"Becoming Southern: The Jews of Savannah, Georgia, 1830-70"

Mark I. Greenberg

University of South Florida, mark.greenberg@wwu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://cedar.wwu.edu/library_facpubs

Part of the Ethnic Studies Commons, Library and Information Science Commons, and the United States History Commons

Recommended Citation

Greenberg, Mark I., "Becoming Southern: The Jews of Savannah, Georgia, 1830-70" (1998). Western Libraries Faculty and Staff Publications. 45.

https://cedar.wwu.edu/library_facpubs/45

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Western Libraries and the Learning Commons at Western CEDAR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Western Libraries Faculty and Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of Western CEDAR. For more information, please contact westerncedar@wwu.edu.
A growing interest in multiculturalism and diversity in American society has prompted an outpouring of scholarship during the last few decades on the history of immigrant and ethnic groups, but relatively few studies have focused upon immigrants to the South.1 This dearth is particularly glaring in view of evidence that immigrants constituted a significant portion of the region's white urban residents. In a recent work on the South, and Savannah, Georgia, in particular, one historian has shown that prior to the Civil War, the foreign-born share of whites living in Northern and Southern urban places was almost identical. Tabulations for the 1860 census reveal that the adult white population was slightly over half foreign born, and only one-third were natives of the South.2 Only after 1865 did Northern cities become disproportionately ethnic in composition.3

Savannah Jewry (as a religious, rather than strictly national, group) fell within the foreign- and native-born categories. In 1860 just under 55 percent of the city's adult Jews were born in the German states. They had immigrated to America beginning in the mid/late 1840s to escape occupational, residential, and marital restrictions in their homelands. An additional 35 percent were born in the South. Some, like the Minis family, had arrived just after James Oglethorpe in 1733. Other men and women, the Myers and Cohen clans, for example, settled in Charleston and Georgetown, South Carolina, prior to the American Revolution but moved to Savannah in the late 1830s and early 1840s in search of greater economic opportunities. Most of the remaining 10 percent hailed from the Northern states. In all, approximately 350 Jews made up 2.5 percent of Savannah whites at the start of the Civil War.
It is one thing to note the relative size of Jewish and foreign settlers but quite another to analyze the lives of those newcomers who settled here. Important questions about Southern immigration have remained largely unanswered. Specifically, is the South merely a geographic designation with little or no power to explain immigrant and ethnic life? Or did the South possess a distinctive culture which affected ethnic migration patterns, institutional development, economic choices, and intergroup relations?

In other fields of American history, careful attention already has been devoted to the meaning of "Southernness" and its impact. According to some historians, the section's economic dependence on cash-crop agriculture, relative lack of industry, subjugation of the African race through slave labor and segregation, and men's adherence to an honor ethic distinguished the South from other regions. Moreover, many Southerners fought tirelessly to preserve this way of life through secession and civil war, and they suffered the ultimate shocks of military defeat and slave emancipation.⁴

But what, if any, impact did life in the antebellum South have on Savannah Jewry? The answer is complicated. Far fewer Jews settled in the South than other regions of the country because they perceived less opportunity for themselves in a cash-crop economy and racially fractured society than in the growing industrial centers of the North and Midwest.⁵ Yet when Jews did choose to reside in the South their institutional activities and economic behavior differed little from coreligionists around the country. In Savannah, as in Portland, Oregon, Cleveland, Ohio, and elsewhere, Jews came together to organize and reorganize their synagogues, fraternal orders, and benevolent societies according to the internal needs and desires of their community. Although a handful of Savannah Jewish businessmen made their careers in the cotton trade, the vast majority of men followed nationwide patterns and concentrated in retail
or wholesale enterprises that supplied dry goods to both urban and rural clients. Jewish merchants throughout the United States depended on New York for merchandise and credit. Annual or semiannual buying trips to the city for a wide variety of goods at reasonable credit rates were as common among Savannah Jews as among men in St. Louis, for example.6

   Distinctly Southern cultural forms were evident in Savannah Jews' behavior, however. On three critical points antebellum Savannah Jewry differed markedly from their counterparts elsewhere in the United States. The first difference was their commitment to the honor ethic, the second concerned their relationship to African Americans, and the third involved their active participation in the Confederate cause. These three behavioral patterns suggest that Savannah Jews "became Southern," or more precisely, they adopted elements of a Southern identity. Why they adopted a regional identity and the implications of this decision for their acceptance by Savannah Christians will also be examined.

