert's theorem (1.2) is equivalent to the best constant inequality

$$\|Pf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \pi \csc\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)}. \quad (3.6)$$

The operator P is usually referred to as Hilbert's operator and inequality (3.6) as Hilbert's inequality. On our quest for multilinear extensions of (3.6) we would like to preserve the features of the operator P . In particular, we wish to extend its kernel $\tilde{K}^{(1)}$ to kernels $\tilde{K}^{(m)}$ that fit the framework of our main result, Theorem 1.1. One such extension is provided

by the m -linear operator

$$P_{\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m)}{(x + y_1) \cdots (x + y_m)} dy_1 \cdots dy_m \quad (3.7)$$

having the kernel

$$\tilde{K}_{\otimes}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{(x + y_1) \cdots (x + y_m)} = \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{K}^{(1)}(x, y_i). \quad (3.8)$$

Although $\tilde{K}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ is symmetric x, y_1, \dots, y_m and homogeneous of degree $-m$, and thus it preserves the properties satisfied by its one dimensional counterpart $\tilde{K}^{(1)}$, the multilinear extension P_{\otimes} is not very interesting due to its tensorial character. Throughout the remaining of this paper, we let $1 < p, p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ be such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$, and denote by $p_0 = p'$ the dual exponent of p . We will also write L^p for $L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Since

$$P_{\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m) = (P \otimes \cdots \otimes P)(f_1, \dots, f_m) = P(f_1) \cdots P(f_m), \quad (3.9)$$

using Hölder's inequality and (3.6), we obviously have

$$\|P_{\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p} \leq \pi^m \prod_{i=1}^m \operatorname{csc}\left(\frac{\pi}{p_i}\right) \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m}}. \quad (3.10)$$

The constant on the right (the operator norm $\|P_{\otimes}\|$ of P_{\otimes}) is the best possible.

A much more interesting situation arises when we consider the nontensorial extension

$$P_{-\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m)}{(x + y_1 + \cdots + y_m)^m} dy_1 \cdots dy_m \quad (3.11)$$

having the (symmetric in variables x, y_1, \dots, y_m and homogeneous of degree $-m$) kernel

$$\tilde{K}_{-\otimes}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{(x + y_1 + \cdots + y_m)^m}. \quad (3.12)$$

Noting that $\tilde{K}_{-\otimes}^{(m)} \leq \tilde{K}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$, we know that the operator $P_{-\otimes}$ is bounded from $L^{p_1} \times \cdots \times L^{p_m}$ into L^p . Nevertheless, the a priori constant $\|P_{-\otimes}\|$ is not the best possible in this case.

Claim 3.1. The following best constant inequality holds:

$$\|P_{-\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \prod_{i=0}^m \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p_i'}\right) \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Recall that, due to the homogeneity and symmetry in the variables x, y_1, \dots, y_m of $\tilde{K}_{-\otimes}^{(m)}$, the formula that computes the norm of the corresponding operator defined by (3.11) must be a symmetric expression in p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m ; see the comments following (1.6). This is indeed the case in Claim 3.1. Observe that the best “tensorial” constant $\|P_{\otimes}\|$ is symmetric only in p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , in general.

8 Best constants for certain multilinear integral operators

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, the best constant is given by

$$C_m = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty (1 + y_1 + \cdots + y_m)^{-m} y_1^{-1/p_1} \cdots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_1 \cdots dy_m. \quad (3.14)$$

Let us denote the integral on the right by $I_m(m, 1/p_1, \dots, 1/p_m)$. By making the change of variables $y_m = (1 + y_1 + \cdots + y_{m-1})t$ and integrating first with respect to dt , we get

$$I_m(m, 1/p_1, \dots, 1/p_m) = \int_0^\infty (1+t)^{-m} t^{-1/p_m} dt I_{m-1}(m-1/p'_m, 1/p_1, \dots, 1/p_{m-1}). \quad (3.15)$$

Observe that, if we make the change of variables $t+1 = 1/s$,

$$\int_0^\infty (1+t)^\alpha t^\beta dt = \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha-\beta-2} (1-s)^\beta ds = B(-\alpha-\beta-1, \beta+1). \quad (3.16)$$

Therefore, if we recall the relationship between the beta and gamma functions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (1+t)^{-m} t^{-1/p_m} dt &= \frac{\Gamma(m-1/p'_m) \Gamma(1/p'_m)}{\Gamma(m)}, \\ I_m(m, 1/p_1, \dots, 1/p_m) &= \frac{\Gamma(m-1/p'_m) \Gamma(1/p'_m)}{\Gamma(m)} I_{m-1}(m-1/p'_m, 1/p_1, \dots, 1/p_{m-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

By a simple induction argument, we obtain from this recurrence that

$$I_m(m, 1/p_1, \dots, 1/p_m) = \frac{\Gamma(m-1/p'_m - \cdots - 1/p'_1) \Gamma(1/p'_m) \cdots \Gamma(1/p'_1)}{\Gamma(m)}. \quad (3.18)$$

Due to the relation on the exponents, the latter equality simplifies exactly to

$$C_m = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \prod_{i=0}^m \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p_i}\right). \quad (3.19)$$

