



1-1-2008

Freshmen First Quarter Credit Load Assessment

Richard Bulcroft
Western Washington University

Linda D. (Linda Darlene) Clark
Western Washington University

Joseph E. Trimble
Western Washington University

Follow this and additional works at: https://cedar.wvu.edu/surveyresearch_docs



Part of the [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bulcroft, Richard; Clark, Linda D. (Linda Darlene); and Trimble, Joseph E., "Freshmen First Quarter Credit Load Assessment" (2008). *Office of Survey Research*. 542.
https://cedar.wvu.edu/surveyresearch_docs/542

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Institutes, Centers, and Offices at Western CEDAR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Office of Survey Research by an authorized administrator of Western CEDAR. For more information, please contact westerncedar@wvu.edu.

Freshmen First Quarter Credit Load Assessment

Office of Survey Research (OSR) and Office of Institutional
Assessment, Research and Testing (OIART)

Richard Bulcroft

Linda Clark

Joseph Trimble

Background

- Recent history of decline in first quarter credit loads.
- Problems
 - Enrollment management and meeting state budgeted FTE
 - Potential increase in time to degree
- Purpose of Focus Groups
 - To obtain student perceptions of reasons for taking fewer than 15 credits during their first quarter
 - To explore potential solutions to low credit loads in the first year of college at Western
 - To ascertain the impacts of taking low vs. high credit loads

Background

- Two Types of Factors
 - Personal Parameters
 - Student characteristics and life conditions
 - Can't change but may be able to develop programs to accommodate
 - Institutional Parameters
 - Advising, Scheduling, Course Credit Options, ...
 - Potentially changeable

Focus Groups Methodology

- Construction of Groups and Sampling
 - Commonality based on shared academic ability and experiences with respect to credit loads
 - Ability: GPA & SAT Verbal (1 SD Above/Below Mean)
 - Credit Loads: 12-14 Credits/15 Credits or More
 - Within group variability for representativeness
 - Random selection of students sharing common group traits
 - Stratified sample to assure within group variability on key traits
 - College of Interest, Gender, Students of Color, Transfer Hours, FIG/FYE, Honors, Summer Start, Athletics, Credits

Focus Groups Methodology

- Group Composition
 - Four Groups Based on Ability and Credit Load
 - Low Credits/Low Ability (11)
 - Low Credits/High Ability (10)
 - High Credits/Low Ability (12)
 - High Credits/High Ability (13)
 - Four Special Groups
 - Low Credits/Undecided (11)
 - Low Credits/High Credit Transfers (11)
 - Low Credits/Math, Health, Science Major (13)
 - Low Credits/Business, Economics Major (10)

Focus Groups Methodology

- Content of Discussions
 - Registration Experiences
 - Academic Advising
 - Logistics of Registering
 - Potential Reasons for Low/High Credit Load
 - Academic Interest Area
 - Co-Curricular and Extra-Curricular Activities
 - Financial Concerns and Work Schedules
 - Academic and Social Confidence Levels
 - Transfer Credits
 - Impacts: Academic and Social Adjustment
 - Advice for Incoming Freshmen

Focus Group Findings

Registration Experiences and Reasons for Low/High Credit Loads

Focus Group Findings

Registration Experiences

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - Uncertainty about relationship between classes and credits
 - Sense of flexibility in credit load (12-15 credits)
 - All students knew the minimum for full time status
 - Developed a desirable schedule of 4-5 classes but < 15 credits
 - Advised to settle with fewer credits
 - Concern about personal and academic adjustment
 - Quality vs. Quantity
 - Scheduling conflicts inhibiting the addition of 2-3 credit course
 - Cancelled course or last minute change to lower credit course

Focus Group Findings

Registration Experiences

- High Credit Load Groups
 - Many students were focused on fulfilling requirements for their intended major and then “filling out” the rest of their schedule
 - More focused and efficient in selecting courses
 - Many music majors reached 15 through required courses
 - FIGS students only needed one course to get to 15 credits
 - Some with lower credit FIGs ended up with fewer than 15 credits
 - Advisors worked with several students to get to 15 credits
 - Some were advised that they could handle 15 or more
 - Some went below 15 credits after classes started because one class was too difficult