   The first distinctly Southern trait evident among Savannah Jews was the honor ethic. Throughout the antebellum South white men clung to a value system within which a man possessed only as much worth as the community conferred upon him. If offending words or actions challenged his stature and if no retraction and apology were forthcoming, the offended party had little choice but to prove himself and reaffirm his social worth through some act of courage. Among backwoodsmen and poor whites this test took the form of a physical confrontation where both combatants attempted to disfigure the other with their fingernails or teeth. For men of higher social standing a duel served as the most common method for reclaiming one's equality.7

   Between 1830 and 1870 Jews participated in three documented duels. Numerous other episodes likely went unrecorded. One ended peacefully, the other two left a combatant mortally
wounded. Taken together the incidents suggest that Southern-born Jewish men incorporated the honor ethic into their world view and that Christians perceived Jews as social equals in Savannah society and therefore worthy as dueling partners. The first incident occurred on August 10, 1832, between Dr. Philip Minis and a state legislator from nearby Glynn County, James J. Stark. According to an account by Richard D. Arnold, the two men had been enemies for some time prior to their duel, though the cause of the conflict remains a mystery. Hostilities escalated on the evening of August 9 when, in Minis's absence, Stark made some derogatory remark about him at a local bar. Informed of the comments, Minis confronted his adversary and demanded an apology of "that satisfaction which one gentleman should afford another." Stark evidently refused, and the situation intensified further.

According to dueling custom, both men chose seconds and agreed to meet the following afternoon outside of Savannah. When Minis failed to appear at the appointed hour, Stark and his companion returned to town, publicly denouncing Minis as a coward. Rather than remain inside and give credence to Stark's accusations, Minis walked over to the City Hotel. Here in the barroom the two men came face to face. Observers dispute what happened next. One account asserts that Stark put his hand in his pocket as if to draw a pistol and advanced on his opponent. Minis immediately fired, fearing for his life. The bullet pierced Stark's chest, striking the spine and killing him instantly.

To many assembled in the bar that afternoon it appeared that Minis had fired on an unarmed man, and the community demanded action. A coroner's inquest a few days subsequent to the incident returned a verdict against Minis of deliberate murder, and for five months he remained in the Chatham County jail awaiting trial. Finally, in January 1833, Minis had his day in court. Some of Savannah's and Charleston's most prominent attorneys tried the case, including
seven for the defense. Following six days of testimony, the jury took less than two hours to acquit Minis of all charges. Although many citizens originally had believed him guilty, he received a fair trial. Whatever animosity existed immediately following Stark's death quickly abated and played no role in Minis's subsequent career. By 1837 his superior surgical skills and leadership had earned him the rank of major in the 31st Regiment of the U.S. Artillery. Following his retirement from the Army a few years later, Minis returned to Savannah, where he ran a successful business, practiced medicine, and sat on the board of various charitable societies.8

In the second dueling incident, conflict erupted when Richardson F. Aiken--a rice planter from Darien, Georgia--challenged a much younger Ludlow Cohen to a yachting race on the Savannah River in August 1870. Following an exciting start to the contest and with Cohen well in the lead, the winds suddenly died down and the match could not be continued. Later that day at a local tavern someone suggested the men resume their race at another time, to which Cohen replied, "I will not race with Aiken again. . . . He is not a gentleman." Cohen further asserted that his opponent's son deliberately had moved the finishing line to give his father an advantage. The urging of friends and Aiken's greater experience with firearms could not convince Cohen to retract his comments, and a dueling challenge soon followed.