□

Remark 3.2. One can construct other multilinear extensions of P . For example, consider the m -linear operator

$$P_m(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m)}{(x + y_1 \cdots y_m)^m} dy_1 \cdots dy_m. \quad (3.20)$$

For $m = 1$ we clearly recover P from P_m . Note, however, that the kernel of P_m is not symmetric with respect to x, y_1, \dots, y_m , and we do not have anymore the a priori boundedness of the operator on products of Lebesgue spaces, since P_m and P_\otimes are not comparable. As such, the operator P_m does not fall under the scope of our main result, Theorem 1.1. For the remainder of the paper, we will avoid any further discussion about multilinear operators that are arbitrary extensions (i.e., which do not preserve the features) of the classical linear operators considered.

3.2. Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya's operator. We let Q denote Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya's linear operator defined by

$$Qf(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(y)}{\max(x,y)} dy. \tag{3.21}$$

Its m -linear nontensorial extension is

$$Q_{\rightarrow\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(y_1) \dots f_m(y_m)}{[\max(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)]^m} dy_1 \dots dy_m. \tag{3.22}$$

Claim 3.3. The following best constant inequality holds:

$$\|Q_{\rightarrow\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p} \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^m p'_j \right) \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \dots \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m}}. \tag{3.23}$$

Proof. With the notation in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can decompose \mathbf{R}_+^m into the union $[0, 1]^m \cup (\cup_{i=1}^m D_i)$ so that the interiors of the sets in this union are pairwise disjoint. Furthermore, the best constant in the inequality we want to prove is

$$\begin{aligned} C_m &= \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty [\max(1, y_1, \dots, y_m)]^{-m} y_1^{-1/p_1} \dots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_1 \dots dy_m \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^m} y_1^{-1/p_1} \dots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_1 \dots dy_m + \sum_{i=1}^m J_i, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$J_i = \int_1^\infty \int_0^{y_i} \dots \int_0^{y_i} y_1^{-1/p_1} \dots y_i^{-m-1/p_i} \dots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_1 \dots \widehat{dy_i} \dots dy_m dy_i. \tag{3.25}$$

Now,

$$\int_{[0,1]^m} y_1^{-1/p_1} \dots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_1 \dots dy_m = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{y_j^{1-1/p_j}}{1-1/p_j} \Big|_0^1 = \prod_{j=1}^m p'_j \tag{3.26}$$

and (recall that $p'_0 = p$)

$$\begin{aligned} J_i &= \int_1^\infty y_i^{-m-1/p_i} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{y_j^{1-1/p_j}}{1-1/p_j} \Big|_0^{y_i} dy_i = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m p'_j \int_1^\infty y_i^{-1-1/p_i - \dots - 1/p_m} dy_i \\ &= \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m p'_j \frac{y_i^{-1/p_i - \dots - 1/p_m}}{-1/p_i - \dots - 1/p_m} \Big|_1^\infty = \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^m p'_j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

By summing up, we obtain the desired result. □

In particular, for $m = 1$, we recover the best constant in the (L^p, L^p) inequality satisfied by the operator Q , $C_1 = p + p' = p^2/(p - 1)$; see [5, page 254]. Furthermore, for the m -linear tensorial extension of Q ,

$$Q_{\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \cdots \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m)}{\max(x, y_1) \cdots \max(x, y_m)} dy_1 \cdots dy_m \quad (3.28)$$

the best constant inequality is

$$\|Q_{\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p} \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^2}{p_i - 1} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m}}. \quad (3.29)$$

3.3. Hardy’s operator. In his attempts to simplify the proofs known at the time of Hilbert’s double series theorem, Hardy introduced in [3] the operator

$$Rf(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(y) dy \quad (3.30)$$

and proved that it is bounded from L^p into L^p with best constant $p/(p - 1)$. Unlike the kernels of the operators P, Q considered before, the kernel $\tilde{k}^{(1)}(x, y) = (1/x)\chi_{[0,x]}(y)$ of R is not symmetric with respect to the variables x, y (to emphasize this difference, we use now the lower case letter k). Here, χ_I denotes the characteristic function of the set I . The m -linear tensorial extension

$$R_{\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \frac{1}{x^m} \int_0^x \cdots \int_0^x f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) dy_1 \cdots dy_m \quad (3.31)$$

is then bounded from $L^{p_1} \times \cdots \times L^{p_m}$ into L^p , and the best constant is $\prod_{i=1}^m p_i/(p_i - 1)$. Note that the kernel $\tilde{k}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ of R_{\otimes} is still positive and homogeneous of degree $-m$, as required in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. In our search for an appropriate nontensorial multilinear extension of R , we observed that we could also write $\tilde{k}^{(1)}(x, y) = (1/x)\chi_{[0,1]}(y/x) = (1/x)\chi_{[1+y/x, \infty)}(2)$. This simple observation has suggested that we define the nontensorial extension via

$$R_{-\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \frac{1}{x^m} \int_0^{\infty} \cdots \int_0^{\infty} \chi_{[0,x]}(y_1 + \cdots + y_m) f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) dy_1 \cdots dy_m. \quad (3.32)$$

It is worth noting that, as in the previous examples, the kernel of the nontensorial extension satisfies $\tilde{k}_{-\otimes}^{(m)} \leq \tilde{k}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$. We therefore have the a priori boundedness of $R_{-\otimes}$ from $L^{p_1} \times \cdots \times L^{p_m}$ into L^p . However, since $\tilde{k}_{-\otimes}^{(m)}$ is symmetric in the variables y_1, \dots, y_m but not in x , we expect the operator norm of the nontensorial extension to be symmetric in p_1, \dots, p_m but not in $p_0 = p'$ (the index that “corresponds” to x).