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Early Academic Advising

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - No encouragement to register for 15 or more
 - Focus on the 12 credit minimum vs. 15 credit ideal
 - Many claim they were never told 15 was needed to graduate on time
 - Message that the average time to degree is more than 4 years
 - Advised not to register for too many credits (> 15)
 - Advised that credit load should fit workload and abilities
 - Family and friends advice often took precedence
 - Take lighter credit load to adjust; Don't take morning classes
- High Credit Load Groups
 - Only a few were encouraged by advisors to take full load
 - Many were advised that quality was more important
 - Parental advice to get their money's worth

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Registration Logistics

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - Difficult to piece together variable credit courses
 - Made more difficult by no standard set of time slots
 - Difficult to understand the whole process and select enough courses to reach 15 credits in such a short time period
 - Feeling “overwhelmed”, “rushed”
 - Difficult to find classes when the ones you want are filled
- High Credit Load Groups
 - Difficult to have less than 16 when courses in interest area are mostly 4 credit courses
 - Need to know tricks – like using PE courses to get credits to 15

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Academic Interest Area

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - Many prerequisites for some majors are 3 credit courses, making it difficult to put together a 15 credit schedule without taking at least 5 different courses.
 - Courses in some areas (science and math) are more difficult and may require more work than the credit value assigned.
 - Undecideds were more focused on types of courses vs. credits
 - Opportunities to explore potential majors through GURs
 - Many undecideds advised to drop course because > 15 credits
 - Dropping a 3 or 4 credit course \rightarrow Less than 15 credits
 - Many undecideds advised to take fewer credits to adjust to workload and develop good study habits

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Academic Interest Area

- Math/Science/Health/Business/Economics: Perceived difficulty of classes made the number of classes more important than credit values
 - Many first year classes in these interest areas are low credit value courses involving higher workload than credits assigned
- Math/Science/Health/Business/Economics: Advisors emphasized doing well vs. full credit loads
 - Difficulty level of courses
 - Need to establish good GPA for later graduate/professional school placement
- Math/Science/Health/Business/Economics: Fewer choices that don't conflict with other course options outside interest area
 - Non-standard scheduling

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Academic Interest Area

- High Credit Load Groups
 - Strong motivation to complete pre-requisites prior to registering in more advanced courses in major → Higher credit loads

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Extra-Curricular Activities

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - Athletics and expected social club engagement had modest impact on reducing credit loads
 - Most students didn't think these activities had any effect because they didn't get involved in them until after school started.
- High Credit Load Groups
 - Involved in activities but it had no effect on credit loads

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Financial Concerns & Work

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - Neither financial strain nor difficulties juggling work and school were perceived to be important in choosing a less than full credit load.
- High Credit Load Groups
 - Concern about “making the most out of their money” lead some in these groups to register for full loads or more.
 - Some had scholarships requiring 15 credit loads.

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Academic/Social Confidence

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - Many felt a lack of academic and social self-confidence led to lower credit loads
 - Many were concerned about how much school work would be involved and whether or not they were ready for it
 - A particular concern among students with AP credits
 - Some were warned by AP teachers
- High Credit Load Groups
 - Much higher levels of self-confidence and successful experiences meeting college level course expectations
 - Running Start and AP Credit Students

Focus Group Findings

Impact of Transfer Credits

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - Most **didn't** take AP or RS credits in high school in order to finish their college degree sooner
 - Other Reasons: More interesting than other HS courses; Opportunity to experience what college might be like
 - Many students with transfer credits felt as though it gave them more flexibility to take lower loads
 - Some saw their transfer credits as giving them a head start, but not necessarily for graduating much earlier.
 - Concern about making a good adjustment to college
 - Advisors reinforced this perception
- High Credit Load Groups
 - Many students in these groups with high credit loads said that their college level credits prepared them better for taking a full load.