The exchange of gunfire occurred at daybreak on August 19 at a plantation about four miles north of Savannah. At a distance of 12 paces, the rising sun to Cohen's back, and with pistols the weapon of choice, the two combatants fired five shots each. Unlike most dueling encounters, the seconds made no effort at reconciliation between rounds. It was apparent from the mens' hatred for each other that compromise was futile. After the first four rounds both men stood unharmed and committed themselves to continuing until one man fell. Finally, on the fifth
round Aiken's bullet found its mark. The lead ball entered Cohen's right side, passed through his abdomen, and cut the intestines. His right arm dropped to his side, and he slipped to the ground. Surgeons on hand determined the wound was in all likelihood fatal. By 3:00 P.M. Cohen was dead, the last man known to have died in a duel in Savannah's history.9

Slave ownership constituted the second way Jews sought to become Southern. In 1850, 38 percent of Jewish adults held bondsmen.10 Ten years later the figure had dropped to 17 percent.11 By way of comparison, in 1860 Chatham County 13 percent of adult whites kept slaves.12 Notwithstanding the relative decline in Jewish (and non-Jewish) slave ownership, the decade before the Civil War revealed an important change in owners' national background. Between 1850 and 1860 German-Jewish slave holding (as a percent of total Jewish owners) rose 26 percent, thus suggesting Germans' desire to adopt this distinctly Southern custom.13 Evidence from other communities reveals that Savannah was not unique. Historian Jacob R. Marcus believed that between 1789 and 1865 an estimated one-quarter of all Southern Jewish adults kept slaves, and other scholars have found a significant minority of German Jews within these ranks.14

Why were Savannah Jews purchasing slaves? In part, Jewish law did not forbid the practice, and mid-nineteenth-century white society condoned the legal subjugation of groups it deemed inferior. To some degree Jews' financial situation permitted slaveholding, and they realized that ownership offered economic benefits. In 1860 Savannahians held an average of $11,117 in real property, whereas Jews in the city owned $13,761. Thus, relative to other whites, Jews were more able to buy slaves. Because bondsmen performed productive roles in the home and workplace, slaveholders could have servants work around the house or hire them out. In 1860 Solomon Gardner's female slave served as cook and maid for his family. Henry and Isaac
Meinhard utilized most of their 16 slaves in the wholesale dry goods business they operated. Attorney Solomon Cohen and "lady of leisure" Dinah Minis--Savannah's two largest Jewish slaveholders with 23 and 18 slaves, respectively--collected fees from blacks they rented out to nonslaveholders and local industries.\textsuperscript{15}

Slave ownership brought more than merely economic benefits, however. It marked Jews as part of the dominant group in a region whose economy, political ideology, and social order rested upon the subjugation of the black race and whose leaders increasingly were consumed by fears of abolition, slave uprising, and nonslaveholders' opposition to the peculiar institution. The perennial outsider in European society, Jews in the South hoped to become insiders by positioning themselves relative to blacks. By possessing bondsmen Jews revealed their commitment to a mainstay of antebellum Southern life and thus were not perceived as a threat to established cultural patterns.\textsuperscript{16}

For at least some Southern-born Jews, the racism inherent in black slavery became ingrained. In a letter to his sister-in-law in January 1866, Solomon Cohen echoed prevailing sentiments in the South: "I believe that the institution of slavery was refined and civilizing to whites--giving them an elevation of sentiment and ease and dignity of manners only attainable in societies under the restraining influence of a privileged class--and at the same time the only human institution that could elevate the Negro from barbarism and develop the small amounts of intellect with which he is endowed."\textsuperscript{17} German-Jewish immigrants likely held the ambivalent sentiments expressed by Oscar Solomon Straus of Talbotton, Georgia: "As a boy brought up in the South I never questioned the rights or wrongs of slavery. Its existence I regarded as matter of course, as most other customs or institutions."\textsuperscript{18}
Finally, slave ownership helped solidify Jews' racial status. The South was not a region set in black and white, as some historians have suggested. Rather, men and women--especially Irish and Italian immigrants--had to create "whiteness" for themselves to prevent their association with the socially degraded status of blacks. In large measure these settlers experienced hostility and comparisons with slaves and free blacks because of the labor they performed. Southerners considered digging ditches, laying railroad track, hauling goods, domestic service, and other unskilled pursuits common among the Irish and Italians to be fit only for blacks. Because Jews clustered in commercial ventures and purchased blacks rather than toiling as manual laborers, their "whiteness" was rarely questioned, and they faced relatively less social ostracism than other immigrant groups.