Claim 3.4. The following best constant inequality holds:

$$\|R_{-\otimes}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(1/p'_i)}{\Gamma(m + 1/p_0)} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m}}. \quad (3.33)$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, the best constant is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_m &= \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \chi_{[0,1]}(y_1 + \cdots + y_m) y_1^{-1/p_1} \cdots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_1 \cdots dy_m \\
 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-y_1} \cdots \int_0^{1-y_1-\cdots-y_{m-1}} y_1^{-1/p_1} \cdots y_m^{-1/p_m} dy_m \cdots dy_1 \\
 &= p'_m \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-y_1} \cdots \int_0^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{m-2} y_k} y_1^{-1/p_1} \cdots y_{m-1}^{-1/p_{m-1}} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} y_k\right)^{1/p'_m} dy_{m-1} \cdots dy_1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

In the last integral, we make the substitution $y_{m-1} = t_{m-1}(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} y_k)$ and integrate first with respect to dt_{m-1} . This allows us to simplify to an integral over only $m - 2$ variables multiplied by the integral in t_{m-1} , $\int_0^1 t_{m-1}^{-1/p_{m-1}} (1 - t_{m-1})^{1/p'_m} dt_{m-1} = B(1/p'_m, 1 + 1/p'_m)$. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_m &= p'_m \frac{\Gamma(1/p'_{m-1})\Gamma(1 + 1/p'_m)}{\Gamma(1 + 1/p'_{m-1} + 1/p'_m)} \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-y_1} \cdots \int_0^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{m-3} y_k} y_1^{-1/p_1} \cdots y_{m-2}^{-1/p_{m-2}} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} y_k\right)^{1/p'_{m-1} + 1/p'_m} dy_{m-2} \cdots dy_1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Since, $p'_m \Gamma(1 + 1/p'_m) = \Gamma(1/p'_m)$, by letting successively $y_{m-2} = t_{m-2}(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-3} y_k), \dots, y_2 = t_1(1 - y_1)$ and integrating with respect to dt_{m-2}, \dots, dt_1 respectively, we obtain

$$C_m = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(1/p'_i)}{\Gamma(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m (1/p'_i))}. \tag{3.36}$$

Since, $\sum_{i=1}^m 1/p'_i = m - 1/p$, the desired result follows. □

Remark 3.5. The integral inequalities we considered have corresponding discrete versions. For example, the m -linear discrete versions of Hilbert’s and Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya’s inequalities are, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{k_1=1}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_m=1}^\infty \frac{a_k a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_m}}{(k + k_1 + \cdots + k_m)^m} &\leq C_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k^{p'_k}\right)^{1/p'} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{k_j=1}^\infty a_{k_j}^{p'_j}\right)^{1/p_j}, \\
 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{k_1=1}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_m=1}^\infty \frac{a_k a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_j}}{(\max(k, k_1, \dots, k_m))^m} &\leq C'_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k^{p'_k}\right)^{1/p'} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{k_j=1}^\infty a_{k_j}^{p'_j}\right)^{1/p_j}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

The constants C_m and C'_m are the ones obtained in Claims 3.1 and 3.3, respectively. The proofs of the discrete versions follow from an appropriate discrete analogue of Theorem 1.1, but we will not pursue such a result here; the interested reader is referred to [5, page 232] for further details.

Acknowledgment

We are indebted to the anonymous referees for the many valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our presentation.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] L. Grafakos and R. H. Torres, *A multilinear Schur test and multiplier operators*, Journal of Functional Analysis **187** (2001), no. 1, 1–24.
- [3] G. H. Hardy, *Note on a theorem of Hilbert*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **6** (1920), no. 3-4, 314–317.
- [4] ———, *Note on a theorem of Hilbert concerning series of positive terms*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **23** (1925), 45–46.
- [5] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [6] I. Schur, *Bemerkungen zur Theorie der beschränkten Bilinearformen mit unendlich vielen veränderlichen*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **140** (1911), 1–28 (German).
- [7] H. Weyl, *Singuläre Integralgleichungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Fourierschen Integraltheorems*, Inaugural-Dissertation, Gottingen, 1908.

Árpád Bényi: Department of Mathematics, 516 High Street, Western Washington University,
Bellingham, WA 98225-9063, USA
E-mail address: arpad.benyi@wwu.edu

Choonghong (Tadahiro) Oh: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lederle GRT,
University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003-9305, USA
E-mail address: oh@math.umass.edu