Focus Group Findings

Impacts of Low/High Credit Loads on First Year Adjustment

Focus Group Findings

Academic and Social Adjustment

- Low Credit Load Groups
 - Low credit load not seen as a reason for not doing well
 - Time of day (early morning) and spacing of classes (far apart) were more problematic
 - Many planned to catch up by taking CC courses in summer
 - Many saw taking fewer credits to be a benefit – academically and socially
 - Fewer than 15 credits was seen as reducing stress
 - More time to do other things

Focus Group Findings

Academic and Social Adjustment

- High Credit Load Groups
 - Many initially experienced difficulties (academic and social) but then were able to adjust.
 - Problems stemmed from poor study habits and poor time management skills more than credit load.
 - FIGs was credited with being helpful in managing high credit loads
 - Study skills
 - Social connections
 - Contact with professors

Summer Start Advisors Comments

Focus Groups

Advisors Comments

- Reasons for advising less than 15 credits
 - Students often come into SS with planned schedule of 4 courses worth 13-14 credits
 - Difficult to advise student to replace desired course to get to credit value of 15
 - Parents and friends collaborated before hand
 - Limited time for advising large groups of students leads to “settling” for 13-14 credits
 - Strategy of finding 1 or 2 credit class (PE) to “fill out” credit load only works if enough of these classes are available
 - Class difficulty and class work loads exceeding credit value
 - Philosophy, History, English
 - Student abilities (Math Scores; GPA)

Focus Groups

Advisors Comments

- Difficult to pressure student if transferring in college credits and feels like there is a cushion
- Student plans extracurricular activities (e.g., athletics)
- Concern about students acclimation to college
- Certain majors have pre-requisites in first quarter that don't add up to 15 credits (e.g., Music Vocal majors)
- Many students have been told by others (parents, friends) to be careful about getting too committed their first quarter

Focus Groups

Advisors Comments

- Problem of variable credit values
 - Many 3 and 4 credit courses in some fields makes it difficult to add additional course without going over 15 credits.
 - Especially problematic if 4 credit courses are challenging
 - ENG101, CHEM101, BIO101
 - Three-credit labs make scheduling additional courses problematic
 - Harder to put together 15 credit schedule of 5 courses vs. 4
 - Two alternative solutions
 - More 5 credit courses and fewer 4 credit courses
 - More 2 and 3 credit courses as fillers

Focus Groups

Advisors Comments

- Problem of logistics of registration at SS – Too little time
 - Students do not appear to absorb much of the information presented during Power Point presentation
 - Too few sections available in popular courses at popular times
 - Spanish, English, Math
 - Could use more 2 and 3 credit courses in areas of interest
- Problem of low self-confidence
 - Direct students to FIG or FYE Seminar
 - Focus more on interests and strengths vs. credit load
 - Look for smaller classes even if credit value is lower
 - Encourage remedial courses (e.g., MATH99)
 - Suggest objectively strong students to challenge themselves

Policy Implications and Suggestions

Policy Implications and Suggestions

- Summer Start Organization and Advising
 - Increase time for course planning and registering during Summer Start to reduce pressure and enhance processing of information
 - New two day sessions should help students find a full schedule*
 - Better training of Student Advisors (ASAs) to push 15 credit ideal
 - Stronger message from advisor without back channel contradiction from ASAs*
 - Preregistration for ENG101 and MATH might also reduce time pressure to find full credit load
 - Better education about course-credit relationship
 - Encourage registration for 17credits to leave room to drop*
 - May have more negative consequences than positive ones
 - Recognize the needs and abilities of students and advise to meet students' needs vs. arbitrary credit load values*

Policy Implications and Suggestions

- Curriculum Changes
 - More uniformity in course credit values to make it easier to put together a 15 credit schedule without going over
 - Especially more 5 credit courses and fewer 4 credit courses*
 - More FIGs with high credit value to facilitate registration for full credits and address low confidence issues*
- Scheduling Changes
 - More seats in popular 5-credit first year courses during Fall term*
 - Especially in prime time slots*
 - More 1 and 2 credit classes to help fill gaps
 - More block scheduling and strategic lab scheduling

Policy Implications and Suggestions

- Other Changes
 - Work to get more “buy in” to the 15 credit ideal among students AND parents*
 - Emphasize the cost of their education more*
 - Work with HS AP and CC Running Start instructors and advisors to emphasize the 15 credit load as realistic and build confidence levels prior to college.