A commitment by Jews to states' rights and the Confederate war effort constituted a third element that facilitated their acceptance into Savannah society. Devotion to regional customs at a time when the South's way of life was under siege helped secure them the continued respect of their Christian neighbors. On May 15, 1861, Savannah Germans (Jewish and Christian) came together at Armory Hall to refute statements in the Northern press that questioned their allegiance to the Confederacy. The men wanted to "show by words and acts that the German inhabitants of the city were ready with heart and hand to defend the home of their choice." As leaders among their peers, Jewish immigrants played important roles in the day's proceedings. Joseph Lippman called the assembly to order, and Magnus Loewenthal moved that the chairman appoint a committee to prepare an agenda. While Loewenthal and a small group of men drew up the program, various speakers addressed the crowd in German, giving a history of the community and urging a "bond and decisive front" in the face of outside attacks.
At one point in the meeting, Loewenthal returned with a set of declarations which he read to his countrymen for their approval. The carefully worded document drew upon historical events that all Germans could understand. The first resolution likened the Confederate cause in America to "democratic" forces in Germany's 1848-49 revolution. Furthermore, it excoriated refugees from that struggle now living in the North for trying to force the Southern states back into a government they hated "as much as the Venetians hated Austrian rule." The second statement exclaimed that Savannahians deplored the "necessity forced upon us of perhaps imbruing our hands in the blood of brothers of our dear old Fatherland, yet the cause of the South being our cause, we accept the gage of hostility." Given these sentiments, the men rose to action, forming the Confederate States Volunteer Aid Association, for which two Jewish men served as officers: Joseph Lippman as president and Magnus Loewenthal as secretary/treasurer.24

Initially, German Jews gave their lives as well as their money to the Confederacy. Dozens of men volunteered for duty and saw action in Confederate regiments during the war's first year. Lewis Lippman received wounds at the first Battle of Manassas in July 1861, and Conrad Byck fell into Union hands during combat in April 1862. As the war dragged on, however, Jew and Christian increasingly sought to elude military service. A visiting Charleston newspaper correspondent wrote of a Chatham County enlistment rally in March 1862 at which men with excuses for not serving far outnumbered those willing to volunteer. Some German Jews hired substitutes rather than serve themselves, and others used their legal status as resident aliens to receive a military exemption.25

Southern-born Jews, in contrast, demonstrated stronger ideological dedication to and leadership in the fight for Southern rights. These attitudes were evident decades before the Civil War. In an 1841 address to the Georgia Historical Society, Solomon Cohen outlined a
constitutional argument put to the test in neighboring South Carolina in the early 1830s and later used by Georgia politicians. "A fair and candid examination of History," he explained, "must satisfy any unprejudiced mind that these States were, and are Sovereign, except perhaps as far as they have delegated a portion of their Sovereign power to be exercised by the General Government under the Constitution of the United States." Nearly 10 years later, at a countywide meeting on September 24, 1850, Cohen publicly denounced the manner in which Congress had dealt with slavery's expansion into the western territories; however, he cautioned against rash actions. At his urging the gathering adopted a resolution "That the Constitutional Union bequeathed to us by our Fore Fathers must be preserved at every sacrifice, save that of our honor, property, and our liberties."

In the weeks following Abraham Lincoln's election to the presidency in November 1860, Savannah Jews abandoned any hopes for compromise with the North and became vocal in their support for secession. Solomon Cohen's 16-year-old son Gratz declared it the duty of every Southern state to leave the Union, which he believed existed only in name. Jacob C. Levy, an aged South Carolinian native, echoed Cohen's sentiments in a letter to his grandson Clavius Phillips, an army private. He wrote that Southerners never could be subjugated. King George III had tried unsuccessfully to suppress American liberty during the Revolution, and Levy believed Federal troops would fail in their assault against the South during the Civil War. Some months earlier Clavius had become so irate at his family's treatment by Federal troops that he penned the following statement to his mother: "If any one has occasion to entertain eternal enmity to the whole Yankee nation, it is our family, and I hope the time is not far distant when I have an opportunity to avenge the insults you have undergone."
Anti-Federal attitudes extended to women as well. Jacob Levy's daughter (and Clavius Phillip's mother) Eugenia Levy Phillips held such an intense and vocal attachment to her region and the justice of its cause that Union officials viewed her as a threat to the Northern war effort and imprisoned her in Washington, D.C., for three weeks in August and September 1861. Following her release and expulsion from the capital, she came into conflict with Union General Benjamin Butler in New Orleans, who had conquered the city in April 1862. Within two months of his arrival in Louisiana, Butler characterized Phillips as "an uncommon, bad, and dangerous woman, stirring up strife, and inciting to riot." He soon banished her to Ship Island off Mississippi in the Gulf of Mexico, where she remained for approximately three months in the summer of 1862. Phillips's diary, kept during her imprisonment in Washington, and a memoir she wrote shortly after war's end reveal a bitter hatred toward the North. Savannah's fall to Sherman's troops in December 1864 exacerbated anti-Union feelings. Fanny Cohen, daughter of Octavus, could not accept defeat. "If we are conquered I see no reason why we should receive our enemies as our friends and I never shall do it as long as I live," she wrote in her diary.

Fanny Cohen's sentiments received ample expression in the military leadership shown by Jewish men. Jacob C. Levy's son Samuel Yates Levy was a major in the 1st Regiment of Georgia Volunteer Infantry and served Savannah's highest ranking Jewish soldier. Samuel's first cousin Octavus S. Cohen, Jr., also became an officer--a second lieutenant in 1863 at age 17. For a time he served at Fort Pulaski. Later in the war Octavus moved to Fort Wagner, outside of Charleston, and in 1864 he became acting ordnance officer for a brigade stationed just above Buford, South Carolina.

Southern women dedicated their lives to the Confederacy as well, taking on roles heretofore unheard of in the nineteenth-century South. Even before fighting began in April 1861
they rolled bandages, made cartridges, and prepared sandbags for use in fortifications. Women's aid societies and sewing groups sprung up around the region. As early as May 1861 Savannah's Confederate States Volunteer Aid Association collected clothing for volunteer soldiers and solicited donations for the benefit of the families men had left behind. At least some Northern generals believed women's labor on behalf of the Confederacy was so significant that it actually prolonged the war. In a more individual effort, Phoebe Yates Pember spent much of the war as matron of Chimborazo Hospital in Richmond. Under the supervision of a senior medical officer and with the help of numerous assistants, she oversaw nursing operations in the second of the hospital's five divisions and attended to the housekeeping, dietary needs, and comfort of over 15,000 men. As the first female administrator appointed to Chimborazo, Pember offered warmth and femininity craved by the soldiers, but she also fought against repeated attempts to undermine her authority. Much of the time this meant blocking the staff's efforts to pilfer supplies, especially whiskey, placed under her control. On one occasion she threatened away a would-be thief with a gun. Her self-assurance and commitment to caring for the sick and wounded earned praise from Richmond socialites, who described her as "brisk and brilliant" with "a will of steel under suave refinement."

The above examples reveal that Savannah Jews adopted distinctly Southern customs in order to dispel perceptions that they were outsiders and to win and maintain acceptance by the local Christian elite. Were they successful in this endeavor? The answer is a resounding yes, but with a caveat. Southern-born Jews, and especially families that had been in the region for generations, generally held a stronger Southern identity than their foreign-born coreligionists. In turn, natives enjoyed greater acceptance by their Christian neighbors. These levels can be discerned by looking at Jewish participation in citywide organizations and activities.
For many Jewish men, freemasonry offered their first entry point into middle-class Savannah society and provided brotherhood that sustained them throughout their adult lives. Unfortunately, records do not reveal the extent of Jewish membership in the order, though fragmentary evidence suggests significant involvement dating as far back as 1734. In the 1860s the city's half-dozen chapters elected at least a dozen Jewish merchants to office, the majority of whom had settled in Savannah within the previous decade. Two lodges in particular--Zerubbabel Lodge, No. 15, chartered in 1840, and Clinton Lodge, No. 54, established in 1847--attracted Jews to their ranks. Based upon the number of elected officials in these chapters, actual membership likely was much higher.

In addition to their affiliation in fraternal orders, Savannah Jews enjoyed access to the city's various philanthropic and social activities. Yet membership in local benevolent organizations was limited to a relatively small group of Georgia- and South Carolina-born Jewish families, suggesting the social exclusivity of these associations. Octavus Cohen and his wife Henrietta, his brother Solomon and wife Miriam, their cousins Abram and Lavinia Minis, and Abram's sisters Frances and Cecelia served as officers in nearly a half-dozen charitable organizations. In the 1860s Miriam and Henrietta Cohen and Lavinia and Frances Minis were board members in the Needle Woman's Friend Society, which provided seamstress work for destitute women. In the 1860s and 1870s Octavus Cohen served as president of the Savannah Benevolent Association, a group founded during the yellow fever epidemic of 1854 to offer support in times of community emergency. In the 1870s Octavus Cohen and Abram Minis sat on the Union Society's governing body, which administered the Bethesda Orphan House. Henrietta Cohen and the Minis sisters worked on the Savannah Widow's Society, and Lavinia Minis and Miriam Cohen served as officers of the Female Orphan Society.
Philanthropic work illuminates only part of Jewish-Christian interaction in nineteenth-century Savannah. Southern-born Jews joined some of the city's most prestigious clubs and literary societies, ran successfully for political office, and socialized with the South's elite families. In 1839 Solomon Cohen and his brother-in-law Mordecai Myers helped establish the Georgia Historical Society, created to preserve the state's heritage and to instruct the community in American history and biography. Cohen held the position of treasurer from 1841 to 1844 and vice president between 1864 and 1868, and the society featured him as a guest speaker when it began one of its first public lecture series in 1841. He also delivered eulogies on the deaths of two society presidents. Other organizations welcomed Jews as well and elected them to board positions. The Savannah Jockey Club, which appealed to horse racing enthusiasts, included Philip Minis, Octavus Cohen, and Moses A. Cohen. The exclusive Oglethorpe Club, established in 1870 by some of the city's most prominent businessmen, invited Samuel Yates Levy and brothers Abram, Isaac, and Jacob F. Minis to join. Sailing devotees, Southern-born Jews among them, established the Savannah Yacht Club in the 1870s.

In comparison to those in other American cities, Savannah Jews enjoyed relatively greater access to predominantly Christian organizations than their coreligionists elsewhere. Lucien Wolf, a Jewish visitor to Georgia in the early 1870s, was impressed to receive an invitation to the Chatham Club during his stay. His reaction turned to astonishment when he learned the club's president and secretary were Jews. Jacob C. Levy moved with his family from Charleston to Savannah in 1850, "where they at once took social rank with the best people in that eminently refined society--a marked contrast, in its liberal tone, to the narrow-minded bigotry of Charleston people." By contrast, in Cleveland, the Jewish community's leading citizen could not gain entry into the exclusive Union Club even with the city's mayor and a future U.S. Supreme
Court justice as his sponsors. Joseph Seligman's exclusion from the Grand Union Hotel in Saratoga Springs, New York, in 1877 occurred despite the Jewish financier's friendships with Abraham Lincoln and Ulysses S. Grant. Throughout the country, historian Leonard Dinnerstein has argued, wealthy Christians put increasing distance between themselves and their Jewish neighbors. Not so in Savannah.

Savannah Jews' high acceptance levels within Christian social circles translated into political success as well. Jewish men served in a variety of appointed positions, and they won election to local, state, and even national office. Mordecai Myers functioned as clerk of the city council from 1819 to 1841. Council members appointed Isaac D'Lyon and Waring Russell to run the city/county jail (1850-53 and 1859-84, respectively), and other Jewish men acted as police and fire chiefs, port wardens, and keepers of the local powder magazine. Savannah Jews also played an important role in administering the city's justice system. These included City Court judges Levi S. D'Lyon (1838-45 and 1861-63) and Mordecai Sheftall, Sr. (1847-51), five sheriffs, and five clerks.

In addition to political appointments, various popularly elected offices fell to Savannah's Jewish residents. For 67 years during the nineteenth century at least one or more Jews sat on the city council. In the antebellum era, almost all of these aldermen were born and raised in Georgia or South Carolina. Levi, Mordecai, and Moses Sheftall; Isaac and Abram Minis; and Levi S. and Isaac D'Lyon descended from families who arrived in Georgia in 1733. Solomon Cohen, Emanuel De la Motta, and Abraham A. and Moses J. Solomons traced their families to Charleston in the Revolutionary and early national periods. Only three German-Jewish immigrants were elected to council in the 1860s and 1870s--Joseph Lippman, Samuel H. Eckman, and Elias A. Weil. They averaged 16 years in Savannah before taking their seats.
The evidence of office holding presented here suggests that Jews exerted influence beyond their numbers. In 1860, for example, the Irish outnumbered Jews nearly seven to one in Savannah, yet no Irishmen sat with Solomon Cohen on council that year. Although no law barred the Irish from holding civic office, only a handful of men rose to leadership positions between 1830 and 1860, far fewer than their Jewish neighbors. The difference resulted in part because Jews adopted Southern mores and also from low Irish occupational status and anti-Irish prejudices among the native population. In the 1850s well over half of all city officials were merchants or professional men, a proportion approximating the Jewish occupational structure but dramatically higher than the Irish. Most Irish workers performed manual labor, a job local elites associated with the degraded condition of slaves and freedmen. City council also catered to the native born. During this decade only 10 immigrants were elected to seats despite an adult white population that was nearly 50 percent foreign born. Few Savannah Irishmen over age 20 could claim American birth in 1860, whereas 40 percent of Jews fell into this category.

Nativism directed primarily against Irish immigrants restricted their access to political office to a far greater degree than anti-Semitism affected Savannah Jews. As the city's largest immigrant group at 23 percent of the white population in 1860 (compared to Jews' 2.5 percent share), Irish Catholics posed an economic, religious, and political challenge to the Protestant elite and native white laborers. The small number of local Jews did not. Although the American Party (Know Nothings) never amounted to much in Savannah--electing only three men between 1854 and 1857--its anti-Catholic, antiforeign message did help keep Irishmen out of political office. In local elections Know Nothings ran on a law-and-order platform, decrying the alleged lawlessness and violence of Irish immigrants and insisting that "Americans" frame and administer their government. A torchlight procession in November 1856 carried the party's
message to the people: "Freedom for Foreigners, But, Supremacy for Ourselves. Foreigners may Ride, But [Uncle] Sam must Drive," Know Nothing banners read.56

And "drive" Southern-born Jews did, taking roads to state and national office. Mordecai Myers served in the Georgia House of Representatives for seven one-year terms between 1824 and 1837, and Philip M. Russell held the post five times immediately following the Civil War. Solomon Cohen sat in the legislature in 1842, and in December 1865 voters in Georgia's First Congressional District elected him to Congress, though Republicans refused him and the rest of the Southern delegation their seats.57

Savannah Jews also derived personal satisfaction from and associated with influential politicians and leading Southern figures. While studying at Washington College in the early 1870s (later Washington and Lee University), Abram Minis's eldest son Jacob visited at the home of Robert E. Lee. Lee's daughter Eleanor Agnes called upon the Minis family during her visits to Savannah.58 Henrietta Cohen's sister Phoebe Yates Pember befriended Mary Randolph, whose husband George served as Confederate Secretary of War in 1862, and Henrietta enjoyed a close friendship with Confederate President Jefferson Davis's wife Varina. "I feel the death of your dear Husband [Octavus] very deeply as there are few left like him," Mrs. Davis wrote in sympathy to her friend. Some years later Henrietta visited the recently widowed Mrs. Davis at her home in New York City to offer support and companionship.59

In their friendships, activities, and the high praise they received for their commitment to the Confederate cause, the lives of sisters Eugenia Levy Phillips and Phoebe Yates Pember represent the pinnacle of Jewish acceptance into Christian society. These two women willingly risked life and limb to preserve the Southern way of life and in so doing won the admiration of their Christian neighbors. The sisters were not alone among Savannah Jews. Numerous other
Jewish men and women demonstrated distinctly regional customs in an effort to achieve at least some level of acceptance and thereby to enjoy a range of associations in local society. Slave ownership combined with a deeply ingrained honor ethic and Confederate nationalism constituted the most common claims for full acceptance. Thus to varying degrees and in particular aspects of their lives, Savannah Jews did become Southern, and they reaped the rewards.
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